### **Supplemental Digital Appendix 1**

#### **Guiding Principles for Defining Core Content**

- 1. Fundamental to understanding more generalizable concepts; e.g., bacterial pathogenesis
- 2. Important implication in understanding burden of disease; e.g., epidemiologic features of prevalent infection
- 3. Evergreen (i.e., known to be true or at least "verified" in a rigorous manner); e.g., clinical manifestations of specific infection, role of immune system in disease presentation
- 4. Foundational building blocks for understanding next level of core knowledge; e.g., immunologic response to class of pathogens
- 5. Not simply an easily searchable fact; e.g., size of TB genome
- 6. Regarded as important subject area by both basic science and clinical experts; e.g., TB
- 7. Utilized on a regular basis by practicing primary care physician or general specialist; e.g., recognizing at risk populations
- 8. Explicable; building on prior knowledge; e.g., immune reconstitution inflammatory response with TB & HIV Rx
- Deliverable as an illness script; e.g., symptoms plus signs leading to the diagnosis of a specific illness
- 10. Frequently misunderstood in clinical practice; e.g., optimal duration of antibiotic therapy is known
- 11. Led to the uncovering of big ideas; e.g., bacterial genetics and antimicrobial resistance
- 12. Counterintuitive; the host response causes much of the morbidity attributable to infectious diseases

## **Supplemental Digital Appendix 2**

#### **Course Learning Objectives**

- Outline the clinical approach to a patient with a suspected a suspected infection
- Describe the microbial characteristics that determine their disease-causing potential
- Discuss the role of the host immune response in the susceptibility and manifestations of infectious diseases
- Name the strategies available for the prevention and treatment of infections
- Summarize the domestic and global impact of the infectious diseases

# **Supplemental Digital Appendix 3**

## **Open-Ended Survey Questions by School**

School	Qualitative Questions
Stanford	Specific comments about module videos/interactive sessions:
	• Comments about the Microbiology and Infectious Diseases curriculum. Please consider:
	1. Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities for improvement
	2. Quality of the components (springboard and content videos, Q&A with Bagels sessions,
	interactive sessions, mid-course and final exam questions)
	3. How the design of the modules impacted your learning
	4. Integration with other aspects of the curriculum
	5. Interface design (were online materials accessible/easy to use?)
	6. Amount of time required to complete each module
	7. Views about the use of flipped classroom format versus traditional lecture format
UCSF	How did the design of the Fungal Infections, Mycobacterial Infections, Enterovirus &
	Arbovirus Infections, Hepatitis, Helminths, and Protozoa modules impact your learning of the
	content? (Consider springboards, narrated PowerPoints, and interactive session)
	How would you compare your ability to learn content between traditional lectures and the
	Fungal Infections, Mycobacterial Infections, Enterovirus & Arbovirus Infections, Hepatitis,
	Helminths, and Protozoa modules?
	• Comment on the interface design (including accessibility) of the online materials. Consider
	strengths, weaknesses, areas for improvement.
	Comment on the adequacy of scheduled time in the curriculum to complete the modules
	(Fungal Infections, Mycobacterial Infections, Enterovirus & Arbovirus Infections, Hepatitis,
	Helminths, and Protozoa).

	Comment on the integration and timing of the modules (Fungal Infections, Mycobacterial
	Infections, Enterovirus & Arbovirus Infections, Hepatitis, Helminths, and Protozoa) in relation
	to the larger I-3 curriculum and assessments.
UW	Comments about the interactive sessions:
	Comments about the Invaders and Defenders curriculum. Please consider:
	Strengths, weaknesses, opportunities for improvement
	• Quality of the components (springboard and content videos, Q&A with Bagels sessions,
	interactive sessions, mid-course and final exam questions)
	How the design of the modules impacted your learning
	Integration with other aspects of the curriculum
	• Interface design (were online materials accessible/easy to use?)
	Amount of time required to complete each module
	Views about the use of flipped classroom format versus traditional lecture format
	• Specific comments about any of the module videos/interactive sessions (Pneumococcus,
	Tuberculosis, Infections of the Heart)
Duke	Comments about the videos. Please consider:
	• Effectiveness of the module in defining and meeting learning objectives; Quality, educational
	value and organization of the video content; Integration of concepts in microbiology,
	immunology and clinical medicine
	Workload associated with the module