Supplemental Digital Appendix 2



Resident/Evaluator Information

The questions in this survey follow ACGME competencies. This survey must be completed once started as answers can not be saved for completion at a later time.

Name of Resident:
Residency Type/Specialty:
Location:
Evaluator's Name/Title

Patient Care

Please evaluate this individual's performance in comparison to *ALL first-year residents* you have trained over the years, not just in comparison to this individual's peers.

	Unacceptable	Significantly below most of their peers	On par with most peers	Better than most peers	Consistently at least one level higher than almost all peers	N/A or Unable to Judge
Conducting patient histories	0	0	0	0	\circ	\circ
Physical exam skills	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Conducting daily patient evaluations	0	0	0	0	0	\circ
Performing basic technical skills (eg. inserting IV, suturing, inserting a-line)	0	0	0	0	0	0

1

		Significantly	On par	Better	Consistently	
Performing advanced	Unacceptable	below most of their peers	most with peers	most than peers	at least one level higher than almost all peers	Unable N/A or to Judge
procedural skills (eg. inserting central line, performing endoscopy, operating room performance)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Analysis of clinical data, differential diagnosis and selection/interpretation of tests	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ability to manage and/or refer patients with life threatening illness	0	0	0	0	0	0
Knowledge and selection of treatment options/patient management	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coordination and continuity of care	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ability to appreciate a patient's illness in the context of their life	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ability to manage patients with complex multi-system illness	0	0	0	0	0	0

Communication and Interpersonal Skills

Please evaluate this individual's performance in comparison to *ALL first-year residents* you have trained over the years, not just in comparison to this individual's peers.

	Unacceptable	Significantly below most of their peers	On par with most peers	Better than most peers	Consistently at least one level higher than almost all peers	N/A or Unable to Judge
Oral communication skills	0	0	0	0	0	0
Written communication skills	0	0	0	0	0	0
Relationships with patients	0	0	0	0	0	0
Relationships with families	\circ	0	0	0	\circ	0
Relationships with peers, staff, and other health-care personnel	0	0	0	0	0	0
Effectiveness as a teacher	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sensitivity to patient's age and gender	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sensitivity to patient's culture and disabilities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Effectiveness as a member of a healthcare team	0	0	0	0	0	0
Effectiveness with end of life care issues	0	0	0	0	0	0

Medical Knowledge

Please evaluate this individual's performance in comparison to *ALL first-year residents* you have trained over the years, not just in comparison to this individual's peers.

	Unacceptable	Significantly below most of their peers	On par with most peers	Better than most peers	Consistently at least one level higher than almost all peers	N/A or Unable to Judge
Fund of basic science knowledge	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fund of clinical science knowledge	0	0	0	0	0	\circ
Clinical judgement	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Ability to apply basic science knowledge to patient care	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ability to apply clinical science knowledge to patient care	0	0	0	0	0	0

Professionalism

Please evaluate this individual's performance in comparison to *ALL first-year residents* you have trained over the years, not just in comparison to this individual's peers.

Initiative and motivation O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	0
Attitude O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	
Maturity O O O	\circ
	\circ
	\circ
Ethical conduct	\circ
Aware of own Imitations	0
Willingness to admit an error in judgement	0

Systems-Based Practice & Practice-Based Learning and Improvement

Please evaluate this individual's performance in comparison to *ALL first-year residents* you have trained over the years, not just in comparison to this individual's peers.

				Consistently		
	Significantly	On par	Better	at least one		
	below most	with	than	level higher	N/A or	
	of their	most	most	than almost	Unable to	
Unacceptable	peers	peers	peers	all peers	Judge	

professions edu	ication: Ratio	nale, applic	cation, an	d possit	Ollities. Aca Consistently	d Med.
	Unacceptable	Significantly below most of their peers	On par with most peers	Better than most peers	at least one level higher than almost all peers	N/A or Unable to Judge
Self-directed learning skills	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time management skills	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quality of medical records	0	0	\circ	0	0	0
Accesses and critically evaluates current medical information and scientific evidence	0	0	0	0	0	0
Understanding of the contexts and systems of TRICARE	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consideration of costs in diagnosis and management	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adaptation to new technology	0	0	0	0	0	0
Quality assurance and improvement initiatives	0	0	0	0	0	0

Please evaluate this individual's participation level in comparison to *ALL first-year residents* you have trained over the years, not just in comparison to this individual's peers.

	Did not participate	Significantly below most of their peers	On par with most peers	Better than most peers	Consistently at least one level higher than almost all peers	N/A or Unable to Judge
Participation in volunteerism, social, or humanitarian clinical activities	0	0	0	0	0	0

Please indicate the resident's level of performance in their volunteerism, social, or humanitarian clinical activities.

	Unacceptable	Significantly below most of their peers	On par with most peers	Better than most peers	Consistently at least one level higher than almost all peers	N/A or Unable to Judge
Performance in volunteerism, social, or humanitarian clinical activities	0	0	0	0	0	0

Did the student participate in elective research?

\bigcirc	Yes
\bigcirc	

O No

١	What type of research did the student involved in?	

Please indicate the level of performance in which the resident performed in their volunteerism, social, or humanitarian clinical activities.

	Unacceptable	Significantly below most of their peers	On par with most peers	Better than most peers	Consistently at least one level higher than almost all peers	N/A or Unable to Judge
Performance in elective research	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	0	\circ

Military Unique Practice, Deployments and Humanitarian Missions

Please evaluate this individual's performance in comparison to *ALL first-year residents* you have trained over the years, not just in comparison to this individual's peers.

	Unacceptable	Significantly below most of their peers	On par with most peers	Better than most peers	Consistently at least one level higher than almost all peers	N/A or Unable to Judge
Military leadership	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Perceived ability to conduct patient care in deployed environment	0	0	0	0	0	0
Perceived ability to conduct patient care in humanitarian mission environment	0	0	0	0	0	0
Understanding of psycho-social impacts of deployment on Service members and families	0	0	0	0	0	0
Knowledge of common post- deployment medical or psychological conditions (ie. TBI, PTSD)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Understanding of patient flow and procedures in the deployment or humanitarian mission environment	0	0	0	0	0	0
Knowledge of electronic health record applications	0	0	0	0	0	0
Knowledge of electronic health record/technology used in theater	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ability to cope with the stress of military medical practice	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supplemental digital content for Dumas D, McNeish D, Schreiber-Gregory D, Durning SJ, Torre DM. Dynamic measurement in health professions education: Rationale, application, and possibilities. Acad Med.

professions educ	ation: Ration	nale, applica	tion, an	d possib	oilities. Acc	ad Med.
	Unacceptable	Significantly below most of their peers	On par with most peers	Better than most peers	at least one level higher than almost all peers	N/A or
Exemplifying ideas of military medical practice	0	0	0	0	0	0
Motivation to participate in humanitarian mission or deployment	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adaptation to unique situations and stressors in military medical practice	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall Clinical Please evaluate	-		ormar	nce usi	ing the p	rovided
rating scale.					9 1	
	Unacceptable / Poor	Significantly below most of their peers	On pai most p			Consistently at least one level higher than almost all peers
Overall Clinical Competence	0	0	С)	0	0
Trust						
I would trust this	s trainee to	care for 1	me or	a close	e family r	member
○ Yes ○ No ○ Unsure						
Please explain v Sure):	vhy you ch	ose your (above	answe	er (Yes, N	o, or Not

Powered by Qualtrics