	h-INS	h-INS/DIPR	h-INS/IL	ff-INS	ff-INS/DIPR	ff-INS/IL
glucose 6-phosphate [nmol/g dry wt]	223 (39)	234 (29)	233 (29)	214 (26)	203 (38)	218 (12)
	p(ANOVA)=0.839			p(ANOVA)=0.636		
fructose 6-phosphate [nmol/g dry wt]	55 (12)	59 (12)	54 (9)	53 (44;67)	54 (46;63)	57 (53;73)
	p(ANOVA)=0.720			p(Kruskal-Wallis)=0.503		
fructose-1,6-bisphosphate [nmol/g dry wt]	25 (9)	32 (13)	27 (5)	29 (9)	17 (5)#	27 (7)
	p(ANOVA)=0.419			p(ANOVA)=0.022		

Supplementary Table 1: Glycolytic metabolites measured at the end of aerobic perfusions

Data are presented as mean (SD) or median (25th; 75th percentile). N=6. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to compare INS, INS/DIPR, and INS/IL from healthy (h) and from fructose-fed (ff) rats: It was followed by a multiple comparison procedure (Holm-Sidak method). If the condition of normality was not met (i.e. Shapiro-Wick test p<0.05) a Kruskal-Wallis One Way Analysis of Variance on Ranks was performed.

#, significantly different from ff-INS and ff-INS/IL group.

Abbreviations are as follows: h, healthy (hearts from control rats); ff, hearts from fructose-fed rats: INS, treatment with insulin alone; INS/DIPR, treatment with insulin and Diprivan[®] (10 μ M); INS/IL, treatment with insulin and Intralipid[®].