Supplemental Digital Form 4

Vanderbilt Institute for Clinical and Translational Research (VICTR) Studios Studio Expert Evaluation

Thank you for taking the time to provide valuable feedback on the studio process. We are continually trying to improve the studio process for everyone involved and your comments will help us tremendously.

Name of Studio Expert: (Please enter full name)

Institution: (Check all that apply)

- □ Vanderbilt
- □ Meharry

Title:

- □ Pre-Doctoral Fellow
- □ Post-Doctoral Fellow
- □ Resident
- □ Clinical Fellow
- □ Research Fellow
- □ Clinical Instructor
- □ Research Instructor
- □ Assistant Professor
- □ Research Assistant Professor
- □ Associate Professor
- □ Research Associate Professor
- □ Professor
- □ Other: If other title, please specify: _____

Degree: (Check all that apply)

- □ APN
- \square BS
- □ MD
- □ MPH
- □ PhD
- □ RN
- □ Other: If other degree, please specify: _____

Department: _____

Division: _____

LAST name of PI to whom you provided expertise:

Date of Studio: _____

Type of Studio:

□ T1

T2

□ Basic Science

I had the relevant expertise to address the researcher's specific issues or questions.

ee

□ Agree

- □ Disagree
- □ Strongly disagree

The studio moderator managed the allotted time in order to address the researcher's issues/questions.

- □ Strongly agree
- □ Agree
- □ Disagree
- □ Strongly disagree

The studio process was worth my time.

- □ Strongly agree
- □ Agree
- □ Disagree
- □ Strongly disagree

The scheduling for this studio was handled in a timely and efficient matter.

- □ Strongly agree
- □ Agree
- \Box Neither agree nor disagree
- □ Disagree
- □ Strongly disagree

This studio improved the quality of the science or research project.

- □ Strongly agree
- □ Agree
- \Box Neither agree nor disagree
- □ Disagree
- □ Strongly disagree

The funds allocated were adequate for the services I provided.

- □ Strongly agree
- □ Agree
- □ Disagree
- □ Strongly disagree
- □ Not applicable since I am not seeking compensation

The time for this studio was adequate in length to cover the issues/questions.

- □ Strongly agree
- □ Agree
- \Box Neither agree nor disagree
- □ Disagree
- □ Strongly disagree

The investigator was prepared for the studio.

- □ Strongly agree
- □ Agree
- □ Disagree
- □ Strongly disagree

If you disagree or strongly disagree, please specify where the PI could have made improvements. (Check all that apply)

- □ Pre-studio preparation, e.g. development of study documents
- □ Knowledge of the literature
- □ Studio presentation

I was able to provide a substantive contribution to the project either through discussion or written comments.

- □ Strongly agree
- □ Agree
- □ Disagree
- \Box Strongly disagree

3

My contribution to the studio was related to which of the following topic areas: (Check all that apply)

- □ New measures
- □ New conceptual framework
- □ Identifying new sources of bias
- \Box Selection and use of scales
- \Box Specific aims and/or hypotheses
- □ Study population
- □ Study design
- □ Background & significance
- □ Preliminary studies
- □ Identification of potential collaborators
- □ Subject recruitment/retention
- □ Implementation
- □ Biostatistics
- □ Journal selection
- \Box Choice of study section
- □ Presentation of data in manuscript
- □ Guidance on response to reviewer critiques
- □ Recommendation of additional experiments for inclusion in manuscript
- □ Other: If other type of contribution, please specify:

If requested by the PI, would you be willing to provide additional guidance to the PI following the studio? (Please note that no additional compensation will be provided for follow-up following the studio.)

- □ Yes
- □ No

Would you be willing to participate as an expert in future studios?

- □ Yes
- □ No

If yes, please specify up to three areas of CONTENT expertise for which you would like to be considered for future studios:

If yes, please list up to three areas of METHODS expertise for which you would like to be considered for future studios:

Copyright © by Vanderbilt University. Used by Academic Medicine with permission. Reproduction for educational purposes permitted.

4

Please suggest up to three ways that the quality of the studio process could be improved:

Invoice: Translational Studio, Expert Consult (Amount: \$150)

Following a studio, experts may be compensated \$150.00 for participation, which would be deposited into a non-federal cost center (provided by the expert). In accordance with federal law pertaining to percent effort though, you may only be reimbursed for your time if you currently have uncommitted effort available to apply to this project. Also, individuals receiving salary support from the CTSA grant may or may not be eligible for reimbursement (please check with your Division Chief for more information).

Are you seeking compensation for this studio?

- □ Yes
- □ No

Is this studio expert consultation DIRECTLY related to your role on the CTSA grant?

- □ No
- □ Yes
- \Box Not Applicable

Do you have non-federal effort available to be compensated for this studio participation?

YesNo

Cost Center Number: (Note: May NOT be a Federal Cost Center)

Administrator's Name: (Please write full name)

5