Bibliography for "Technology and Web-Based Support"

Online-only content for "Technology and Web-Based Support" by Carol Smith, PhD, RN, in the American Journal of Nursing, *September 2008 Supplement, p. 64-8.*

1. Adams N, et al. Psychological barriers to Internet usage among older adults in the UK. *Med Inform Internet Med* 2005;30(1):3-17.
2. Arras JD. The Technological Tether: an introduction to ethical and social issues in high-tech home care. Executive summary of project conclusions. *Hastings Cent Rep* 1994; 24(5 Suppl):S1-S3.
3. Arthur D, et al. The effect of technology on the caring attributes of an international sample of nurses. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2001;38(1):37-43.
4. Arthur EJ, Hancock PA. Navigation training in virtual environments. *Int J Cogn Ergon* 2001;5(4):387-400.
5. Axisa F, et al. Flexible technologies and smart clothing for citizen medicine, home healthcare, and disease prevention. *IEEE Trans Inf Technol Biomed* 2005;9(3):325-36.
6. Bakken S, Hripcsak G. An informatics infrastructure for patient safety and evidence-based practice in home healthcare. *J Healthc Qual* 2004;26(3):24-30.
7. Balas EA, et al. The clinical value of computerized information services. A review of 98 randomized clinical trials. *Arch Fam Med* 1996;5(5):271-8.
8. Bank AL, et al. The value of telephone support groups among ethnically diverse caregivers of persons with dementia. *Gerontologist* 2006;46(1):134-8.
9. Barlow J. Introducing telecare: the international experience. *Public Policy and Aging Report* 2004;14(1):10-5.
10. Bass DM, et al. The buffering effect of a computer support network on caregiver strain. *J Aging Health* 1998;10(1): 20-43.
11. Beauchamp N, et al. Worksite-based Internet multimedia program for family caregivers of persons with dementia. *Gerontologist* 2005;45(6):793-801.
12. Beck CT. Quantitative measurement of caring. *J Adv Nurs* 1999;30(1):24-32.
13. Berland GK, et al. Health information on the Internet: accessibility, quality, and readability in English and Spanish. *JAMA* 2001;285(20):2612-21.
14. Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. *Toward equality of access: the role of public libraries in addressing the digital divide.* Seattle; 2004 Feb 25. http://www. gatesfoundation.org/nr/Downloads/libraries/uslibraries/ reports/TowardEqualityofAccess.pdf.
15. Bowles KH, Baugh AC. Applying research evidence to optimize telehomecare. *J Cardiovasc Nurs* 2007;22(1):5-15.
16. Brennan PF, et al. ComputerLink: electronic support for the home caregiver. *ANS Adv Nurs Sci* 1991;13(4):14-27.
17. Brennan PF, et al. The effects of a special computer network on caregivers of persons with Alzheimer's disease. *Nurs Res* 1995;44(3):166-72.
18. Brooke J. Machida journal: Japan seeks robotic help in caring for the aged. *New York Times* 2004 Mar 5. http:// query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?res=9C07E4DE113FF 936A35750C0A9629C8B63&scp=1&sq=machida+journal &st=nyt.
19. Brownsell SJ, et al. Do community alarm users want telecare? *J Telemed Telecare* 2000;6(4):199-204.
20. Buckwalter KC, et al. New technology for medication adherence: electronically managed medication dispensing system. *J Gerontol Nurs* 2004;30(7):5-8.
21. Cantor MD. No information about me without me: technology, privacy, and home monitoring. *Generations* 2006;30(2):49-53.
22. Center for Health Enhancement Systems Studies. *What is CHESS?* University of Wisconsin-Madison. 2008. https:// chess.wisc.edu/chess/projects/about_chess.aspx.
23. Cerda GM, et al. Use of telemedicine with ethnic groups. *Psychiatr Serv* 1999;50(10):1364.
24. Chetney R, et al. *Telemedicine: making a difference in home care CHF patients [concurrent session presentation].* ATA Annual Meeting and Exposition; 2002 Jun 2-5; Los Angeles: American Telemedicine Association; 2002.
25. Collins LM, et al. The Multiphase Optimization Strategy (MOST) and the Sequential Multiple Assignment Randomized Trial (SMART): new methods for more potent eHealth interventions. *Am J Prev Med* 2007;32(5 Suppl):S112-S118.
26. Connors H, et al. HITS: Health Information Technology Scholars Program. 2007. http://www.hits-colab.org/ index.htm.
27. Currell R, et al. Telemedicine versus face to face patient care: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. *Nurs Times* 2001;97(35):35.
28. Czaja SJ, et al. Factors predicting the use of technology: findings from the Center for Research and Education on Aging and Technology Enhancement (CREATE). *Psychol Aging* 2006;21(2):333-52.
29. Czaja SJ, Rubert MP. Telecommunications technology as an aid to family caregivers of persons with dementia. *Psychosom Med* 2002;64(3):469-76.
30. Czaja SJ, Schultz R. Innovations in technology and aging: introduction. *Generations* 2006;30(2):6-8.
31. Dauz E, et al. Installing computers in older adults' homes and teaching them to access a patient education Web site: a systematic approach. *Comput Inform Nurs* 2004;22(5): 266-72.
32. Day M, et al. Exploring underutilization of videophones in hospice settings. *Telemed J E Health* 2007;13(1):25-31.
33. De Rossi D, Lymberis A. New generation of smart wearable health systems and applications. *IEEE Trans Inf Technol Biomed* 2005;9(3):293-4.
34. Demiris G, et al. Older adults' attitudes towards and perceptions of "smart home" technologies: a pilot study. *Med Inform Internet Med* 2004;29(2):87-94.
35. DiSalvo C, et al. *The Hug: an exploration of robotic form for intimate communication.* The 12th IEEE International Workshop on Robot and Human Interactive Communication; 2003 Oct 31-Nov 2; Millbrae, CA: IEEE; 2003. p. 403-8. http://www.peopleandrobots.org/admin/uploads/ disalvoROMAN.pdf.
36. Dishman E, et al. Everyday health: technology for adaptive aging. In: Pew RW, Van Hemel S, editors. *Technology for adaptive aging.* Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press; 2004. p. 179-208.
37. Donelan K, et al. Challenged to care: informal caregivers in a changing health system. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2002; 21(4):222-31.
38. Flatley-Brennan P. Computer network home care demonstration: a randomized trial in persons living with AIDS. *Comput Biol Med* 1998;28(5):489-508.

39. Fox S. *Older Americans and the Internet*. Washington, D.C.: Pew Internet and American Life Project; 2004 Mar 28. Pew Internet Project Reports: Demographics; http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Seniors_Online_2004.pdf.

40. Fox S. *Digital divisions*. Washington, D.C.: Pew Internet and American Life Project; 2005 Oct 5. Pew Internet Project Reports: Demographics; http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Digital_Divisions_Oct_5_2005.pdf.

41. Fox S. *Online health search 2006*. Washington, D.C.: Pew Internet and American Life Project; 2006 Oct 29. Pew Internet Project Reports: Health; http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Online_Health_2006.pdf.

42. Fox S. *E-patients with a disability or chronic disease*. Washington, D.C.: Pew Internet and American Life Project; 2007 Oct 8. Pew Internet Project Reports: Health; http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/EPatients_Chronic_Conditions_2007.pdf.

43. Fox S, Rainie L. *The online health care revolution: how the Web helps Americans take better care of themselves*. Washington, D.C.: Pew Internet and American Life Project; 2000 Nov 26. Pew Internet Project Reports: Health; http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Health_Report.pdf.

44. Fox S, Rainie L. *Vital decisions: how Internet users decide what information to trust when they or their loved ones are sick*. Washington, D.C.: Pew Internet and American Life Project; 2004 May. Pew Internet Project Reports: Health; http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Vital_Decisions_May2002.pdf.

45. Freedman VA, et al. Trends in the use of assistive technology and personal care for late-life disability, 1992-2001. *Gerontologist* 2006;46(1):124-7.

46. Glasgow RE. eHealth evaluation and dissemination research. *Am J Prev Med* 2007;32(5 Suppl):S119-S126.

47. Glasgow RE, et al. Robustness of a computer-assisted diabetes self-management intervention across patient characteristics, healthcare settings, and intervention staff. *Am J Manag Care* 2006;12(3):137-45.

48. Goodman CC, Pynoos J. A model telephone information and support program for caregivers of Alzheimer's patients. *Gerontologist* 1990;30(3):399-404.

49. Grigsby R. 2004 *TRC report on US telemedicine activity: with an overview of non-US activity*. Portland, OR: Telemedicine Research Center; 2004.

50. Gustafson DH, et al. CHESS: 10 years of research and development in consumer health informatics for broad populations, including the underserved. *Int J Med Inform* 2002;65(3):169-77.

51. Haigh K, editor. *Automation as caregiver: the role of intelligent technology in elder care: papers from the AAAI workshop*. Menlo Park, CA: AAAI Press; 2002. http://www.aaai.org/Library/Workshops/ws02-02.php.

52. Hersh WR, et al. Clinical outcomes resulting from telemedicine interventions: a systematic review. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 2001;1:5.

53. Hersh WR, et al. Diagnosis, access and outcomes: update of a systematic review of telemedicine services. *J Telemed Telecare* 2006;12 Suppl 2:S3-S31.

54. Hersh WR, et al. *Telemedicine for the Medicare population*. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. U.S. Department for Health and Human Services; 2001 Jul. AHRQ Publication No. 01-E012. Evidence Report/Technology Assessment; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/bv.fcgi?rid=hstat1.chapter.33002.

55. Hinds PS. The relationship of nurses' caring behaviors with hopefulness and health care outcomes in adolescents. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs* 1988;2(1):21-9.

56. Hinds PS. *Caring Behaviors of Nurses Scale*. In: Watson J, editor. Assessing and measuring caring in nursing and health science. New York: Springer; 2002. p. 92-9.

57. Hsu J, et al. Use of e-Health services between 1999 and 2002: a growing digital divide. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2005;12(2):164-71.

58. Hunt GG, et al. *Symposium: how does information technology help the U.S. family [abstract]*. International Conference on Gerontechnology; 2002 Nov; Miami: International Society for Gerontechnology; 2002. p. 96.

59. Janevic MR, Connell CM. Racial, ethnic, and cultural differences in the dementia caregiving experience: recent findings. *Gerontologist* 2001;41(3):334-47.

60. Jenkins RL, White P. Telehealth advancing nursing practice. *Nurs Outlook* 2001;49(2):100-5.

61. Jennett PA, et al. Policy implications associated with the socioeconomic and health system impact of telehealth: a case study from Canada. *Telemed J E Health* 2004;10(1):77-83.

62. Johnston B, et al. Outcomes of the Kaiser Permanente Tele-Home Health Research Project. *Arch Fam Med* 2000;9(1):40-5.

63. Joseph Rowntree Foundation. *Introducing smart homes*. 2007. http://www.jrf.org.uk/housingandcare/smarthomes.

64. Kassirer JP. Patients, physicians, and the Internet. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2000;19(6):115-23.

65. Kaufman DR, Rockoff ML. Increasing access to online information about health: a program for inner-city elders in community-based organizations. *Generations* 2006;30(2):55-7.

66. Kelly K, et al. *Technology and family caregiving: advantages and challenges for delivering education, training and support*. The Era of Global Aging: Challenges and Opportunities. 60th Annual Scientific Meeting; 2007 Nov 16-20; San Francisco; 2007.

67. Kinney JM, Kart CS. Not quite a panacea: technology to facilitate family caregiving for elders with dementia. Test of a monitoring system. *Generations* 2006;30(2):64-6.

68. Kirsch SE, Lewis FM. Using the World Wide Web in health-related intervention research. A review of controlled trials. *Comput Inform Nurs* 2004;22(1):8-18.

69. Koehler JA, et al. A model for using novel nursing interventions to meet the challenges of community health needs. *Home Health Care Management and Practice* 2002;14(2):129-36.

70. Kommers N, Rainie L. *Use of the Internet at major life moments*. Washington, D.C.: Pew Internet and American Life Project; 2002 May 8. Pew Internet Project Reports: Online activities and pursuits; http://www.pewinternet.org/pdfs/PIP_Major_Moments_Report.pdf.

71. Krishna S, et al. Internet-enabled interactive multimedia asthma education program: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics* 2003;111(3):503-10.

72. Larson PJ, Ferketich SL. Patients' satisfaction with nurses' caring during hospitalization. *West J Nurs Res* 1993;15(6):690-703.

73. Lehoux P. Patients' perspectives on high-tech home care: a qualitative inquiry into the user-friendliness of four technologies. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2004;4(1):28.

74. Levine BA, et al. Mycareteam Internet diabetes management [American Telemedicine Association concurrent session abstract]. *Telemed J E Health* 2001;7(2):119-20.

75. Levy S. Give one, get one. The $100 (well, $200) laptop is ready to change the world, if people will buy it for the kids who need it. *Newsweek* 2007 Oct 1:72. http://www.newsweek.com/id/41724.

76. Locsin RC. *Technological competency as caring in nursing: a model for practice.* Indianapolis, IN: Sigma Theta Tau International; 2005.

77. Lytle JM. Robot care bears for the elderly. *BBC News* 2002 Feb 21. http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/1829021.stm.

78. Magnusson L, Hanson EJ. Ethical issues arising from a research, technology and development project to support frail older people and their family carers at home. *Health Soc Care Community* 2003;11(5):431-9.

79. Mahoney DF, et al. A computer-mediated intervention for Alzheimer's caregivers. *Comput Nurs* 1998;16(4):208-16.

80. Mahoney DM, et al. Factors affecting the use of a telephone-based intervention for caregivers of people with Alzheimer's disease. *J Telemed Telecare* 2001;7(3):139-48.

81. Malenhorst A-S, Bouwhuis DG. When do older adults consider the Internet? An exploratory study of benefit perception. *Gerontechnology* 2004;3(2):89-101.

82. Mann WC, et al. Elder acceptance of health monitoring devices in the home. *Care Manag J* 2001;3(2):91-8.

83. Matthews JT. The Nursebot Project: developing a personal robotic assistant for frail older adults in the community. *Home Health Care Management and Practice* 2002;14(5):403-5.

84. Matthews JT. Existing and emerging healthcare devices for elders to use at home. Novel ways of meeting everyday needs. *Generations* 2006;30(2):13-9.

85. Matthews JT, et al. *Robotic assistants for the elderly: designing and conducting field studies [abstract].* 10th IASTED International Conference on Robotics and Applications; 2004 Aug 23-25; Honolulu, HI: International Association of Science and Technology for Development; 2004.

86. Mol M, et al. An evaluation of the use of a website and telephonic information service as public education about forgetfulness. *Telemed J E Health* 2007;13(4):433-44.

87. Morgan RE. Computer-based technology and caregiving for older adults: exploring the range of possibilities and beyond. *Public Policy and Aging Report* 2004;14(1):1-5.

88. Murray E, et al. Interactive health communication applications for people with chronic disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005(4):CD004274.

89. Murray E, et al. The impact of health information on the Internet on the physician-patient relationship: patient perceptions. *Arch Intern Med* 2003;163(14):1727-34.

90. Nelson E-L, et al. Treating childhood depression over videoconferencing. *Telemed J E Health* 2003;9(1):49-55.

91. Nelson E-L, et al. A comparison of psychiatrist evaluation and patient symptom report in a jail telepsychiatry clinic. *Telemed J E Health* 2004;10(Suppl 2):S54-S59.

92. Noel HC, et al. Home telehealth reduces healthcare costs. *Telemed J E Health* 2004;10(2):170-83.

93. Ohinmaa A. What lessons can be learned from telemedicine programmes in other countries? *J Telemed Telecare* 2006;12 Suppl 2:S40-S44.

94. Ohta S, et al. A health monitoring system for elderly people living alone. *J Telemed Telecare* 2002;8(3):151-6.

95. Pare G, et al. Systematic review of home telemonitoring for chronic diseases: the evidence base. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2007;14(3):269-77.

96. Peddicord H, et al. Business models and return on investment. In: Wootton R, et al., editors. *Home telehealth: connecting care within the community.* London: Royal Society of Medicine Press; 2006. p. 44-52.

97. Perlin JB, Roswell RH. Why do we need technology for caregiving of older adults in the U.S.? *Public Policy and Aging Report* 2004;14(1):22-5.

98. Pew Internet and American Life Project. New report finds libraries help close digital divide but struggle to sustain public access computing services [press release]. 2004 Feb 25. http://www.pewinternet.org/press_release.asp?r=74.

99. Pew RW, Van Hemel SB, editors. *Technology for adaptive aging.* Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press; 2003.

100. Pollack ME. Autominder: a case study of assistive technology for elders with cognitive impairment. *Generations* 2006;30(2):67-8.

101. Pollack ME, et al. *Pearl: a mobile robotic assistant for the elderly.* AAAI Workshop on Automation as Eldercare. American Association of Artificial Intelligence; 2002. AAAI Technical Reports; http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~flo/papers/umich/aaai02wkshp.pdf.

102. Pollack ML, et al. Autominder: an intelligent cognitive orthotic system for people with memory impairment. R*obotics and Autonomous Systems* 2003;44(3-4):272-82.

103. Revere D, Dunbar PJ. Review of computer-generated outpatient health behavior interventions: clinical encounters "in absentia." *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2001;8(1):62-79.

104. Rheuban KS. The role of telemedicine in fostering healthcare innovations to address problems of access, specialty shortages and changing patient care needs. *J Telemed Telecare* 2006;12 Suppl 2:S45-S50.

105. Rialle V, et al. Health "smart" home: information technology for patients at home. *Telemed J E Health* 2002;8(4):395-409.

106. Rideout V, et al. *e-Health and the elderly: how seniors use the Internet for health information. Key findings from a national survey of older Americans.* Menlo Park, CA: Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation; 2005 Jan. http://www.kff.org/entmedia/upload/e-Health-and-the-Elderly-How-Seniors-Use-the-Internet-for-Health-Information-Key-Findings-From-a-National-Survey-of-Older-Americans-Survey-Report.pdf.

107. Rooney EM, et al. A model for nurse case-managed home care using televideo. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1997;45(12):1523-8.

108. Sandelowski M. Devices and desires: gender, technology, and American nursing. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press; 2000. *Studies in Social Medicine.*

109. Sandelowski M. Visible humans, vanishing bodies, and virtual nursing: complications of life, presence, place, and identity. *ANS Adv Nurs Sci* 2002;24(3):58-70.

110. Schultz R, et al. *Technology-based caregiver intervention research: current status and future directions [keynote address].* International Conference on Gerontechnology; 2002 Sep; Miami, FL: International Society for Gerontechnology; 2002. p. 15-47. http://www.gerontechnology.info/Journal/Content/Volume_2/nr_1/pdf/15-47.pdf.

111. Shea S. Health delivery system changes required when integrating telemedicine into existing treatment flows of information and patients. *J Telemed Telecare* 2006;12 Suppl 2:S85-S90.

112. Sixsmith AJ. An evaluation of an intelligent home monitoring system. *J Telemed Telecare* 2000;6(2):63-72.

113. Smith CE. Caregiving effectiveness in families managing complex technology at home: replication of a model. *Nurs Res* 1999;48(3):120-8.

114. Smith CE. *Web algorithms [poster session].* 5th International Conference on Gerontechnology; 2005 May 25-27; Nagoya, Japan: International Society for Gerontechnology; 2005.

115. Smith CE. *Technological home care: improving caregiving* [National Institute of Nursing Research grant]. Kansas City, KS: University of Kansas Medical Center 2005.

116. Smith CE. *Testing Virtual Nurse Caring of picture phones and the Internet [abstract]*. 29th Congress of ESPN; 2007; Prague: European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism; 2007.

117. Smith CE, et al. Quality assurance processes for designing patient education Web sites. *Comput Inform Nurs* 2002; 20(5):191-200.

118. Smith CE, et al. Feasibility of in-home telehealth for conducting nursing research. *Clin Nurs Res* 2002;11(2):220-33.

119. Smith CE, et al. Telehealth services to improve nonadherence: a placebo-controlled study. *Telemed J E Health* 2006; 12(3):289-96.

120. Smith CE, et al. Efficiency of families managing home health care. *Annals of Operations Research* 1997;73:157-75.

121. Smith CE, et al. Caregiving effectiveness model evolution to a midrange theory of home care: a process for critique and replication. *ANS Adv Nurs Sci* 2002;25(1):50-64.

122. Smith TL, Toseland RW. The effectiveness of a telephone support program for caregivers of frail older adults. *Gerontologist* 2006;46(5):620-9.

123. Soran OZ. *Societal cost and cost to Medicare for enhanced monitoring using a computer-based telephonic monitoring system in older patients with heart failure: the Heart Failure Home Care Trial [poster]*. American College of Cardiology 57th Annual Scientific Session; 2008 Mar 29-Apr 1; Chicago; 2008. http://www.heart.org/presenter.jhtml? identifier=3055379.

124. Speedie SM, Davies D. Telehealth and the national health information technology strategic framework. *J Telemed Telecare* 2006;12 Suppl 2:S59-S64.

125. Stefanov DH, et al. The smart house for older persons and persons with physical disabilities: structure, technology arrangements, and perspectives. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng* 2004;12(2):228-50.

126. Stiehl WD, et al. *The design of the Huggable: a therapeutic robotic companion for relational, affective touch*. AAAI Fall Symposium on Caring Machines: AI in Eldercare; 2006 Nov 3-6; Washington, D.C.: American Association of Artificial Intelligence; 2006. http://web.media.mit.edu/ ~wdstiehl/Publications/FS205StiehlWDtoAppear.pdf.

127. Vanderheiden GC. Potential impact of new technologies on telecommunication for elders. Greater ease of use, less cost. *Generations* 2006;30(2):9-12.

128. Wachter GW. *Malpractice and telemedicine liability: the uncharted waters of medical risk*. Telemedicine Information Exchange. 2002. http://tie.telemed.org/articles/article.asp? path=articles&article=malpracticeLiability_gw_tie02.xml.

129. Wagner TH, Hibbard JH. Who uses self-care books, advice nurses, and computers for health information? *Int J Technol Assess Health Care* 2001;17(4):590-600.

130. Watson J. Reconsidering caring in the home. *Geriatr Nurs* 2000;21(6):330-1.

131. Watson J. Metaphysics of virtual caring communities. *International Journal for Human Caring* 2002;6(1):41-5.

132. Watson J. *Assessing and measuring caring in nursing and health science*. New York: Springer; 2003.

133. Wetle TF, et al. *Plenary session 6: how is technology changing the future of caregiving for older adults?* Setting Priorities for Retirement Years Foundation National Conference: Computer-Based Technology and Caregiving for Older Adults; 2003 Oct 2-3; Bethesda, MD; 2003. http://www.spry.org/conference/documents/agenda.html.

134. Whitten P. Telemedicine: communication technologies that revolutionize healthcare services. Simple applications now, virtual reality and simulation soon. *Generations* 2006; 30(2):20-4.

135. Whitten P, et al. Telehospice carries end-of-life care over the lines. *Nurs Manage* 2003;34(11):36-9.

136. Whitten P, et al. Results of a meta-analysis of cost-benefit research: is this a question worth asking? *J Telemed Telecare* 2000;6 Suppl 1:S4-S6.

137. Whitten P, Kuwahara E. Telemedicine from the payor perspective: considerations for reimbursement decisions. *Disease Management and Health Outcomes* 2003;11(5): 291-8.

138. Winkler MF, et al. Technology dependence in home care: impact on patients and their family caregivers. *Nutr Clin Pract* 2006;21(6):544-56.

139. Wright LK, et al. Telecommunication interventions for caregivers of elders with dementia. *ANS Adv Nurs Sci* 1998;20(3):76-88.

140. Yellowlees PW, Harry D. Standards for data collection and monitoring in a telemedicine research network. *J Telemed Telecare* 2006;12 Suppl 2:S72-S76.