## **SUPPLEMENT 2**

Supplementary Table 1. Baseline characteristics and clinical outcome between CDS and HGS<sup>a</sup>

Variables	CDS (n = 32)	HGS (n = 32)	P Value
Age, mean (range), years	67.63 (40, 90)	62.03 (43, 88)	.06
Male sex, no. (%)	19 (59.4)	22 (68.8)	.43
Duodenal invasion, no. (%)	9 (28.1)	9 (28.1)	>.99
Surgically altered anatomy, no. (%)			
Billroth-II	0 (0)	1 (25)	
Roux-en-Y	0 (0)	3 (75)	
Technical success, no. (%)	29 (90.6)	31 (96.9)	.61
Clinical success, no. (%)	28 (87.5)	26 (81.3)	.49
Procedure time, median (IQR), min	5.8 (3.1, 19.2)	4.8 (3.5, 7.2)	.13
Transmural fistula dilation, no. (%)			
1-step	22 (75.9)	24 (77.4)	.89 <sup>d</sup>
2-step	7 (24.1) <sup>b</sup>	7 (22.6)°	
Stent length (mm)	6.2±0.6	8.9±0.8	<.001
Adverse events, no. (%)			
Early (procedure-related)	2 (6.3)	2 (6.3)	>.99
Late	3 (9.4)	0 (0)	.24
Procedure severity, no. (%)			
Early Mild	1 (50)	1 (50)	

Moderate	1 (50)	1 (50)	
Late Mild	2 (66.7)	0 (0)	
Moderate	1 (33.3)	0 (0)	
Reintervention rate, no. (%)	6 (18.8)	4 (12.5)	.49
Reintervention method, no.			
ERCP	5 (83.3)	4 (100)	>.99
PTBD	1 (16.7)	0 (0)	
Hospital stay, median (range), days	5 (2, 28)	4 (3, 12)	.05

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Plus-minus values are means±SD. CDS denotes choledochoduodenostomy, HGS hepaticogastrostomy, IQR interquartile range, ERCP endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, and PTBD percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Additional transmural fistula dilations were done with needle knife (n = 2), 4-mm balloon catheter without balloon dilation (n = 4), or bougie catheter (n = 1) in CDS group.

 $<sup>^{</sup>c}$ Additional transmural fistula dilations were done with needle knife (N=1) or 4-mm balloon catheter without balloon dilation (n = 6) in HGS group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>The rates of one-step transmural fistula dilation were calculated according to an intention-to-treat analysis.

## Supplementary Table 2. Predictive factors of reintervention

Wawiahlar		Reintervention	Crude	95% CI		P	Adjusted	95% CI		P Value
Variables	No.	(%)	HR			Value	HRª			
Age (years)										
≤ 65	57	15 (26.3)	1							
> 65	68	21 (30.9)	1.465	0.750	2.860	.26				
Sex										
Male	67	17 (25.4)	0.658	0.340	1.273	.21				
Female	58	19 (32.8)	1							
ASA class			0.794	0.347	1.821	.59				
I	10	4 (40.0)								
II	106	30 (28.3)								
III	9	2 (22.2)								
Primary cancer										
Pancreatic	70	22 (20.5)	1 100	0.560	2 102	77				
cancer	78	23 (29.5)	1.108	0.560	2.192	.77				
Other cancer	47	13 (27.7)	1							
Initial total										
bilirubin level			0.940	0.881	1.002	.06	0.946	0.886	1.011	.10
Common bile										
duct diameter			0.927	0.844	1.017	.11	0.951	0.864	1.048	.31
(mm)										
Biliary drainage										
method										
ERCP	61	26 (42.6)	3.339	1.607	6.940	.001	3.142	1.511	6.534	.002
EUS-BD	64	10 (15.6)	1				1			

Duodenal								
invasion								
Yes	31	9 (29.0)	1.460	0.685	3.111	.33		
No	94	27 (28.7)	1					
Surgically								
altered anatomy								
Yes	5	2 (40.0)	0.820	0.196	3.436	.79		
No	120	34 (28.3)	1					
Systemic								
chemotherapy								
Yes	63	20 (31.8)	0.863	0.444	1.680	.67		
No	62	16 (25.8)	1					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Adjusted variables with P<0.2 on univariate analysis.

## **Supplementary Figures**

Supplementary Figure 1. Schematics of EUS-guided transmural biliary drainage.

(A) Choledochoduodenostomy and (B) hepaticogastrostomy. (C) A preloaded partially covered metal stent and one-step dedicated stent introducer with tapered metal tip which functions as a push-type dilator.

(A)





