Supplemental Digital Material

| Supplemental Digital Appendix 1: Survey Questions Asked of Internal Medicine Residents at 7 Academ Medical Centers Regarding Their Patient Discharge Education and Practices . | nic 1- 4 |
|---|--------------------|
| Supplemental Digital Appendix 2: Table Presenting Distribution of Post-Graduate Year Response Rate Among 7 Internal Medicine Programs, 2019. | 5 |
| Supplemental Digital Appendix 3: Table Presenting Distribution of Residents' Educational Experiences for Each Post Graduate Year at 7 Internal Medicine Programs in 2019 | 6 |
| Supplemental Digital Appendix 4: Table Presenting Distribution of Residents' Discharge-Related Educational Experiences Among 7 Internal Medicine Programs in 2019 | 7 |

Supplemental Digital Appendix 1

Survey Questions Asked of Internal Medicine Residents at 7 Academic Medical Centers Regarding Their Patient Discharge Education and Practices, 2019

- 1. If the patient has a caregiver, someone on my team reviews the discharge care plan with caregivers: [Team defined as medical student, intern, resident and/or attending]
 - 1. I am not sure about my team but I don't
 - 2. Rarely (0% to 20% of time)
 - 3. Not usually (21 to 40% of time)
 - 4. Sometimes (41 to 60% of time)
 - 5. Often (61% to 80% of time)
 - 6. Almost always (>80% of time)
- 2. If the primary care physician (PCP) does NOT have access to the EMR, someone on my team speaks to the PCP about the discharge plan or sends a discharge summary to the PCP or with the patient? [Team defined as medical student, intern, resident and/or attending]
 - 1. I am not sure about my team but I don't
 - 2. Rarely (0% to 20% of time)
 - 3. Not usually (21 to 40% of time)
 - 4. Sometimes (41 to 60% of time)
 - 5. Often (61% to 80% of time)
 - 6. Almost always (>80% of time)
- 3. If the team does not round together, how often do you discuss among team members dividing up the responsibilities of patient education:

[Team defined as medical student, intern, resident and attending]

Supplemental digital content for Trivedi SP, Kopp Z, Tang AJ, Mammen A, Pandya D, Horwitz LI, Schwartz MD. Discharge Communication: A Multi-Institutional Survey of Internal Medicine Residents' Education and Practices. Acad Med.

- 1. Rarely (0% to 20% of time)
- 2. Not usually (21 to 40% of time)
- 3. Sometimes (41 to 60% of time)
- 4. Often (61% to 80% of time)
- 5. Almost always (>80% of time)
- 4. Before discharge, how often do you review the purpose of any medication changes with patients?
 - 1. Rarely (0% to 20% of time)
 - 2. Not usually (21 to 40% of time)
 - 3. Sometimes (41 to 60% of time)
 - 4. Often (61% to 80% of time)
 - 5. Almost always (>80% of time)
- 5. Before discharge, how often do you review self-management of their diseases for outpatient? (e.g. peak flow meter for asthma or how to use weight scale for heart failure)
 - 1. Rarely (0% to 20% of time)
 - 2. Not usually (21 to 40% of time)
 - 3. Sometimes (41 to 60% of time)
 - 4. Often (61% to 80% of time)
 - 5. Almost always (>80% of time)
- 6. Before discharge, how often do you review symptom expectations with the patient?
 - 1. Rarely (0% to 20% of time)
 - 2. Not usually (21 to 40% of time)
 - 3. Sometimes (41 to 60% of time)
 - 4. Often (61% to 80% of time)
 - 5. Almost always (>80% of time)
- 7. Before discharge, how often do you review red flags with the patient?
 - 1. Rarely (0% to 20% of time)
 - 2. Not usually (21 to 40% of time)
 - 3. Sometimes (41 to 60% of time)
 - 4. Often (61% to 80% of time)
 - 5. Almost always (>80% of time)
- 8. Before discharge, how often do you review appointments verbally AND why they are important with the patient?
 - 1. Rarely (0% to 20% of time)
 - 2. Not usually (21 to 40% of time)
 - 3. Sometimes (41 to 60% of time)
 - 4. Often (61% to 80% of time)
 - 5. Almost always (>80% of time)
- 9. Before discharge, how often do you ask patients to teach back their discharge care plan?
 - 1. Rarely (0% to 20% of time)
 - 2. Not usually (21 to 40% of time)

Supplemental digital content for Trivedi SP, Kopp Z, Tang AJ, Mammen A, Pandya D, Horwitz LI, Schwartz MD. Discharge Communication: A Multi-Institutional Survey of Internal Medicine Residents' Education and Practices. Acad Med.

- 3. Sometimes (41 to 60% of time)
- 4. Often (61% to 80% of time)
- 5. Almost always (>80% of time)
- 10. If you don't consistently do comprehensive discharge education with patients, it is because
 - Y/N I believe a nurse or pharmacist is doing discharge education
 - Y/N I believe the attending is doing discharge education
 - Y/N It has not been role-modeled for me
 - Y/N I haven't had formal teaching/training on effective communication at discharge
 - Y/N There is not enough time
 - Y/N The information will be in the patient's discharge instructions
 - Y/N The patient is being transferred to SNF or rehab

- 11. Have you ever been observed by a more senior member of the team when doing discharge education (i.e a resident or an attending for an intern or an attending for a resident)?
 - 1. Yes
 - 2. No
- 12. Have you ever been observed AND given feedback by a more senior member of the team when doing discharge education (i.e a resident or an attending for an intern or an attending for a resident)?
 - 1. Yes
 - 2. No
- 13. Have you ever been given specific feedback on how you lead multi-disciplinary rounds, particularly for patients being discharged?

[Multi-disciplinary rounds defined as discussions with social worker, care manager, nursing or other disciplines]

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. I have never led interdisciplinary rounds
- 14. What areas in discharge multi-disciplinary communication need improvement?

 [Multi-disciplinary team includes nurse, clinicians, social worker, case manager or other disciplines]
- 15. Who is primarily responsible for patient education at discharge?
 - 1. I am honestly not sure who does it
 - 2. Nurse

| | al content for Trivedi SP, Kopp Z, Tang AJ, Mammen A, Pandya D, Horwitz LI, Schwartz MD. Discharge Multi-Institutional Survey of Internal Medicine Residents' Education and Practices. Acad Med. |
|---|---|
| 3. Pl | narmacist |
| 4. In | tern |
| 5. Re | esident |
| 6. At | ttending |
| N Pl In Re | st suited to do patient education at discharge? urse narmacist tern esident ttending |
| following | |
| | Lectures |
| | small group discussions/workshops |
| 1/N P | Post-discharge home visits |

Y/N Post-discharge telephone calls Y/N Post-discharge clinic visit

Y/N Online modules

Other:

Y/N Formalized discharge summary review

Y/N Explicit on-rounds teaching on key discharge education

Supplemental digital content for Trivedi SP, Kopp Z, Tang AJ, Mammen A, Pandya D, Horwitz LI, Schwartz MD. Discharge Communication: A Multi-Institutional Survey of Internal Medicine Residents' Education and Practices, Acad Med.

Supplemental Digital Appendix 2

Table Presenting Distribution of Post-Graduate Year Response Rate Among 7 Internal Medicine Programs, 2019

| | Program A: | Program B: | Program C: | Program D: | | | Program G: |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | No. |
| | respondents / |
| Postgraduate | total residents |
| year | for PGY (%) ^a |
| PGY-1 | 25/49 (51.0) | 42/79 (53.2) | 20/33 (60.6) | 45/64 (70.3) | 23/28 (82.1) | 25/40 (62.5) | 44/62 (71.0) |
| PGY-2 | 25/42 (59.5) | 42/67 (62.7) | 15/25 (60.0) | 35/55 (63.6) | 23/28 (82.1) | 16/32 (50.0) | 36/57 (63.2) |
| PGY-3 | 27/41 (65.9) | 43/69 (62.3) | 15/25 (60.0) | 31/55 (56.4) | 23/26 (88.5) | 19/32 (59.4) | 38/57 (66.7) |

Abbreviations: PGY indicates postgraduate year

^aThe response rate was 63.4% (613/966) for the total sample, with 58.3% (77/132) for Program A, 59.1% (127/215) for Program B, 60.2% (50/83) for Program C, 63.8% (111/174) for Program D, 84.1% (69/82) for Program E, 57.7% (60/104) for Program F, 67.0% (118/176) for Program G.

Supplemental Digital Appendix 3

Table Presenting Distribution of Residents' Educational Experiences for Each PGY at 7 Internal Medicine Programs in 2019

| | Total no. of respondents | No. (%) of 224 PGY1 | No. (%) of 193 PGY2 | No. (%) of 196 PGY3 | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Educational experiences | $(n = 613)^{a}$ | respondents | respondents ^a | respondents ^a | P value b |
| Lectures | 340 (55.5) | 118 (52.7) | 110 (57.0) | 112 (57.1) | 0.17 |
| Online modules | 62 (10.1) | 20 (8.9) | 16 (8.3) | 26 (13.3) | 0.091 |
| Small-group Workshops | 301 (49.1) | 99 (44.2) | 107 (55.4) | 95 (48.5) | 0.046 |
| Formal review of discharge summaries | 181 (29.5) | 54 (24.1) | 55 (28.5) | 71 (36.2) | 0.004 |
| Post-discharge telephone calls | 117 (19.1) | 34 (15.2) | 40 (20.7) | 43 (21.9) | 0.15 |
| Post-discharge clinic visits | 314 (51.2) | 80 (35.7) | 118 (61.1) | 116 (59.2) | < 0.001 |
| Post-discharge home visits | 64 (10.5) | 7 (3.1) | 23 (11.9) | 34 (17.3) | < 0.001 |
| Explicit teaching on rounds | 276 (45.1) | 94 (42.0) | 87 (45.1) | 95 (48.5) | 0.095 |
| Observation and feedback | 116 (18.9) | 41 (18.3) | 38 (19.7) | 37 (18.9) | 0.678 |

Abbreviation: PGY, postgraduate year.

 $^{^{}a}$ The response rate was 63.4% (613/966) for the total sample, with 36.5% (224/613) PGY-1s, 32.0% (193/613) PGY-2s, 32.0% (196/613) PGY-3s

^bDerived from chi-square tests

Supplemental digital content for Trivedi SP, Kopp Z, Tang AJ, Mammen A, Pandya D, Horwitz LI, Schwartz MD. Discharge Communication: A Multi-Institutional Survey of Internal Medicine Residents' Education and Practices. Acad Med.

Supplemental Digital Appendix 4

Table Presenting Distribution of Residents' Discharge-Related Educational Experiences Among 7 Internal Medicine Programs in 2019

| | Total No. of | Program A, | Program B, | Program C, | Program D, | Program E, | Program F, | Program G, | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Educational | Responses | no. (% of | no. (% of | no. (% of | no. (% of | no. (% of | no. (% of | no. (% of | P |
| _experiences | $(n = 613^{a})$ | | 127 ^a)_ | $_{\underline{}}$ 50 a) | 111a) | 69 ^a) | $_{-}$ 60 $^{\mathrm{a}}$) $_{-}$ | 118 ^a) | value ^b |
| Lectures | 340 (55.5) | 39 (50.6) | 83 (65.4) | 14 (28.0) | 80 (72.1) | 28 (40.6) | 22 (36.7) | 71 (60.2) | < 0.001 |
| Online modules | 62 (10.1) | 10 (13.0) | 19 (15.0) | 4 (8.0) | 9 (8.1) | 5 (7.2) | 8 (13.3) | 7 (5.9) | 0.279 |
| Small-group | | | | | | | | | |
| Workshops | 301 (49.1) | 30 (39.0) | 73 (57.5) | 13 (26.0) | 72 (64.9) | 23 (33.3) | 27 (45.0) | 61 (51.7) | < 0.001 |
| Formal review of | | | | | | | | | |
| discharge summaries | 181 (29.5) | 17 (22.1) | 53 (41.7) | 7 (14.0) | 35 (31.5) | 10 (14.5) | 15 (25.0) | 44 (37.3) | < 0.001 |
| Post-discharge | | | | | | | | | |
| telephone calls | 117 (19.1) | 12 (15.6) | 27 (21.3) | 3 (6.0) | 25 (22.5) | 11 (15.9) | 9 (15.0) | 27 (22.9) | 0.009 |
| Post-discharge clinic | | | | | | | | | < 0.001 |
| visits | 314 (51.2) | 39 (50.6) | 76 (59.8) | 10 (20.0) | 66 (59.5) | 31 (44.9) | 34 (56.7) | 58 (49.2) | |
| Post-discharge home | | | | | | | | | < 0.001 |
| visits | 64 (10.4) | 8 (10.4) | 18 (14.2) | 0(0.0) | 25 (22.5) | 3 (4.3) | 3 (5.0) | 7 (5.9) | |
| Explicit teaching on- | | | | | | | | | |
| rounds | 276 (45.0) | 26 (33.8) | 68 (53.5) | 16 (32.0) | 44 (39.6) | 30 (43.5) | 26 (43.3) | 66 (55.9) | 0.001 |
| Observation and | | | | | | | | | |
| feedback | 116 (18.9) | 9 (11.7) | 36 (28.3) | 12 (24.0) | 15 (13.5) | 13 (18.8) | 12 (20.0) | 19 (16.1) | 0.033 |

^aThe response rate was 63.4% (613/966) for the total sample, with 58.3% (77/132) for Program A, 59.1% (127/215) for Program B, 60.2% (50/83) for Program C, 63.8% (111/174) for Program D, 84.1% (69/82) for Program E, 57.7% (60/104) for Program F, 67.0% (118/176) for Program G.

^bDerived from chi-square tests