Supplemental digital content for Teherani A, Hauer KE, Fernandez A, King TE Jr, Lucey C. How small differences in assessed clinical performance amplify to large differences in grades and awards: A cascade with serious consequences for students underrepresented in medicine. Acad Med.

Supplemental Digital Appendix 1

Demographic Characteristics of 670 Medical Students by Underrepresented in Medicine (UIM) Status, University of California, San Francisco, School of Medicine, Graduating Classes of 2013-2016

	UIM students	Not-UIM students	
Variable	(n = 177)	(n = 493)	P value (if relevant)
Year of matriculation, no.			
(%)			
2009	50 (28.1)	117 (23.7)	
2010	46 (26.0)	121 (24.5)	
2011	37 (21.0)	130 (26.4)	
2012	44 (24.9)	125 (25.4)	
Gender, no. (%)			
Female	87 (49.2)	273 (55.4)	.15
Male	90 (50.8)	220 (44.6)	
First in family to attend	49 (27.7)	39 (7.9)	.001
college, no. (%)			
Dismissed/withdrew, no.	8 (1	.2) ^a	.75
(%)			

^a Numbers for UIM and not-UIM students presented in aggregate to protect student confidentiality.

Supplemental digital content for Teherani A, Hauer KE, Fernandez A, King TE Jr, Lucey C. How small differences in assessed clinical performance amplify to large differences in grades and awards: A cascade with serious consequences for students underrepresented in medicine. Acad Med.

Supplemental Digital Appendix 2

High-Stakes Multiple Choice Question Exam Scores for 670 Medical Students by Underrepresented in Medicine (UIM) Status, University of California, San Francisco, School of Medicine, Graduating Classes of 2013-2016

	Mean ± SD (95% CI)	Partial
Variable	UIM studentsNot-UIM students(n = 177)(n = 493)	eta squared ^b
MCAT mean	10.6 ± 1.2 11.7 ± 1.1	.14
composite score ^a	(10.5, 10.8) (11.6, 11.8)	
USMLE Step1	223.4 ± 20.3 234.3 ± 18.6	.06
score ^a	(220.6, 226.2) (232.5, 236.0)	
USMLE Step 2	236.2 <u>+</u> 16.9 245.7 <u>+</u> 15.9	.06
Clinical	(233.7, 238.7) (244.2, 247.2)	
Knowledge score ^a		

Abbreviations: SD indicates standard deviation; CI, confidence interval; MCAT, Medical College Admission Test; USMLE, United States Medical Licensing Examination.

 ${}^{a}P = .001$

^b Partial eta squared sizes: .01 considered small, .06 medium, and .14 large

Supplemental digital content for Teherani A, Hauer KE, Fernandez A, King TE Jr, Lucey C. How small differences in assessed clinical performance amplify to large differences in grades and awards: A cascade with serious consequences for students underrepresented in medicine. Acad Med.

Supplemental Digital Appendix 3

Clerkship Director Ratings and Total Honors Grades for 670 Medical Students by Underrepresented in Medicine (UIM) Status, University of California, San Francisco, School of Medicine, Graduating Classes of 2013–2016

	Mean ± SD		Unadjusted ^a		Adjusted ^b					
		Not-UIM		Partial		Partial				
	UIM students	Students		eta	R	eta				
	(n = 177)	(n = 493)	R squared	squared ^c	squared	squared ^c				
	Composite mean clerkship summary assessment scores by competency domains across all									
clerkships ^{d,e}										
Family	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.2	3.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	.03 ^f	.03	.05 ^{g,h}	.00				
medicine										
Internal	3.6 ± 0.2	3.7 ± 0.3	.02 ^f	.03	.08 ^{g,h}	.00				
medicine										
Neurology	3.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	3.7 <u>+</u> 0.2	.02 ^f	.02	$.07^{\mathrm{g,h}}$.00				
Obstetrics	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	3.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	.01 ^f	.01	.03 ^{g,h}	.00				
and										
gynecology										
Pediatrics	3.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	3.7 <u>+</u> 0.2	.03 ^f	.03	.05 ^{g,h}	.00				
Psychiatry	3.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	3.6 <u>+</u> 0.3	.03 ^f	.04	$.07^{\mathrm{g},\mathrm{h}}$.00				
Surgery	3.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	3.7 <u>+</u> 0.2	.03 ^f	.03	.1 ^{g,h}	.00				
Total clerkship honors grades ⁱ										
Total	1.3 <u>+</u> 1.5	2.4 <u>+</u> 1.9	.06 ^f	.07	.24 ^{g,h,j}	.002				
honors										

^aNot adjusted for United States Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE) Step 1 scores

^bAdjusted for USMLE Step 1 scores

^cPartial eta squared sizes: .01 considered small, .06 medium, and .14 large

^dComposite mean clerkship summary assessment score by clerkship: Mean derived from eight items, each scored on the following 7-point scale with .5 increments: 1 = Needs Improvement, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Outstanding.

eMANOVA/MANCOVA

 ${}^{\mathrm{f}}P \leq .01$

 ${}^{\rm g}P > .05$

^hUIM status and USMLE Step 1 interaction effects not significant

ⁱANOVA/ANCOVA

^jStep 1 as covariate *P* value = .001, partial eta squared P = .15