Supplemental digital content for Ma WY, Brindle ME, Ronksley PE, Lorenzetti DL, Sauve RS, and Ghali WA. Use of Simulation-Based Education to Improve Outcomes of Central Venous Catheterization: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Acad Med. 2011;86 (9).

Supplemental Digital Form 1
Reviewer initials Date of data abstraction Study ID # Last name
IRB Approval  Y N Not described
Population studied (check all that apply):  Medical student PGY-1 PGY-2 PGY-3 Fellow  Attending/consulting physician  Nurses Physician Assistants Other Not described
Specialty of Learners (check all that apply):   Critical Care Internal Medicine  Surgery  Emergency Not mentioned Other
Baseline Experience of Trainees:  Not mentioned
Instructor level of training (check all that apply):  Medical student PGY-1 PGY-2 PGY-3 Fellow Attending/consulting physician Nurses Physician Assistants Other Not described  Specialty of Instructors (check all that apply): Critical Care Internal Medicine Surgery Emergency Not mentioned Other
Design of Study  ☐ Case Control ☐ Single group time series) ☐ Cohort mentioned) ☐ Trials Trials (check one of the following: ☐ Prospective ☐ Retrospective ☐ not mentioned) ☐ randomized),
Setting:      Hospital    Educational facility    Not described    Other      Country/countries:      Single Centre
Type of simulation used (check all that apply):

<sup>1</sup> Copyright © by the Association of American Medical Colleges. Unauthorized reproduction is prohibited.

☐ partial task trainers ☐ standardi ☐ high fidelity mannequins ☐ virtual re Other:	zed patients
Description of educational program  Class/group size not described  Instructor:learner ratio/ Not descri  Learner:simulator ratio/ Not descri  Didactic Portion Y N Not described  Content of didactic portion:	bed
Format of didactic portion:	
Mentioned Demonstration of technique:	Not described Duration:
Mentioned Practice time :	☐ N ☐ Not described Duration:
Mentioned Feedback:	☐ N ☐ Not described Duration:
Mentioned Taught Ultrasound:	☐ N ☐ Not described Duration:
Mentioned Curriculum Integration:  Is there a range in Difficulty Level?  If Yes, please describe:	<ul><li>□ N □ Implied □ Not described</li><li>□ N □ Not described</li></ul>
Are there multiple learning strategies?	☐ N ☐ Not described
Sites taught: U U SC Fem Not men Sites tested: U SC Fem Not men Ultrasound tested: Y N  Description of Control group Educational Strat	tioned Right Left
Number of subjects Total invited to study	yTotal
participatedIn intervention arm	In control arm
_	es No es No

 $<sup>2 \ {\</sup>it Copyright} \ {\it @by the Association of American Medical Colleges}. \ Unauthorized \ reproduction \ is \ prohibited.$ 

Timeline of Educational curriculum:  Over how long  Same time line for control group?  Yes  No If not, please describe timeline Follow-up duration				
Outco	me Domains Assessed: User Satisfaction Acquisition Scale used & Results:  Retention (if so, how long)			
	Confidence  Acquisition Scale used & Results:			
	Knowledge  Acquisition Retention (if so, how long)  Scale used & Results: Scale validated? Y N			
	Performance Measures  On live patients On Simulators Both Other  Number of Evaluators: Who are evaluators:  Evaluators blinded Y N  Time:  Errors:  Success rates:  Other:			
	Scale(s) used (please list all):			

Scale(s) validated?
Acquisition Retention (if so, how long)  Transfer (if so, how is transfer tested?)
Results:
Clinical Measures – how long are outcomes followed?  Infection Pneumothorax Hemothorax Arterial puncture Bleeding Clot Other
 Patients intubated Y N Not described Type of patients
How are outcomes captured?
Who captured outcomes?

**MERSQI** Reed DA. JAMA 298(9):1002-9; 2007

Study Design	
	ngle group cross-sectional or single group posttest only
Sir	ngle group pretest and posttest
	onrandomized, 2 group
Ra	ndomized controlled trial
Sampling	
	er of institutions studied
	$\prod 1$
	$\square_2$
	□ >2
Respo	nse rate, %
21 <b>0</b> 5p3	Not applicable
	50-74
	□ 30 7 1 □ ≥75
Type of data	
· · —	sessment by study participant
	esessment by study participant ojective measurement
	jective measurement
Validity of ev	raluation instrument
•	al structure
	Not applicable
	Not reported
	Reported
Conte	<del></del>
Conte	Not applicable
	Not reported
	Reported
Relatio	onship to other variables
Relativ	Not applicable
	Not reported
	Reported
Data Analysis	
-	priateness of analysis
Аррго	Data analysis inappropriate for study design or type of data
	Data analysis inappropriate for study design of type of data  Data analysis appropriate for study design and type of data
Comp	
Comp	lexity of analysis
	Descriptive analysis only
Out 2 2 2 2 2	Beyond descriptive analysis
Outcomes	Satisfaction, attitudes, perceptions, opinions, general facts
	Knowledge, skills
	Behaviors
	Patient/health care outcome