**Identification of studies via other methods**

**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records identified from:

Task force member

(n = 1,130)

Records removed *before screening*:

Duplicate records removed

(n = 969)

Records identified from\*:

Databases (n = 7,189)

**Identification**

Records screened

(n = 6,220)

Records excluded\*\*

(n = 5,624) by human

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 8)

Reports sought for retrieval

(n = 596)

Reports not retrieved

(n = 0)

**Screening**

Reports excluded:

Not relevant outcome (n = 140)

Not relevant comparator (n = 68)

Not relevant question (n = 26)

Other (n = 25)

Not relevant intervention (n = 13)

Not relevant study design (n = 12)

Not relevant publication (n = 8)

Non-English publication (n = 7)

Not relevant patient population (n = 7)

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 8)

Reports excluded: (n=0)

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 596)

Studies included in review

(n = 297)

**Included**

\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.
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