

NOTICE: This document contains correspondence generated during peer review and subsequent revisions but before transmittal to production for composition and copyediting:

- Comments from the reviewers and editors (email to author requesting revisions)
- Response from the author (cover letter submitted with revised manuscript)*
- Email correspondence between the editorial office and the authors*

Personal or nonessential information may be redacted at the editor's discretion.

Questions about these materials may be directed to the *Obstetrics & Gynecology* editorial office: obgyn@greenjournal.org.

^{*}The corresponding author has opted to make this information publicly available.

Date: Jan 11, 2019

To: "Katherine Sampene"

From: "The Green Journal" em@greenjournal.org

Subject: Your Submission ONG-18-2320

RE: Manuscript Number ONG-18-2320

Raynaud's Phenomenon of the Nipple: An Under-Recognized Diagnosis

Dear Dr. Sampene:

Your manuscript has been reviewed by the Editorial Board and by special expert referees. Although it is judged not acceptable for publication in Obstetrics & Gynecology in its present form, we would be willing to give further consideration to a revised version.

If you wish to consider revising your manuscript, you will first need to study carefully the enclosed reports submitted by the referees and editors. Each point raised requires a response, by either revising your manuscript or making a clear and convincing argument as to why no revision is needed. To facilitate our review, we prefer that the cover letter include the comments made by the reviewers and the editor followed by your response. The revised manuscript should indicate the position of all changes made. We suggest that you use the "track changes" feature in your word processing software to do so (rather than strikethrough or underline formatting).

Your paper will be maintained in active status for 21 days from the date of this letter. If we have not heard from you by Feb 01, 2019, we will assume you wish to withdraw the manuscript from further consideration.

REVIEWER COMMENTS:

Reviewer #1:

- 1. This is a succinct case presentation, and I applaud the inclusion of both an antepartum and postpartum patient in presenting Reynaud's phenomena as the source of nipple and breast pain.
- 2. As data suggests that often minimal education on breastfeeding topics is included in OBGYN residencies, efforts to supplement knowledge and therefore confidence in diagnosis and management of problems is much appreciated. We will never arrive at the diagnosis that is omitted from our differential.

Reviewer #2: The authors present two cases of likely Reynaud's phenomenon of the breast/nipple. This is in actuality an interesting topic and likely effects many women particularly in cold climates and likely goes underdiagnosed. While I appreciate the work here, both of these cases will need more work and further more in depth description of the processes and symptoms that went on during the clinical course. As written the cases are a bit sparse on detail and do not elucidate that Reynaud's phenomenon was the cause of the pain.

EDITOR COMMENTS:

- 1. The Editors of Obstetrics & Gynecology are seeking to increase transparency around its peer-review process, in line with efforts to do so in international biomedical peer review publishing. If your article is accepted, we will be posting this revision letter as supplemental digital content to the published article online. Additionally, unless you choose to opt out, we will also be including your point-by-point response to the revision letter, as well as subsequent author queries. If you opt out of including your response, only the revision letter will be posted. Please reply to this letter with one of two responses:
- 1. OPT-IN: Yes, please publish my response letter and subsequent email correspondence related to author gueries.
- 2. OPT-OUT: No, please do not publish my response letter and subsequent email correspondence related to author queries.
- 2. As of December 17, 2018, Obstetrics & Gynecology has implemented an "electronic Copyright Transfer Agreement"

1 of 3 1/29/2019, 12:36 PM

(eCTA) and will no longer be collecting author agreement forms. When you are ready to revise your manuscript, you will be prompted in Editorial Manager (EM) to click on "Revise Submission." Doing so will launch the resubmission process, and you will be walked through the various questions that comprise the eCTA. Each of your coauthors will receive an email from the system requesting that they review and electronically sign the eCTA.

Any author agreement forms previously submitted will be superseded by the eCTA. During the resubmission process, you are welcome to remove these PDFs from EM. However, if you prefer, we can remove them for you after submission.

- 3. Standard obstetric and gynecology data definitions have been developed through the reVITALize initiative, which was convened by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the members of the Women's Health Registry Alliance. Obstetrics & Gynecology has adopted the use of the reVITALize definitions. Please access the obstetric and gynecology data definitions at https://www.acog.org/About-ACOG/ACOG-Departments/Patient-Safety-and-Quality-Improvement/reVITALize. If use of the reVITALize definitions is problematic, please discuss this in your point-by-point response to this letter.
- 4. Because of space limitations, it is important that your revised manuscript adhere to the following length restrictions by manuscript type: Case Reports should not exceed 8 typed, double-spaced pages (2,000 words). Stated page limits include all numbered pages in a manuscript (i.e., title page, précis, abstract, text, references, tables, boxes, figure legends, and print appendixes) but exclude references.
- 5. Titles in Obstetrics & Gynecology are limited to 100 characters (including spaces). Do not structure the title as a declarative statement or a question. Introductory phrases such as "A study of..." or "Comprehensive investigations into..." or "A discussion of..." should be avoided in titles. Abbreviations, jargon, trade names, formulas, and obsolete terminology also should not be used in the title. Titles should include "A Randomized Controlled Trial," "A Meta-Analysis," or "A Systematic Review," as appropriate, in a subtitle. Otherwise, do not specify the type of manuscript in the title.
- 6. Specific rules govern the use of acknowledgments in the journal. Please note the following guidelines:
- * All financial support of the study must be acknowledged.
- * Any and all manuscript preparation assistance, including but not limited to topic development, data collection, analysis, writing, or editorial assistance, must be disclosed in the acknowledgments. Such acknowledgments must identify the entities that provided and paid for this assistance, whether directly or indirectly.
- * All persons who contributed to the work reported in the manuscript, but not sufficiently to be authors, must be acknowledged. Written permission must be obtained from all individuals named in the acknowledgments, as readers may infer their endorsement of the data and conclusions. Please note that your response in the journal's electronic author form verifies that permission has been obtained from all named persons.
- * If all or part of the paper was presented at the Annual Clinical and Scientific Meeting of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists or at any other organizational meeting, that presentation should be noted (include the exact dates and location of the meeting).
- 7. The most common deficiency in revised manuscripts involves the abstract. Be sure there are no inconsistencies between the Abstract and the manuscript, and that the Abstract has a clear conclusion statement based on the results found in the paper. Make sure that the abstract does not contain information that does not appear in the body text. If you submit a revision, please check the abstract carefully.

In addition, the abstract length should follow journal guidelines. The word limits for different article types are as follows: Case Reports, 125 words. Please provide a word count.

- 8. Only standard abbreviations and acronyms are allowed. A selected list is available online at http://edmgr.ovid.com/ong/accounts/abbreviations.pdf. Abbreviations and acronyms cannot be used in the title or précis. Abbreviations and acronyms must be spelled out the first time they are used in the abstract and again in the body of the manuscript.
- 9. The journal does not use the virgule symbol (/) in sentences with words. Please rephrase your text to avoid using "and/or," or similar constructions throughout the text. You may retain this symbol if you are using it to express data or a measurement.
- 10. Figure 1 may be resubmitted as-is.
- 11. Authors whose manuscripts have been accepted for publication have the option to pay an article processing charge and publish open access. With this choice, articles are made freely available online immediately upon publication. An information sheet is available at http://links.lww.com/LWW-ES/A48. The cost for publishing an article as open access can be found at http://edmgr.ovid.com/acd/accounts/ifauth.htm.

Please note that if your article is accepted, you will receive an email from the editorial office asking you to choose a publication route (traditional or open access). Please keep an eye out for that future email and be sure to respond to it promptly.

12. If you choose to revise your manuscript, please submit your revision via Editorial Manager for Obstetrics & Gynecology

2 of 3 1/29/2019, 12:36 PM

at http://ong.editorialmanager.com. It is essential that your cover letter list point-by-point the changes made in response to each criticism. Also, please save and submit your manuscript in a word processing format such as Microsoft Word.

If you submit a revision, we will assume that it has been developed in consultation with your co-authors and that each author has given approval to the final form of the revision.

Again, your paper will be maintained in active status for 21 days from the date of this letter. If we have not heard from you by Feb 01, 2019, we will assume you wish to withdraw the manuscript from further consideration.

Sincerely,

Nancy C. Chescheir, MD Editor-in-Chief

2017 IMPACT FACTOR: 4.982

2017 IMPACT FACTOR RANKING: 5th out of 82 ob/gyn journals

In compliance with data protection regulations, please contact the publication office if you would like to have your personal information removed from the database.

3 of 3 1/29/2019, 12:36 PM

Dear Editorial Office,

We are submitting a revision with the desire to publish our case report in Obstetrics and Gynecology.

Vasospasm of the nipple is an important but under-recognized disease. Recognition and treatment of it will improve the health of women. We hope you will consider our revised case report for publication.

Below you will find the comments made by the reviewers and the editor followed by our responses, as per the request. For ease of locating our responses below, our responses are italicized and bolded.

Thank you,

Sierra Jansen, MD

Katherine Sampene, MD

REVIEWER COMMENTS:

Reviewer #1:

1. This is a succinct case presentation, and I applaud the inclusion of both an antepartum and

postpartum patient in presenting Reynaud's phenomena as the source of nipple and breast pain.

2. As data suggests that often minimal education on breastfeeding topics is included in OBGYN

residencies, efforts to supplement knowledge and therefore confidence in diagnosis and management

of problems is much appreciated. We will never arrive at the diagnosis that is omitted from our

differential.

Response: No response requested.

Reviewer #2: The authors present two cases of likely Reynaud's phenomenon of the breast/nipple. This

is in actuality an interesting topic and likely effects many women particularly in cold climates and likely

goes underdiagnosed. While I appreciate the work here, both of these cases will need more work and

further more in depth description of the processes and symptoms that went on during the clinical

course. As written the cases are a bit sparse on detail and do not elucidate that Reynaud's phenomenon

was the cause of the pain.

Response: Please see the tracked changes within the body of our manuscript. We have added

pertinent details.

EDITOR COMMENTS:

- 1. The Editors of Obstetrics & Gynecology are seeking to increase transparency around its peer-review process, in line with efforts to do so in international biomedical peer review publishing. If your article is accepted, we will be posting this revision letter as supplemental digital content to the published article online. Additionally, unless you choose to opt out, we will also be including your point-by-point response to the revision letter, as well as subsequent author queries. If you opt out of including your response, only the revision letter will be posted. Please reply to this letter with one of two responses:
- 1. OPT-IN: Yes, please publish my response letter and subsequent email correspondence related to author queries.
- 2. OPT-OUT: No, please do not publish my response letter and subsequent email correspondence related to author queries.

Response: OPT-IN

2. As of December 17, 2018, Obstetrics & Gynecology has implemented an "electronic Copyright Transfer Agreement" (eCTA) and will no longer be collecting author agreement forms. When you are ready to revise your manuscript, you will be prompted in Editorial Manager (EM) to click on "Revise Submission." Doing so will launch the resubmission process, and you will be walked through the various questions that comprise the eCTA. Each of your coauthors will receive an email from the system requesting that they review and electronically sign the eCTA.

Any author agreement forms previously submitted will be superseded by the eCTA. During the resubmission process, you are welcome to remove these PDFs from EM. However, if you prefer, we can remove them for you after submission.

Response: It is fine for you to remove the unnecessary forms. We will promptly review and sign the email forms requested upon receipt.

3. Standard obstetric and gynecology data definitions have been developed through the reVITALize initiative, which was convened by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the members of the Women's Health Registry Alliance. Obstetrics & Gynecology has adopted the use of the reVITALize definitions. Please access the obstetric and gynecology data definitions at https://www.acog.org/About-ACOG/ACOG-Departments/Patient-Safety-and-Quality-lmprovement/reVITALize. If use of the reVITALize definitions is problematic, please discuss this in your point-by-point response to this letter.

Response: No changes necessary.

- 4. Because of space limitations, it is important that your revised manuscript adhere to the following length restrictions by manuscript type: Case Reports should not exceed 8 typed, double-spaced pages (2,000 words). Stated page limits include all numbered pages in a manuscript (i.e., title page, précis, abstract, text, references, tables, boxes, figure legends, and print appendixes) but exclude references. *Response: No changes necessary, we are within the limits.*
- 5. Titles in Obstetrics & Gynecology are limited to 100 characters (including spaces). Do not structure the title as a declarative statement or a question. Introductory phrases such as "A study of..." or "Comprehensive investigations into..." or "A discussion of..." should be avoided in titles. Abbreviations, jargon, trade names, formulas, and obsolete terminology also should not be used in the title. Titles should include "A Randomized Controlled Trial," "A Meta-Analysis," or "A Systematic Review," as appropriate, in a subtitle. Otherwise, do not specify the type of manuscript in the title.

Response: No changes necessary, we are within the limits

6. Specific rules govern the use of acknowledgments in the journal. Please note the following guidelines:

- * All financial support of the study must be acknowledged.
- * Any and all manuscript preparation assistance, including but not limited to topic development, data collection, analysis, writing, or editorial assistance, must be disclosed in the acknowledgments. Such acknowledgments must identify the entities that provided and paid for this assistance, whether directly or indirectly.
- * All persons who contributed to the work reported in the manuscript, but not sufficiently to be authors, must be acknowledged. Written permission must be obtained from all individuals named in the acknowledgments, as readers may infer their endorsement of the data and conclusions. Please note that your response in the journal's electronic author form verifies that permission has been obtained from all named persons.
- * If all or part of the paper was presented at the Annual Clinical and Scientific Meeting of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists or at any other organizational meeting, that presentation should be noted (include the exact dates and location of the meeting).

Response: No changes necessary/not applicable.

7. The most common deficiency in revised manuscripts involves the abstract. Be sure there are no inconsistencies between the Abstract and the manuscript, and that the Abstract has a clear conclusion statement based on the results found in the paper. Make sure that the abstract does not contain information that does not appear in the body text. If you submit a revision, please check the abstract carefully.

Response: no changes necessary.

In addition, the abstract length should follow journal guidelines. The word limits for different article types are as follows: Case Reports, 125 words. Please provide a word count.

Response: Abstract word number is now 125 (It was 137 words on the initial submission). Please see

tracked changes of the manuscript.

8. Only standard abbreviations and acronyms are allowed. A selected list is available online at

http://edmgr.ovid.com/ong/accounts/abbreviations.pdf. Abbreviations and acronyms cannot be used in

the title or précis. Abbreviations and acronyms must be spelled out the first time they are used in the

abstract and again in the body of the manuscript.

Response: No changes necessary.

9. The journal does not use the virgule symbol (/) in sentences with words. Please rephrase your text to

avoid using "and/or," or similar constructions throughout the text. You may retain this symbol if you are

using it to express data or a measurement.

Response: This symbol was not used – not applicable.

10. Figure 1 may be resubmitted as-is.

Response: No action needed.

11. Authors whose manuscripts have been accepted for publication have the option to pay an article

processing charge and publish open access. With this choice, articles are made freely available online

immediately upon publication. An information sheet is available at http://links.lww.com/LWW-ES/A48.

The cost for publishing an article as open access can be found at

http://edmgr.ovid.com/acd/accounts/ifauth.htm.

Please note that if your article is accepted, you will receive an email from the editorial office asking you

to choose a publication route (traditional or open access). Please keep an eye out for that future email

and be sure to respond to it promptly.

Response: No response requested.

12. If you choose to revise your manuscript, please submit your revision via Editorial Manager for Obstetrics & Gynecology at http://ong.editorialmanager.com. It is essential that your cover letter list point-by-point the changes made in response to each criticism. Also, please save and submit your manuscript in a word processing format such as Microsoft Word.

Response: Done

From: Randi Zung; To:

Subject: Re: Your Revised Manuscript 18-2320R1 Date: Thursday, January 31, 2019 10:27:26 PM

Attachments: 18-2320R1 ms (1-31-19v2).docx

Good evening,

Thank you for your emails. We agree with all of the edits made to the manuscript, including the change from "Diagnosis" to "Condition" in the title. In addition, we affirm that both patients were consented. We only specifically referenced it for case 2 because it explained the change in physical exam findings over time, and the included photo documentation of the physical examination. We have included in the attached manuscript the teaching points, as requested. Please let us know if you need anything additional. Thank you,

Katie Sampene and Sierra Jansen

Ratic Sampene and Sterra Jansen
On Thu, Jan 31, 2019 at 9:13 AM Randi Zung < RZung@greenjournal.org > wrote:
Dear Dr. Sampene:
Your revised manuscript is being reviewed by the Editors. Before a final decision can be made, we need you to address the following queries. Please make the requested changes to the latest version of your manuscript that is attached to this email. Please track your changes and leave the ones made by the Editorial Office. Please also note your responses to the author queries in your email message back to me.
1. General: The Manuscript Editor and Dr. Chescheir have made edits to the manuscript using track changes. Please review them to make sure they are correct.
2. Precis: Please confirm that this edit is ok.
3. Teaching Points: Please include a list of one to three lessons for clinical management that derive from your manuscript.
4. Case 2: Your first case was written, appropriately, in past tense while this was mostly in present test. The corrections I've made here are to change it to past tense, congruent with the first case. Please review.
5. Line 165: Sequelae is plural.

To facilitate the review process, we would appreciate receiving a response by February 4.

Best,

Randi Zung

_ _

Randi Zung (Ms.)

Editorial Administrator | Obstetrics & Gynecology

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

409 12th Street, SW

Washington, DC 20024-2188

T: 202-314-2341 | F: 202-479-0830

http://www.greenjournal.org