
GDT
CFM
Study and Year
ARF
Total
ARF
Total
Weight
OR [95% CI]
GDT- vs. CFM-
Polonen 2000 1 196 3 197 3.50% 0.33 [0.03 , 3.22]
GDT- vs. CFM+
Bishop 1995 6 50 16 65 13.65% 0.42 [0.15 , 1.16]
McKendry 2004 1 89 3 85 3.47% 0.31 [0.03 , 3.05]
ProCESS 2014 12 382 24 399 22.17% 0.51 [0.25 , 1.03]
GDT+ vs. CFM+
Gattinoni 1995 268 510 138 252 41.74% 0.91 [0.68 , 1.24]
Kapoor 2008 1 13 1 14 2.23% 1.08 [0.06 , 19.31]
Jhanji 2010 7 90 10 45 13.25% 0.30 [0.10 , 0.84]
Random effects model for all studies
0.58 [0.37 , 0.90]
Test for heterogeneity: Tau^2 = 0.1, Q (df = 6) = 8.26, P = 0.22; I^2 = 30.6%
Test for overall effect: t = -3 (P = 0.02)
0.01
0.10
1.00
10.00
Odds Ratio