

Appendix 1 Supplementary readings

Readings on whether hypnosis induction results in a meaningful increase in susceptibility to suggestions, and on increased suggestibility in medical settings:

Kihlstrom JF. Convergence in understanding hypnosis? Perhaps, but perhaps not quite so fast.

International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis 1997;45:324-32.

Kihlstrom JF. The fox, the hedgehog, and hypnosis. International Journal of Clinical and

Experimental Hypnosis 2003;51:166-89.

Kirsch I, Lynn SJ. The Altered State of Hypnosis: Changes in the Theoretical Landscape.

American Psychologist 1995;50:846-58.

Varga K. Beyond the Words: Communication and Suggestion in Medical Practice. New York,

US: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2011.

Milling LS, Kirsch I, Allen GJ, Reutenauer EL. The effects of hypnotic and nonhypnotic

imaginative suggestion on pain. Annals of Behavioral Medicine 2005;29:116-27.

Cheek DB. Communication with the critically ill. American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis

1969;12:75-85.

Bejenke CJ. Painful medical procedures. In: Barber J, ed. Hypnosis and suggestion in the

treatment of pain New York & London: Norton & Company, 1996:209-65.

Bejenke CJ. Preparation of patients for stressful medical interventions: Some very simple

approaches. In: Peter B, Trenkle B, Kinzel FC, Duffner C, Iost-Peter A, eds. Hypnosis

International Monographs No 2: Munich lectures on hypnosis and psychotherap München:

MEG-Stiftung, 1996:27-36.

Readings on moderator effect of age on susceptibility to suggestions in medical settings and on suggestive techniques applied in a pediatric population:

Accardi MC, Milling LS. The effectiveness of hypnosis for reducing procedure-related pain in children and adolescents: A comprehensive methodological review. *Journal of behavioral medicine* 2009;32:328-39.

Kuttner L. Pediatric hypnosis: pre-, peri-, and post-anesthesia. *Pediatric Anesthesia* 2012;22:573-7.

For statistical methods used in determining publication bias, calculating treatment effect and analysis of the data, see:

Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics* 1994;50:1088-101.

Sterne JAC, Egger M. Regression methods to detect publication and other bias in meta-analysis. In: Rothstein HR, Sutton AJ, Borenstein M, eds. *Publication bias in meta-analysis: Prevention, assessment and adjustments* Chichester, England: Wiley, 2005:99-110.

Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and Fill: A Simple Funnel- Plot–Based Method of Testing and Adjusting for Publication Bias in Meta- Analysis. *Biometrics* 2000;56:455-63.

Richard J, Pillemer DB. *Summing up: the science of reviewing research* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1984.

Hedges LV. Distribution theory for Glass's estimator of effect size and related estimators. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics* 1981;6:107-28.

- Johnson BT, Eagly AH. Quantitative synthesis of social psychological research. In: Reis HT, Judd CM, eds. *Handbook of Research Methods in Social Psychology* London: Cambridge University Press, 2000:496-528.
- Lipsey MW, Wilson DB. *Practical meta-analysis* Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, Incorporated, 2001.
- Rosenthal R, Rubin D. Meta-analytic procedures for combining studies with multiple effect sizes. *Psychological Bulletin* 1986;99:400-6.
- DeCoster J. Meta-analysis. In: Kempf-Leonard K, ed. *The encyclopedia of social measurement* San Diego, CA: Academic Press, 2004:1-19.
- Higgins J, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta- analysis. *Statistics in medicine* 2002;21:1539-58.
- Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *British Medical Journal* 2003;327:557-60.
- Hedges LV, Vevea JL. Fixed-and random-effects models in meta-analysis. *Psychological methods* 1998;3:486-504.
- Higgins J, Thompson SG. Controlling the risk of spurious findings from meta-regression. *Statistics in medicine* 2004;23:1663-82.