

## Supplemental Digital Appendix 1

### Example Search Strategy in the OVID (Medline) Database

1. exp Students, Medical/
2. exp Education, Medical, Undergraduate/
3. 1 or 2
4. limit 3 to (english language and yr = "2002 - 2013")
5. exp Curriculum/
6. exp Professional Competence/
7. exp Clinical Competence/
8. exp Program Evaluation/
9. exp Mind-Body Therapies/
10. exp Behavior/
11. exp Health Behavior/
12. exp Attitude to Health/
13. exp Health Knowledge, Attitudes, Practice/
14. exp Life Style/
15. exp Physician-Patient Relations/
16. exp Physician's Role/
17. exp Professional Practice/
18. exp Public Health/
19. exp "Delivery of Health Care"
20. exp Culture/
21. exp Anthropology, Medical/
22. exp Philosophy, Medical/
23. exp Medicine, Traditional
24. exp Health Policy/
25. olicy making/
26. exp "Delivery of Health Care"/
27. exp Health Care Costs/
28. exp Economics, Medical/
29. 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 or 24  
or 25 or 26 or 27 or 28
30. 5 or 6 or 7 or 8
31. 4 and 29 and 30

## Supplemental Digital Appendix 2

### Characteristics of Articles Reviewed as Part of a Systematic Review of the Literature on Tools to Assess Behavioral and Social Science Competencies in Medical Education, 2002-2014

Step 1 of data abstraction (n = 170): Article characteristics	Instrument development, no. (% of 21)	Educational research, no. (% of 62)	Curriculum evaluation, no. (% of 87)
<b>BSS competency</b>			
Yes	20 (95)	59 (95)	83 (95)
No	1 (5)	3 (5)	4 (5)
<b>Quality of assessment instrument</b>			
Yes	21 (100)	48 (77)	46 (53)
No	0	14 (23)	41 (47)
Step 2 of data abstraction (n = 114): Article characteristics	Instrument development, no. (% of 20)	Educational research, no. (% of 48)	Curriculum evaluation, no. (% of 46)
<b>Institutional review board (IRB) review mentioned</b>	13 (65)	35 (73)	36 (78)
Approval granted	12 (60)	30 (63)	27 (56)
Exemption granted	1 (5)	3 (6)	8 (15)
Waiver granted	0	2 (4)	0
IRB not applicable	0	0	1 (2)
<b>Research/Evaluation design<sup>a</sup></b>			
Randomized design with or without controls	1 (5)	23 (48)	0
Prospective cohort with historical controls	0	3 (6)	7 (15)
Prospective cohort pre-post	1 (5)	6 (13)	24 (52)
Prospective cohort without baseline	1 (5)	13 (27)	9 (20)
Retrospective cohort	1 (5)	2 (4)	1 (2)
Case control	0	0	3 (7)
Cross-sectional	3 (15)	4 (8)	3 (7)
Crossover	1 (5)	0	0
Case series	0	1 (2)	1 (2)
Multiple groups	7 (35)	0	2 (4)
Quasi-experimental	0	1 (2)	1 (2)
Quasi-cohort control	0	1 (2)	0
Longitudinal	2 (10)	1 (2)	1 (2)
Observational	4 (20)	1 (2)	0
<b>Instrument validation methodology<sup>a</sup></b>			
Extensive pilot testing	4 (20)	6 (13)	5 (11)
Test/retest	4 (20)	3 (6)	1 (2)
Multiple observer	11 (55)	14 (29)	17 (37)
Formal psychometric assessment	19 (95)	25 (52)	17 (37)
<b>Learner level of participants<sup>a</sup></b>			

Medical students	11 (55)	41 (85)	42 (91)
Residents	4 (20)	7 (15)	3 (7)
Practicing physicians	5 (25)	2 (4)	0
Other <sup>b</sup>	2 (10)	4 (8)	6 (13)
<b>BSS framework or competency measurement used<sup>a</sup></b>			
Framework			
<i>Transtheoretical model/motivational interviewing</i>	1 (5)	9 (19)	4 (9)
<i>Miller's Assessment Model</i>	0	2 (4)	0
<i>Bandura's Self-Efficacy/Cognitive Learning Theory</i>	0	1 (2)	3 (7)
<i>Other<sup>c</sup></i>	1 (5)	11 (23)	7 (15)
Competency measurement			
<i>Kalamazoo Consensus Statement</i>	2 (10)	1 (2)	2 (4)
<i>Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy</i>	2 (10)	2 (4)	3 (7)
<i>Calgary Cambridge Observation Guide</i>	0	3 (6)	4 (9)
<i>5 A's (Ask, Advise, Assess, Assist, Arrange)</i>	0	1 (2)	2 (4)
<i>Four Habits Model/Coding Scheme</i>	2 (10)	1 (2)	0
<i>Other<sup>d</sup></i>	20 (100)	34 (71)	38 (83)
<i>None/not specified</i>	1 (5)	3 (6)	5 (11)

<sup>a</sup> These categories are not mutually exclusive.

<sup>b</sup> One with dental students, physician assistant students, fellows, psychology students, consultants, and non-professional undergraduates, and two each with nursing and pharmacy students.

<sup>c</sup> One with Health Belief Model, Stanford Model of Behavioral Change, Blended Conceptual Model, Biopsychosocial Model, Generalizability Theory, Ecological Model, Socioemotional Framework, Howell (unconscious incompetent to unconsciously competent), Experimental teaching mode for communication, Bloom's educational domains, Gardner's theory of multiple intelligences, Bowen and Carline strategy for adult learning, Knowles Adult Learning theory, Kolb's experiential learning, Perkins Dispositional Theory of Thinking, Shared Model for treatment, and two with SEGUE framework.

<sup>d</sup> See Supplemental Digital Appendix 8 for the complete list of other competency measurements.

### Supplemental Digital Appendix 3

#### Additional Characteristics of Articles Included in a Systematic Review of the Literature on Tools to Assess Behavioral and Social Science (BSS) Competencies in Medical Education, 2002-2014

Characteristics	Instrument development, no. (% of 20)	Educational research, no. (% of 48)	Curriculum evaluation, no. (% of 46)
<b>BSS learner competencies assessed<sup>a,b</sup></b>			
Communication/patient education (n = 65)	14 (70)	30 (63)	21 (46)
Cultural competence/patient-centered care (n = 20)	1 (5)	8 (17)	11 (20)
Empathy/compassion (n = 17)	4 (20)	7 (15)	6 (13)
Behavioral health counseling/motivational interviewing (n = 20)	0	9 (19)	11 (24)
Professionalism (n = 8)	3 (15)	4 (8)	1 (2)
Teamwork (n = 5)	0	1 (2)	4 (9)
<b>Type of assessment tool used<sup>a</sup></b>			
Validated knowledge, attitudes, skills assessment tool (n = 84)	17 (85)	37 (77)	30 (65)
Assessment of learner performance with standardized patients (videotaped or observer in the room) (n = 45)	6 (30)	21 (44)	18 (39)
Assessment of learner performance with actual patients (videotaped or observer in the room) (n = 5)	2 (10)	1 (2)	2 (4)
Trainee evaluations (evaluations of learners by training observers in the rotation) (n = 1)	0	1 (2)	0
Other <sup>b</sup> (n = 17)	0	11 (23)	6 (13)
<b>Strength of findings<sup>a</sup></b>			
Testing mentioned but no specifics on methods (n = 16)	1 (5)	7 (15)	8 (17)
Pilot testing without, or no mention of, cognitive interviewing/multiple observers (n = 14)	3 (15)	5 (10)	6 (13)
Pilot testing with cognitive interviewing/multiple observers (n = 4)	2 (10)	2 (4)	0
Validation testing with test-retest (n = 18)	7 (35)	6 (13)	5 (11)
Validation with expert consensus (n = 43)	3 (15)	20 (42)	17 (37)
Psychometric assessment (e.g., factor analysis, Cronbach's alpha) (n = 76)	18 (90)	34 (71)	24 (52)
<b>Ranking of evidence</b>			

Weak: no or limited information about the validity/reliability of the measures or study design limited (n = 19)	3 (15)	5 (10)	11 (24)
Moderate: some information about the reliability of the measures but not tested/reassessed in the specifics of the study and/or study design moderately rigorous (n = 62)	6 (30)	24 (50)	32 (70)
Strong: tool retested/evaluated in current study and/or study design strong (n = 33)	11 (55)	19 (40)	3 (7)
<b>Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education core competencies<sup>a</sup></b>			
Patient care (n = 84)	13 (65)	30 (63)	41 (89)
Medical knowledge (n = 59)	9 (45)	21 (44)	29 (63)
Practice-based learning and improvement (n = 3)	2 (10)	1 (2)	0
Interpersonal skills and communication (n = 111)	18 (90)	48 (100)	45 (98)
Professionalism (n = 69)	12 (60)	14 (29)	23 (50)
Systems-based practice (n = 6)	2 (10)	1 (2)	3 (7)
None (n = 1)	0	1 (2)	0

<sup>a</sup> These categories are not mutually exclusive.

<sup>b</sup> These categories met our conceptual framework for review as outlined in Table 1.

## Supplemental Digital Appendix 4

### Other Study Characteristics

Study Characteristics	Instrument Development with Psychometric Assessment Only (n=20)	Educational Research Studies (n=48)	Curriculum Evaluation Studies (n=46)
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
<b>Site of Study</b>	<b>n=20</b>	<b>n=48</b>	<b>n=46</b>
United States	8 (40.0%)	19 (39.6%)	32 (69.6%)
Canada	3 (15.0%)	4 (8.3%)	0
United Kingdom	4 (20.0%)	4 (8.3%)	4 (8.7%)
Netherlands	2 (10.0%)	4 (8.3%)	0
Germany	0	3 (6.3%)	4 (8.8%)
New Zealand	0	3 (6.3%)	0
Other*	3 (15.0%)	11 (22.9%)	5 (10.9%)
<b>Specialty†</b>	<b>n=20</b>	<b>n=48</b>	<b>n=46</b>
Not specified	8 (40.0%)	33 (68.8%)	30 (65.2%)
Multi-disciplinary	4 (20.0%)	4 (8.3%)	8 (17.4%)
Internal Medicine	3 (15.0%)	4 (8.3%)	3 (6.5%)
Family Medicine	2 (10.0%)	3 (6.3%)	1 (2.2%)
Pediatrics	1 (5.0%)	3 (6.3%)	0
Surgery	3 (15.0%)	3 (6.3%)	1 (2.2%)
Psychiatry	0	2 (4.2%)	1 (2.2%)
Emergency Medicine	0	2 (4.2%)	0
Other††	2 (10.0%)	1 (2.1%)	3 (6.5%)
<b>Describes Educational Program</b>	<b>n=20</b>	<b>n=48</b>	<b>n=46</b>
Yes	4 (20.0%)	35 (72.9%)	44 (95.7%)
No	16 (80.0%)	13 (27.1%)	2 (4.3%)
<b>If yes, # hours</b>	<b>n=4</b>	<b>n=35</b>	<b>n=44</b>
1-4	1 (25.0%)	8 (22.9%)	9 (20.5%)
5-8	0	4 (11.4%)	3 (6.8%)
9-12	0	0	1 (2.3%)
> 12	1 (25.0%)	7 (20.0%)	11 (25.0%)
Not specified	2 (50.0%)	16 (45.7%)	20 (45.4%)
<b>Curriculum Format Tested (categories not mutually exclusive)†</b>	<b>n=4</b>	<b>n=35</b>	<b>n=44</b>
Didactic lecture	1 (25.0%)	19 (52.3%)	26 (59.1%)
Seminar	1 (25.0%)	24 (68.6%)	39 (88.6%)
Role play with peers/health provider	0	18 (51.4%)	17 (38.6%)
Practice/demonstration with standardized patients	2	15 (42.9%)	16 (36.4%)
Practice/demonstration with actual patients	0	2 (5.7%)	15 (34.1%)

Practice/demonstration with expert instructors	0	0	3 (6.8%)
Observe clinician interaction with SPs	0	1 (2.9%)	3 (6.8%)
Observe clinician interaction with actual patients	0	4 (11.4%)	4 (9.1%)
<b>Curriculum Format Tested (categories not mutually exclusive) †</b>	<b>n=4</b>	<b>n=35</b>	<b>n=44</b>
Virtual patients/Avatars	0	3 (8.6%)	2 (4.5%)
Web-based module	1 (25.0%)	6 (17.1%)	6 (13.6%)
Written/video vignettes	1 (25.0%)	9 (25.7%)	11 (25.0%)
Case-based learning	2 (50.0%)	5 (14.3%)	10 (22.7%)
PBL Cases	0	1 (2.9%)	3 (6.8%)
Clinical rotations/ clerkships/ preceptorships	1 (25.0%)	2 (5.7%)	5 (11.4%)
Clinical tutorials	1 (25.0%)	6 (17.1%)	3 (6.8%)
Community experience	0	1 (2.9%)	3 (6.8%)
Assigned Readings	0	4 (11.4%)	11 (25.0%)
Teamwork/ interdisciplinary meetings	0	0	2 (4.5%)
Feedback	2 (50.0%)	7 (20.0%)	11 (25.0%)
Self-reflection/ self-evaluation	0	5 (14.3%)	7 (15.9%)
Essays/ narrative writing/ projects	2 (50.0%)	1 (2.9%)	6 (13.6%)
Workshops	1 (25.0%)	2 (5.7%)	4 (9.1%)
Poetry/theater performances/skits/ DVDs	0	5 (14.3%)	1 (2.3%)

Categories are not mutually exclusive.

\* One from Yemen, Malaysia, Ireland, Taiwan, Spain and Indonesia; two from Norway, Switzerland, Japan, Turkey, Finland, Belgium, and Israel.

† Includes: avatar assessment, web-based module assessment, analysis of video vignettes, linguistic analysis, and content analysis of written reports, reflective essays, critical incident reports, and email messages.

†† One with OB/GYN, geriatrics, public health, palliative care, primary care, and nursing

## Supplemental Digital Appendix 5

### Publications with Moderate Ranking of Evidence for Behavioral and Social Science Competencies According to ACGME Competencies, LCME Accreditation Requirements, Learner Level, Guiding Framework, Type of Study and Assessment Methodology

Assessment Tool	Framework	Validation Methodology	Type of Study	Learner Level	*LCME Accreditation Requirements	ACGME Competencies Assessed	Author, Year & Citation #
<b>Communication Competencies (n=28)</b>							
SPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ICST</li> <li>• Calgary Cambridge Observation Guide</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Psychometric assessment</li> <li>• Pilot testing (no mention of cognitive interviewing)</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Bachmann et al, 2013 <sup>1</sup>
Validated KAS assessment tool	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Doctor-Patient Scale</li> <li>• Social Context Scale</li> <li>• Leeds Attitude to Concordance Scale</li> <li>• Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy</li> <li>• Communication Attitude Scale</li> <li>• Calgary-Cambridge Observation Guide</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Test-retest</li> <li>• Expert consensus</li> <li>• Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Bombeke et al, 2011 <sup>2</sup>



SPs	None	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-21, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Feeley et al, 2010 <sup>3</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>• SPs</li> </ul>	Kalamazoo Consensus Statement	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Hausberg et al, 2012 <sup>4</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>• SPs</li> </ul>	Professional development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pilot testing (no mention of cognitive interviewing)</li> <li>• Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Joeke et al, 2011 <sup>5</sup>
Validated KAS assessment tool	Poirier	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-20, ED-21, ED-22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Tiuraniemi et al, 2011 <sup>6</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>• SPs</li> </ul>	Calgary Cambridge Observation Guide	Testing mentioned (no specifics on methods)	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Turan et al, 2009 <sup>7</sup>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>Actual patients</li> </ul>	FM-GMU Skills	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	Residents	ED-19, ED-14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Claramita and Majoor, 2006 <sup>8</sup>
Actual patients	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient-Centered Observation form</li> <li>Kalamazoo Consensus Statement</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expert consensus</li> <li>Testing mentioned (no specifics on method)</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	Medical Students and Faculty	ED-19, ED-14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Mauksch et al, 2013 <sup>9</sup>
Evaluation of student essays derived from video OSCE	Miller's Assessment Pyramid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expert consensus</li> <li>Test-retest</li> <li>Pilot testing (no mention of cognitive interviewing)</li> </ul>	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Hulsman et al, 2004 <sup>10</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> <li>Trainee evaluations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ALACT reflection scale</li> <li>History Taking Assessment Scale</li> <li>Social and Academic Reputation</li> <li>TIPI</li> <li>Student peer assessment</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Hulsman et al, 2013 <sup>11</sup>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> </ul>	Bayer-Fetzer Kalamazoo Consensus Statement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Test-retest</li> <li>Expert consensus</li> <li>Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Ishikawa et al, 2010 <sup>12</sup>
SPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient-Physician Interaction Scale</li> <li>Interpreter Impact Rating Scale</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-21, ED-22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Lie et al, 2010 <sup>13</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> <li>OSVE</li> <li>EUFM</li> <li>MAAS</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Generalizability theory</li> <li>Calgary-Cambridge Observation Guide</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pilot testing (no mention of cognitive interviewing)</li> <li>Expert consensus</li> <li>Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Karabilgin et al, 2012 <sup>14</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Self-Assessment of Interpersonal Competence Questionnaire (SAICQ)</li> <li>Interpersonal Skills Rating Scale (ISRS)</li> <li>Staff-Patient Interaction Rating Scale (SPIR)</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Shapiro et al, 2009 <sup>15</sup>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Calgary-Cambridge Observation Guide</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Simmenroth-Nayda et al, 2012 <sup>16</sup>
Validated KAS assessment tool	Miller's Assessment Model	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expert consensus</li> <li>Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Yeap et al, 2008 <sup>17</sup>
SPs	Integrated Procedural Performance Instrument (IPPI)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Test-retest</li> <li>Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Instrument Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Medical Students</li> <li>Residents</li> </ul>	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	LeBlanc et al, 2009 <sup>18</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> <li>Actual patients</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Art of Medicine Survey Instrument</li> <li>Interpersonal Skills Rating Form</li> <li>ABIM Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire</li> <li>Ware's Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Instrument Development	Residents	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Practice-based learning and improvement</li> <li>Professionalism</li> <li>Systems-based practice</li> </ul>	Leung et al, 2012 <sup>19</sup>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Master Interview Rating Scale (MIRS)</li> <li>Arizona Clinical Interview Rating Scale (ACIR)</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-20, ED-21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Wagner et al, 2011 <sup>20</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Psychological Medical Inventory Scale</li> <li>Bio-psychosocial Model</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19	Interpersonal/communication skills	Bachner et al, 2012 <sup>21</sup>
SPs	Simulation measures	Expert consensus	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>Systems-based practice</li> </ul>	King et al, 2012 <sup>22</sup>
Validated KAS assessment tool	None	Psychometric assessment	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19	Interpersonal/communication skills	Koponen et al, 2012 <sup>23</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>Actual patients</li> </ul>	Arizona Clinical Interviewing Rating Scale	Expert consensus	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-21, ED-14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>Professionalism</li> </ul>	Hook and Pfeiffer, 2007 <sup>24</sup>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>• Qualitative grounded theory</li> </ul>	Bandura's Cognitive theory	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expert consensus</li> <li>• Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Systems-based practice</li> </ul>	Mason and Ellershaw, 2008 <sup>25</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validated KAS assessment tool</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Program in Palliative Care Education</li> <li>• Program in Palliative Care Education and Practice</li> <li>• Collet-Lester-Fear-of-Death-Scale</li> <li>• Bandura's Theory</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-22, ED-23, ED-13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Schulz et al, 2013 <sup>26</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Video vignette assessment</li> <li>• Validated assessment tool</li> </ul>	Bandura's Self-Efficacy	Psychometric assessment	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Aper et al, 2012 <sup>27</sup>

Analysis of email messages	None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expert consensus</li> <li>• Pilot testing (no mention of cognitive interviewing)</li> </ul>	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Christner et al, 2010 <sup>28</sup>
<b>Cultural Competence/Patient-centered Care Competencies (n=12)</b>							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validated KAS assessment tool</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Howell (unconscious incompetent to unconscious competent)</li> <li>• Bennett's intercultural sensitivity</li> <li>• Culhane-Pera adaptation of the Bennett model</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-21, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Crandall et al, 2003 <sup>29</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validated KAS assessment tool</li> </ul>	IOM: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care	Testing mentioned (no specifics on method)	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-20, ED-21, ED-22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Jarris et al, 2012 <sup>30</sup>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> </ul>	Cultural competency (TACCT)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Test-retest</li> <li>Expert consensus</li> <li>Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-20, ED-21, ED-22, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>Professionalism</li> </ul>	Mihalic et al, 2010 <sup>31</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> </ul>	Deaf cultural competency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pilot testing (no mention of cognitive interviewing)</li> <li>Expert consensus</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Medical Students</li> <li>Faculty</li> </ul>	ED-10, ED-19, ED-21, ED-22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>Professionalism</li> </ul>	Hoang et al, 2011 <sup>32</sup>
Validated KAS assessment tool	Knowledge of cultural competency	Testing mentioned (no specifics)	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-21, ED-22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Genao et al, 2009 <sup>33</sup>
Validated KAS assessment tool	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Attitudes about cross cultural care</li> <li>self-reported preparedness</li> <li>self-assessment of skills</li> </ul>	Pilot testing (cognitive interviewing)	Educational Research	Residents	ED-19, ED-20, ED-21, ED-22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>Professionalism</li> </ul>	Weissman et al, 2005 <sup>34</sup>



<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sexual response phases</li> <li>PLISSIT</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Psychometric assessment</li> <li>Pilot testing (no mention of cognitive interviewing)</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>Professionalism</li> </ul>	Cushing et al, 2005 <sup>35</sup>
Validated KAS assessment tool	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Kalamazoo Consensus Statement</li> <li>Project RESPECT's client-centered counseling model</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expert consensus</li> <li>Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Instrument Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>Professionalism</li> </ul>	Kim et al, 2009 <sup>36</sup>
Validated KAS assessment tool	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CanMEDS framework</li> <li>CELI (Control, Explain, Listen, Influencing and Support)</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Instrument Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Residents</li> <li>Practicing Physicians</li> </ul>	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Professionalism</li> </ul>	Wouda et al, 2011 <sup>37</sup>
Content analysis of students' written reports	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Population health competencies recommended by AAMC and RMPHECs</li> <li>Ecological model</li> </ul>	Expert consensus	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-20, ED-21, ED-22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Professionalism</li> <li>Systems-based practice</li> </ul>	Hoover and Wong, 2012 <sup>38</sup>

• Validated KAS assessment tool	Sexual attitude scale	Testing mentioned (no specifics on method)	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-21, ED-22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	McGarvey et al, 2003 <sup>39</sup>
• Validated KAS assessment tool	None	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-20, ED-21, ED-22, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Tang et al, 2002 <sup>40</sup>
<b>Empathy/Compassion Competencies (n=4)</b>							
Validated KAS assessment tool	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transtheoretical model</li> <li>• Behavior Change Counseling Index (BECCI)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Test-retest</li> <li>• Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Lim et al, 2013 <sup>41</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SPs</li> <li>• Linguistic analysis</li> </ul>	None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expert consensus</li> <li>• Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Shapiro et al, 2006 <sup>42</sup>

Validated KAS assessment tool	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reynolds Empathy Scale</li> <li>• Barrett-Lennard Empathy Scale</li> <li>• Consultation and Relational Empathy (CARE) measure</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Test-retest</li> <li>• Expert consensus</li> <li>• Psychometric assessment</li> <li>• Pilot testing (cognitive interviewing)</li> </ul>	Instrument Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Residents</li> <li>• Practicing Physicians</li> </ul>	ED-19, ED-14	Interpersonal/communication skills	Mercer et al, 2004 <sup>43</sup>
Validated KAS assessment tool	Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Testing mentioned (no specifics on method)</li> </ul>	Educational Research	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Medical Students</li> <li>• Residents</li> </ul>	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Fernandez-Olano et al, 2008 <sup>44</sup>
<b>Behavioral Health Counseling (n=12)</b>							
• Validated KAS assessment tool	• 5 A's Patient-Centered Care Model	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expert consensus</li> <li>• Pilot testing (no mention of cognitive interviewing)</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Bass et al, 2004 <sup>45</sup>
• Validated KAS assessment tool	Transtheoretical model/ motivational interviewing	Testing mentioned (no specifics on method)	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Bell and Cole, 2008 <sup>46</sup>
• Validated KAS assessment tool	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transtheoretical model</li> <li>• University of Arizona's Cessation Skills Certification Guide</li> </ul>	Expert consensus	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-20, ED-14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Brown et al, 2004 <sup>47</sup>

SPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shared decision-making (Elwyn et al)</li> <li>• Making Good Decisions In Collaboration (MAGIC)</li> </ul>	Expert consensus	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Han et al, 2014 <sup>48</sup>
SPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stanford Model of Behavioral Change</li> <li>• 5 A's (Ask, Advise, Assess, Assist, Arrange)</li> </ul>	Expert consensus	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-20, ED-14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Kosowicz et al, 2007 <sup>49</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validated KAS assessment tool</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Transtheoretical model/ motivational interviewing</li> <li>• Helpful Response Questionnaire</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Martino et al, 2007 <sup>50</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SPs</li> <li>• Analysis of post-encounter note</li> </ul>	George Washington Institute for Spirituality and Health (GWISH) competencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expert consensus</li> <li>• Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-21, ED-22, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	McEvoy et al, 2014 <sup>51</sup>
SPs	Transtheoretical model/ motivational interviewing (MITI)	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	White et al, 2007 <sup>52</sup>

•SPs	Cohen-Cole scoring sheet for identifying and treating HPV	•Expert consensus	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-20	•Patient care •Medical knowledge •Interpersonal/communication skills •Professionalism	Edwardsen et al, 2006 <sup>53</sup>
•SPs	•Transtheoretical model/ motivational interviewing	•Expert consensus •Psychometric assessment	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-20	•Patient care •Interpersonal/communication skills	Haeseler et al, 2011 <sup>54</sup>
•Validated KAS assessment tool •SPs	Transtheoretical model	•Psychometric assessment	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-20	•Patient care •Medical knowledge •Interpersonal/communication skills	Prochaska et al, 2007 <sup>55</sup>
•Validated KAS assessment tool •SPs	Transtheoretical model/ motivational interviewing	Expert consensus	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19, ED-20, ED-14	•Patient care •Medical knowledge •Interpersonal/communication skills	Stolz et al, 2012 <sup>56</sup>
<b>Professionalism Competencies (n=4)</b>							
•Validated KAS assessment tool •analysis of vignettes	Boenink measures of reflective skills and knowledge of professional behavior	•Expert consensus •Psychometric assessment	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-20, ED-23	•Interpersonal/communication skills •Professionalism	Boenink et al, 2005 <sup>57</sup>

Assessment of critical incident reports	None	Expert consensus	Educational Research	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-21, ED-22, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Practice-based learning and improvement</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> <li>• Systems-based practice</li> </ul>	Kittmer et al, 2013 <sup>58</sup>
Validated KAS assessment tool	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ABIM Patient Assessment</li> <li>• Physician peer assessment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expert consensus</li> <li>• Psychometric assessment</li> <li>• Pilot testing (no cognitive interviewing)</li> </ul>	Educational Research	Residents	ED-19, ED-21, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Abadel and Hattab, 2014 <sup>59</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>• SPs</li> </ul>	Clinical Conscientiousness Index	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Test-retest</li> <li>• Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Instrument Development	Medical Students	ED-19, ED-23	Professionalism	Kelly et al, 2012 <sup>60</sup>
<b>Teamwork Competencies (n=2)</b>							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Validated KAS assessment tool</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TeamSTEPPS</li> <li>• Bandura's Self-Efficacy</li> </ul>	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Brock et al, 2013 <sup>61</sup>

SPs	GICS geriatric SP exam	Psychometric assessment	Curriculum Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Medical Students</li> <li>• Residents</li> </ul>	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Yuasa et al, 2014 <sup>62</sup>
-----	------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------

**\*LCME Accreditation Requirements (Social & Behavioral Science *Specific*)**—Curriculum of a medical education program must include/prepare:

- ED-10. Behavioral and socioeconomic subjects (in addition to basic science and clinical disciplines).
- ED-19. Specific instruction in communication skills as they relate to physician responsibilities, including communication with patients, their families, colleagues & other health professionals.
- ED-20. Medical students for their role in addressing the medical consequences of common societal problems (e.g. abuse)
- ED-21. Medical students (and faculty) to demonstrate an understanding of the manner in which people of diverse cultures and belief systems perceive health and illness and respond to various symptoms, diseases, and treatments.
- ED-22. Medical students to recognize and appropriately address gender and cultural biases in themselves, in others, and in the process of healthcare delivery.
- ED-23. Instruction in medical ethics and human values and require its medical students to exhibit scrupulous ethical principles in caring for patients and in relating to patients' families and to others involved in patient care.

**LCME Accreditation Requirements (Social & Behavioral Science *Integrative*)**—Curriculum of a medical education program must include/prepare:

- ED-13. All organ systems, and important aspects of preventive, acute, chronic, continuing, rehabilitative, and end-of-life care.
- ED-14. Clinical experience in primary care
- ED-15. Prepare students to enter any field of graduate medical education and include content and clinical experiences related to each phase of the human life cycle to enable recognizing wellness, determinants of health, opportunities for health promotion; recognize and interpret symptoms and signs of disease; develop differential diagnoses and treatment plans; and assist patients in addressing health-related issues involving all organ systems.

## Supplemental Digital Appendix 6

### Publications with Weak Ranking of Evidence for Behavioral and Social Science Competencies According to ACGME Competencies, LCME Accreditation Requirements, Learner Level, Guiding Framework, Type of Study and Assessment Methodology

Assessment Tool	Framework	Validation Methodology	Type of Study	Learner Level	*LCME Accreditation Requirements	ACGME Competencies Assessed	Author, Year & Citation #
<b>Communication Competencies (n=7)</b>							
• Validated KAS assessment tool	Kalamazoo Consensus Statement	• Psychometric assessment • Pilot testing (no mention of cognitive interviewing)	Instrument Development	• Non-Professional Undergraduates	ED-19	• Interpersonal/communication skills	Baribeau et al, 2012 <sup>63</sup>
• Tool tested by panel of 11 judges	• Calo-RE Taxonomy • Health Behavior Change Competency	• Expert consensus	Instrument Development	• Medical Students	ED-10, ED-19	• Interpersonal/communication skills	Chisolm et al, 2014 <sup>64</sup>
• Validated KAS assessment tool	METT (Micro Expression Training Tool)	Testing mentioned (no specifics on method)	Educational Research	• Medical Students	ED-19	• Interpersonal/communication skills	Endres and Laidlaw, 2009 <sup>65</sup>



• SPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SPIKES</li> <li>• Breaking Bad News Assessment Scale</li> <li>• Global Rating Scale</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expert consensus</li> <li>• Pilot testing (no mention of cognitive interviewing)</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	• Medical Students	ED-21, ED-22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Medical knowledge</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>• Professionalism</li> </ul>	Kalet et al, 2005 <sup>66</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SPs</li> <li>• Web-based module assessment</li> </ul>	None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Testing mentioned (no specifics on methods)</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	• Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Lee et al, 2011 <sup>67</sup>
SPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Calgary-Cambridge Observation guide</li> <li>• SEGUE</li> <li>• Macy Initiative in Health Communication</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expert consensus</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Mukohara et al, 2004 <sup>68</sup>
• SPs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SPIKES</li> <li>• Breaking Bad News Assessment Scale</li> <li>• Global Rating Scale</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	• Medical Students	ED-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Patient care</li> <li>• Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Schildman et al, 2012 <sup>69</sup>
<b>Cultural Competence/Patient-centered Care Competencies (n=3)</b>							

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cross-Cultural Care Survey</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Testing mentioned (no specifics on methods)</li> </ul>	Educational Research	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Residents</li> </ul>	ED-19, ED-21, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>professionalism</li> </ul>	Chun et al, 2012 <sup>70</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adaptation of a validated tool</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Testing mentioned (no specifics on methods)</li> </ul>	Educational Research	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Medical Students</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>ED-19, E21, ED-22</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>patient care</li> <li>medical knowledge</li> <li>professionalism</li> </ul>	Sanchez et al, 2006 <sup>71</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated assessment tool</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Test-retest</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Residents</li> </ul>	ED-19, ED-21, ED-23, ED-13	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>Professionalism</li> </ul>	Yacht et al, 2006 <sup>72</sup>
<b>Empathy/Compassion Competencies (n=6)</b>							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cross-cultural Care Survey</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Test-retest</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Residents</li> </ul>	ED-19, ED-21, ED-23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>Professionalism</li> </ul>	Chun et al, 2013 <sup>73</sup>

• Emotional Intelligence	• Emotional Intelligence	• Testing mentioned (no specifics on method)	Educational Research	• Medical Students	ED-19	• None	Fletcher et al, 2009 <sup>74</sup>
• Qualitative analysis of evolving case statements that were audio-taped and transcribed	• SPIKES	• Expert consensus	Curriculum Development	• Medical Students	ED-19, ED-13	• Patient care • Medical knowledge • Interpersonal/communication skills	Goldsmith et al, 2011 <sup>75</sup>
• Avatar assessment of compassionate patient care	• Perkins Dispositional Theory of Thinking	• Expert consensus	Educational Research	• Medical Students	ED-19, ED-14	• Patient care • Medical knowledge • Interpersonal/communication skills • professionalism	Loke et al, 2012 <sup>76</sup>
• Validated assessment tool	• Jefferson Scale of Physician Empathy	• Testing mentioned (no specifics on method)	Curriculum Development	• Medical Students	ED-19	• Interpersonal/communication skills	Rosenthal et al, 2011 <sup>77</sup>
• Validated KAS assessment tool	• Jefferson Scale of Empathy	• Testing mentioned (no specifics on method)	Curriculum Development	• Medical Students	ED-19, ED-21	• Patient care • Interpersonal/communication skills	Van Winkle et al, 2012 <sup>78</sup>
<b>Behavioral Health Counseling Competencies (n=2)</b>							

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tobacco Intervention Risk Factor Interview Scale</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Psychometric assessment</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Medical Students</li> </ul>	ED-19, ED-20, Ed-21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Foley et al, 2006 <sup>79</sup>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expert consensus</li> </ul>	Curriculum Development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Medical Students</li> </ul>	ED-19, ED-20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Patient care</li> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> <li>Professionalism</li> </ul>	Haist et al, 2003 <sup>80</sup>
<b>Professional Competencies (n=1)</b>							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Validated KAS assessment tool</li> <li>SPs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bowen and Carline Strategy for Adult Learning</li> <li>Knowles Adult Learning Theory</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Testing mentioned (no specifics on method)</li> </ul>	Educational Research	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Practicing Physicians</li> </ul>	ED-19, ED-14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Medical knowledge</li> <li>Interpersonal/communication skills</li> </ul>	Margalit et al, 2005 <sup>81</sup>

**\*LCME Accreditation Requirements (Social & Behavioral Science *Specific*)**—Curriculum of a medical education program must include/prepare:

- ED-10. Behavioral and socioeconomic subjects (in addition to basic science and clinical disciplines).
- ED-19. Specific instruction in communication skills as they relate to physician responsibilities, including communication with patients, their families, colleagues & other health professionals.
- ED-20. Medical students for their role in addressing the medical consequences of common societal problems (e.g. abuse)

Supplemental digital content for Carney PA, Palmer RT, Miller MF, et al. Tools to assess behavioral and social science competencies in medical education: A systematic review. Acad Med.

- ED-21. Medical students (and faculty) to demonstrate an understanding of the manner in which people of diverse cultures and belief systems perceive health and illness and respond to various symptoms, diseases, and treatments.
- ED-22. Medical students to recognize and appropriately address gender and cultural biases in themselves, in others, and in the process of healthcare delivery.
- ED-23. Instruction in medical ethics and human values and require its medical students to exhibit scrupulous ethical principles in caring for patients and in relating to patients' families and to others involved in patient care.

**LCME Accreditation Requirements (Social & Behavioral Science *Integrative*)**—Curriculum of a medical education program must include/prepare:

- ED-13. All organ systems, and important aspects of preventive, acute, chronic, continuing, rehabilitative, and end-of-life care.
- ED-14. Clinical experience in primary care
- ED-15. Prepare students to enter any field of graduate medical education and include content and clinical experiences related to each phase of the human life cycle to enable recognizing wellness, determinants of health, opportunities for health promotion; recognize and interpret symptoms and signs of disease; develop differential diagnoses and treatment plans; and assist patients in addressing health-related issues involving all organ systems.

## Supplemental Digital Appendix 7

### Relevant LCME Accreditation Requirements According to Social and Behavioral Science Domain

Competencies Assessed1	Behavioral & Social Science Institute of Medicine Domains					
LCME Accreditation Requirements – Social & Behavioral Science <i>Specific</i> Curriculum must include/prepare:	Mind-Body Interactions in Health & Disease	Patient Behavior	Physician-Patient Interactions	Physician Role & Behavior	Social & Cultural Issues in Healthcare	Health Policy & Economics
	n (weight)	n (weight)	n (weight)	n (weight)	n (weight)	n (weight)
ED-10. Behavioral and socioeconomic subjects.	4 (+)	27 (++)	NA	NA	13 (+++)	2 (+++)
ED-19. Communication skills with patients, families, colleagues, and other health professionals.	NA	58 (++)	107 (+++)	104(+++)	NA	NA
ED-20. Role in addressing medical consequences of common societal problems (e.g. violence/abuse).	NA	24 (+++)	25 (+++)	25 (++)	14 (+++)	5 (++)
ED-21. Understanding of the manner in which people of diverse cultures and belief systems perceive health and illness and respond to various symptoms, diseases, and treatments.	6 (++)	15 (+++)	29 (+++)	31 (+++)	19 (+++)	2 (++)
ED-22. Recognize and appropriately address gender and cultural biases in themselves, in others, and in the process of health care delivery.	2 (+)	12 (++)	20 (++)	22 (+++)	14 (+++)	NA
ED-23. Medical ethics and human values in caring for patients and relating to families and others involved in patient care.	NA	NA	19 (++)	20 (+++)	6 (+++)	NA
TOTALS	12	136	200	202	66	9
LCME Accreditation Requirements – Social & Behavioral Science <i>Integrative</i> : Curriculum must include/prepare:	Mind-Body Interactions in Health & Disease	Patient Behavior	Physician-Patient Interactions	Physician Role & Behavior	Social & Cultural Issues in Healthcare	Health Policy & Economics

	n (weight)	n (weight)	n (weight)	n (weight)	n (weight)	n (weight)
ED-13. All organ systems, and important aspects of preventive, acute, chronic, continuing, rehabilitative, and end-of-life care.	0 (+)	1 (+)	3 (+)	NA	0 (+)	0 (+)
ED-14. Clinical experience in primary care.	2 (+)	7 (+)	9 (+)	8 (+)	2 (+)	0 (+)
ED-15. Include content and clinical experiences related to each phase of the human life cycle regarding wellness, determinants of health, opportunities for health promotion; recognizing and interpret symptoms and signs of disease; develop differential diagnoses and treatment plans; and assist patients in addressing health-related issues involving all organ systems.	0 (+)	1 (++)	1 (++)	0 (+++)	0 (+++)	0 (+)
TOTALS	2	9	13	8	2	0

1 The plus sign (+) in each box represented the weights to be applied to the strength of the interaction between the IOM domains and the LCME Accreditation Requirements.

N/A = Not Applicable.

Weights for relevance to BSS domains (+ = somewhat relevant, ++ = moderately relevant, +++ = highly relevant, blank not directly relevant).

## Supplemental Digital Appendix 8

### Other Competency Measures Continued from Supplemental Digital Appendix 2

Study Characteristics	Instrument Development with Psychometric Assessment only (n=20)	Educational Research Studies (n=48)	Curriculum Evaluation studies (n=46)
Project RESPECT client-centered counselling model	1	0	0
Emotional intelligence	0	2	0
Cultural competency	0	1	1
Scale of Attitudes Toward Disabled Persons (SADP)	0	1	0
SPIKES protocol for delivering bad news	0	0	2
Liverpool Undergraduate Communication Assessment Scale	1	0	0
Motivational Interviewing Treatment Integrity (MITI)	0	1	1
Self-reported preparedness and self-assessment of skills	0	1	0
Behavior Change Counseling Index (BECCI)	0	1	0
ABIM patient and physician assessment	1	1	0
Cross-cultural care survey	1	0	1
Arizona Clinical Interviewing Rating Scale	0	1	1
Master Interview Rating Scale	0	1	0
CELI (Control, Explain, Listen, Influence)	1	1	0
CanMEDs Framework	1	0	0
Communication Skills Attitude Scale	0	1	1
Reynolds empathy measure (RES)	1	0	0
Barrett-Lennard empathy scale (BLESS)	1	0	0
Consultation and Relational Empathy (CARE) measure	1	0	0
Clinical Conscientiousness Index	1	0	0
Calo-RE Taxonomy (behavior change techniques)	1	0	0
Health Behavior Change competency	1	1	0
Integrated Procedural Performance Instrument (IPPI)	1	0	0
Art of Medicine Survey Instrument	1	0	0
Interpersonal Skills Rating Form	1	0	0
Ware's Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire	1	0	0
Communication Items Evaluation (Forrest Lang)	1	0	0



Rochester Communication Rating Scale	1	0	0
Deaf cultural competency	0	0	1
Bennett's intercultural sensitivity model	0	0	1
IOM: Confronting Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health care	0	0	1
Population health competencies recommended by AAMC and RMPHECs	0	0	1
Professional Development	0	0	1
Psychological Medical Inventory (PMI-S)	0	0	1
Breaking bad news assessment scale	0	0	1
Doctor-Patient scale (de Monchy)	0	0	2
Confidence in Communicating (Doherty and Marteau)	0	0	1
University of Arizona's Cessation Skills Certification Guide	0	0	1
Tobacco Intervention Risk Factor Interview Scale	0	0	1
Helpful Response Questionnaire	0	0	1
Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety (TeamSTEPPS)	0	0	1
George Washington Institute for Spirituality and Health (GWISH) competencies	0	0	1
Shared Decision-Making	0	0	1
Making good decisions in collaboration (MAGIC)	0	0	1
Program in Palliative Care Education and Practice	0	0	1
Collet-Lester-Fear-of-Death-Scale	0	0	1
FM-GMU Communication Behavior Skills Questionnaires	0	0	1
Leeds Attitude to Concordance Scale	0	0	1
Social Context Scale	0	0	1
Sexual response phases and PLISSIT	0	0	1
Patient-centered observation form	0	0	1
Poirier Communication Skills Questionnaire	0	0	1
Sexual attitude scale	0	0	1
Patient-centered care model	0	0	1
Interpersonal skills rating scale	0	1	0
Staff-patient interaction rating scale	0	1	0
Self-assessment of interpersonal competence questionnaire	0	1	0
Patient-centered communication /interpersonal skills scale	0	1	0

Revised UIC Communication/ interpersonal skills scale	0	1	0
Patient-physician interaction scale	0	1	0
Interpreter Impact Rating Scale	0	1	0
Cohen-Cole HPV scoring sheet	0	1	0
Boenink measures of reflective skills and knowledge of professional behavior	0	1	0
Transactional analysis/ communication theories (von Thun)	0	1	0
Empathy Construct Rating Scale	0	1	0
Balanced Emotional Empathy Scale	0	1	0
National institute on alcohol abuse and alcoholism	0	1	0
Modified Saitz Pre-Post test	0	1	0
Micro Expression Training Tool (METT)	0	1	0
Attitudes about cross-cultural care	0	2	0
ALACT reflection scale	0	1	0
History Taking Assessment Scale	0	0	0
Social and academic reputation	0	0	0
Ten Item Personality Inventory (TIPI)	0	0	0
Amsterdam Attitudes and Communication Scale	1	0	0
ACGME Competencies	0	1	0
Professional Identity Development	1	0	0
Mead and Bower on Patient Centeredness	0	1	0
Kleinmon's questions on patient experience of illness	0	1	0
Macy Initiative in Health Communication	0	0	1
Geriatrics Interdisciplinary Care Summary SP exam	0	0	1
Interdisciplinary Communication Skills Training	0	0	1
Health Belief Attitude Survey	0	0	1

## References Cited in the Supplemental Digital Content

1. Bachmann C, Barzel A, Roschlaub S, Ehrhardt M, Scherer M. Can a brief two-hour interdisciplinary communication skills training be successful in undergraduate medical education? *Patient Educ Couns*. 2013;93:298-305.
2. Bombeke K, Van Roosbroeck S, De Winter B, et al. Medical students trained in communication skills show a decline in patient-centred attitudes: An observational study comparing two cohorts during clinical clerkships. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2011;84:310-318.
3. Feeley TH, Anker AE, Soriano R, Friedman E. Using standardized patients to educate medical students about organ donation. *Communication Education*. 2010;59:249-262.
4. Hausberg MC, Hergert A, Kröger C, Bullinger M, Rose M, Andreas S. Enhancing medical students' communication skills: Development and evaluation of an undergraduate training program. *BMC Med Educ*. 2012;12:16.
5. Joekes K, Noble LM, Kubacki AM, Potts HW, Lloyd M. Does the inclusion of "professional development" teaching improve medical students' communication skills? *BMC Med Educ*. 2011;11:41.
6. Tiuraniemi J, Läärä R, Kyrö T, Lindeman S. Medical and psychology students' self-assessed communication skills: A pilot study. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2011;83:152-157.
7. Turan S, Elcin M, Uner S, Odabasi O, Sayek I, Senemoglu N. The impact of clinical visits on communication skills training. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2009;77:42-47.
8. Claramita M, Majoor G. Comparison of communication skills in medical residents with and without undergraduate communication skills training as provided by the Faculty of Medicine of Gadjah Mada University. *Educ Health (Abingdon)*. 2006;19:308-320.
9. Mauksch L, Farber S, Greer HT. Design, dissemination, and evaluation of an advanced communication elective at seven U.S. medical schools. *Acad Med*. 2013;88:843-851.
10. Hulsman RL, Mollema ED, Hoos AM, de Haes JC, Donnison-Speijer JD. Assessment of medical communication skills by computer: Assessment method and student experiences. *Med Educ*. 2004;38:813-824.
11. Hulsman RL, Peters JF, Fabriek M. Peer-assessment of medical communication skills: The impact of students' personality, academic and social reputation on behavioural assessment. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2013;92:346-354.
12. Ishikawa H, Hashimoto H, Kinoshita M, Yano E. Can nonverbal communication skills be taught? *Med Teach*. 2010;32:860-863.
13. Lie DA, Bereknyei S, Vega CP. Longitudinal development of medical students' communication skills in interpreted encounters. *Educ Health (Abingdon)*. 2010;23:466.
14. Karabilgin OS, Vatansever K, Caliskan SA, Durak HI. Assessing medical student competency in communication in the pre-clinical phase: Objective structured video exam and SP exam. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2012;87:293-299.
15. Shapiro SM, Lancee WJ, Richards-Bentley CM. Evaluation of a communication skills program for first-year medical students at the University of Toronto. *BMC Med Educ*. 2009;9:11.
16. Simmenroth-Nayda A, Weiss C, Fischer T, Himmel W. Do communication training programs improve students' communication skills?--A follow-up study. *BMC Res Notes*. 2012;5:486.
17. Yeap R, Beevi Z, Lukman H. Evaluating IMU communication skills training programme: Assessment tool development. *Med J Malaysia*. 2008;63:244-246.

18. LeBlanc VR, Tabak D, Kneebone R, Nestel D, MacRae H, Moulton CA. Psychometric properties of an integrated assessment of technical and communication skills. *Am J Surg*. 2009;197:96-101.
19. Leung K-K, Wang W-D, Chen Y-Y. Multi-source evaluation of interpersonal and communication skills of family medicine residents. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2012;17:717-726.
20. Wagner JA, Pfeiffer CA, Harrington KL. Evaluation of online instruction to improve medical and dental students' communication and counseling skills. *Eval Health Prof*. 2011;34:383-397.
21. Bachner YG, Castel H, Kushnir T. Psychosocial abilities of first-year medical students participating in a clinical communication course. *Natl Med J India*. 2012;25:80-82.
22. King AE, Conrad M, Ahmed RA. Improving collaboration among medical, nursing and respiratory therapy students through interprofessional simulation. *J Interprof Care*. 2013;27:269-271.
23. Koponen J, Pyörälä E, Isotalus P. Comparing three experiential learning methods and their effect on medical students' attitudes to learning communication skills. *Med Teach*. 2012;34:e198-e207.
24. Hook KM, Pfeiffer CA. Impact of a new curriculum on medical students' interpersonal and interviewing skills. *Med Educ*. 2007;41:154-159.
25. Mason SR, Ellershaw JE. Preparing for palliative medicine; evaluation of an education programme for fourth year medical undergraduates. *Palliat Med*. 2008;22:687-692.
26. Schulz C, Möller MF, Seidler D, Schnell MW. Evaluating an evidence-based curriculum in undergraduate palliative care education: Piloting a phase II exploratory trial for a complex intervention. *BMC Med Educ*. 2013;13:1.
27. Aper L, Reniers J, Koole S, Valcke M, Derese A. Impact of three alternative consultation training formats on self-efficacy and consultation skills of medical students. *Med Teach*. 2012;34:e500-e507.
28. Christner JG, Stansfield RB, Schiller JH, Madenci A, Keefer PM, Pituch K. Use of simulated electronic mail (e-mail) to assess medical student knowledge, professionalism, and communication skills. *Acad Med*. 2010;85:S1-S4.
29. Crandall SJ, George G, Marion GS, Davis S. Applying theory to the design of cultural competency training for medical students: A case study. *Acad Med*. 2003;78:588-594.
30. Jarris YS, Bartleman A, Hall EC, Lopez L. A preclinical medical student curriculum to introduce health disparities and cultivate culturally responsive care. *J Natl Med Assoc*. 2012;104:404-411.
31. Mihalic AP, Morrow JB, Long RB, Dobbie AE. A validated cultural competence curriculum for US pediatric clerkships. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2010;79:77-82.
32. Hoang L, LaHousse SF, Nakaji MC, Sadler GR. Assessing deaf cultural competency of physicians and medical students. *J Cancer Educ*. 2011;26:175-182.
33. Genao I, Bussey-Jones J, St George DM, Corbie-Smith G. Empowering students with cultural competence knowledge: Randomized controlled trial of a cultural competence curriculum for third-year medical students. *J Natl Med Assoc*. 2009;101:1241-1246.
34. Weissman JS, Betancourt J, Campbell EG, et al. Resident physicians' preparedness to provide cross-cultural care. *JAMA*. 2005;294:1058-1067.
35. Cushing A, Evans D, Hall A. Medical students' attitudes and behaviour towards sexual health interviewing: Short- and long-term evaluation of designated workshops. *Med*

- Teach. 2005;27:422-428.
36. Kim S, Spielberg F, Mauksch L, et al. Comparing narrative and multiple-choice formats in online communication skill assessment. *Med Educ*. 2009;43:533-541.
  37. Wouda JC, Zandbelt LC, Smets EM, van de Wiel HB. Assessment of physician competency in patient education: Reliability and validity of a model-based instrument. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2011;85:92-98.
  38. Hoover CR, Wong CC, Azzam A. From primary care to public health: Using problem-based learning and the ecological model to teach public health to first year medical students. *J Community Health*. 2012;37:647-652.
  39. McGarvey E, Peterson C, Pinkerton R, Keller A, Clayton A. Medical students' perceptions of sexual health issues prior to a curriculum enhancement. *Int J Impot Res*. 2003;15:S58-S66.
  40. Tang TS, Fantone JC, Bozynski ME, Adams BS. Implementation and evaluation of an undergraduate Sociocultural Medicine Program. *Acad Med*. 2002;77:578-585.
  41. Lim BT, Moriarty H, Huthwaite M, Gray L, Pullon S, Gallagher P. How well do medical students rate and communicate clinical empathy? *Med Teach*. 2013;35:e946-951.
  42. Shapiro J, Rucker L, Boker J, Lie D. Point-of-view writing: A method for increasing medical students' empathy, identification and expression of emotion, and insight. *Educ Health (Abingdon)*. 2006;19:96-105.
  43. Mercer SW, Maxwell M, Heaney D, Watt GC. The consultation and relational empathy (CARE) measure: Development and preliminary validation and reliability of an empathy-based consultation process measure. *Fam Pract*. 2004;21:699-705.
  44. Fernández-Olano C, Montoya-Fernández J, Salinas-Sánchez AS. Impact of clinical interview training on the empathy level of medical students and medical residents. *Med Teach*. 2008;30:322-324.
  45. Bass III PF, Stetson BA, Rising W, Wesley GC, Ritchie CS. Development and evaluation of a nutrition and physical activity counseling module for first-year medical students. *Med Educ Online*. 2004;9:23.
  46. Bell K, Cole BA. Improving medical students' success in promoting health behavior change: A curriculum evaluation. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2008;23:1503-1506.
  47. Brown RL, Pfeiffer JM, Gjerde CL, Seibert CS, Haq CL. Teaching patient-centered tobacco intervention to first-year medical students. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2004;19:534-539.
  48. Han PK, Joeke K, Elwyn G, et al. Development and evaluation of a risk communication curriculum for medical students. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2014;94:43-49.
  49. Kosowicz LY, Pfeiffer CA, Vargas M. Long-term retention of smoking cessation counseling skills learned in the first year of medical school. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2007;22:1161-1165.
  50. Martino S, Haeseler F, Belitsky R, Pantaloni M, Fortin AH 4th. Teaching brief motivational interviewing to year three medical students. *Med Educ*. 2007;41:160-167.
  51. McEvoy M, Schlaier S, Sidlo Z, Burton W, Milan F. Assessing third-year medical students' ability to address a patient's spiritual distress using an OSCE case. *Acad Med*. 2014;89:66-70.
  52. White LL, Gazewood JD, Mounsey AL. Teaching students behavior change skills: Description and assessment of a new motivational interviewing curriculum. *Med Teach*. 2007;29:e67-e71.
  53. Edwardsen EA, Morse DS, Frankel RM. Structured practice opportunities with a

- mnemonic affect medical student interviewing skills for intimate partner violence. *Teach Learn Med*. 2006;18:62-68.
54. Haeseler F, Fortin AH 6th, Pfeiffer C, Walters C, Martino S. Assessment of a motivational interviewing curriculum for year 3 medical students using a standardized patient case. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2011;84:27-30.
  55. Prochaska JJ, Teherani A, Hauer KE. Medical students' use of the stages of change model in tobacco cessation counseling. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2007;22:223-227.
  56. Stolz D, Langewitz W, Meyer A, et al. Enhanced didactic methods of smoking cessation training for medical students--A randomized study. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2012;14:224-228.
  57. Boenink AD, de Jonge P, Smal K, Oderwald A, van Tilburg W. The effects of teaching medical professionalism by means of vignettes: An exploratory study. *Med Teach*. 2005;27:429-432.
  58. Kittmer T, Hoogenes J, Pemberton J, Cameron BH. Exploring the hidden curriculum: A qualitative analysis of clerks' reflections on professionalism in surgical clerkship. *Am J Surg*. 2013;205:426-433.
  59. Abadel FT, Hattab AS. Patients' assessment of professionalism and communication skills of medical graduates. *BMC Med Educ*. 2014;14:28.
  60. Kelly M, O'Flynn S, McLachlan J, Sawdon MA. The clinical conscientiousness index: A valid tool for exploring professionalism in the clinical undergraduate setting. *Acad Med*. 2012;87:1218-1224.
  61. Brock D, Abu-Rish E, Chiu CR, et al. Interprofessional education in team communication: Working together to improve patient safety. *Postgrad Med J*. 2013;89:642-651.
  62. Yuasa M, Nagoshi M, Oshiro-Wong C, Tin M, Wen A, Masaki K. Standardized patient and standardized interdisciplinary team meeting: Validation of a new performance-based assessment tool. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2014;62:171-174.
  63. Baribeau DA, Mukovozov I, Sabljic T, Eva KW, deLottinville CB. Using an objective structured video exam to identify differential understanding of aspects of communication skills. *Med Teach*. 2012;34:e242-e250.
  64. Chisolm A, Hart J, Mann K, Peters S. Development of a behaviour change communication tool for medical students: The "Tent Pegs" booklet. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2014;94:50-60.
  65. Endres J, Laidlaw A. Micro-expression recognition training in medical students: A pilot study. *BMC Med Educ*. 2009;9:47.
  66. Kalet AL, Mukherjee D, Felix K, et al. Can a web-based curriculum improve students' knowledge of, and attitudes about, the interpreted medical interview? *J Gen Intern Med*. 2005;20:929-234.
  67. Lee CA, Chang A, Chou CL, Boscardin C, Hauer KE. Standardized patient-narrated web-based learning modules improve students' communication skills on a high-stakes clinical skills examination. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2011;26:1374-1377.
  68. Mukohara K, Kitamura K, Wakabayashi H, Abe K, Sato J, Ban N. Evaluation of a communication skills seminar for students in a Japanese medical school: A non-randomized controlled study. *BMC Med Educ*. 2004;4:24.
  69. Schildmann J, Kupfer S, Burchardi N, Vollmann J. Teaching and evaluating breaking bad news: A pre-post evaluation study of a teaching intervention for medical students and a comparative analysis of different measurement instruments and raters. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2012;86:210-219.
  70. Chun MB, Young KG, Honda AF, Belcher GF, Maskarinec GG. The development of a

- cultural standardized patient examination for a general surgery residency program. *J Surg Educ*. 2012;69:650-658.
71. Sanchez NF, Rabatin J, Sanchez JP, Hubbard S, Kalet A. Medical students' ability to care for lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered patients. *Fam Med*. 2006;38:21-27.
  72. Yacht AC, Suglia SF, Orlander JD. Evaluating an end-of-life curriculum in a medical residency program. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care*. 2006;23:439-446.
  73. Chun MB, Deptula P, Morihara S, Jackson DS. The refinement of a cultural standardized patient examination for a general surgery residency program. *J Surg Educ*. 2013;71:398-404.
  74. Fletcher I, Leadbetter P, Curran A, O'Sullivan H. A pilot study assessing emotional intelligence training and communication skills with 3rd year medical students. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2009;76:376-379.
  75. Goldsmith J, Wittenberg-Lyles E, Shaunfield S, Sanchez-Reilly S. Palliative care communication curriculum: What can students learn from an unfolding case? *Am J Hosp Palliat Care*. 2011;28:236-241.
  76. Loke S-K, Blyth P, Swan J. In search of a method to assess dispositional behaviours: The case of Otago Virtual Hospital. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*. 2012;28:441-458.
  77. Rosenthal S, Howard B, Schluskel YR, et al. Humanism at heart: Preserving empathy in third-year medical students. *Acad Med*. 2011;86:350-358.
  78. Van Winkle LJ, Fjortoft N, Hojat M. Impact of a workshop about aging on the empathy scores of pharmacy and medical students. *Am J Pharm Educ*. 2012;76:9.
  79. Foley KL, George G, Crandall SJ, Walker KH, Marion GS, Spangler JG. Training and evaluating tobacco-specific standardized patient instructors. *Fam Med*. 2006;38:28-37.
  80. Haist SA, Wilson JF, Pursley HG, et al. Domestic violence: Increasing knowledge and improving skills with a four-hour workshop using standardized patients. *Acad Med*. 2003;78:S24-S26.
  81. Margalit AP, Glick SM, Benbassat J, Cohen A, Katz M. Promoting a biopsychosocial orientation in family practice: Effect of two teaching programs on the knowledge and attitudes of practising primary care physicians. *Med Teach*. 2005;27:613-618.