

Appendix 1. Treatment Received by 3,232 Nonadherent Patients

Treatment	Before year 2000 (n=973)		On or after 2000 (n=2,259)	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Surgery				
No Surgery	146	15.0	461	20.4
Local tumor destruction or excision	62	6.4	322	14.3
Total hysterectomy, simple	511	52.5	1290	57.1
Modified radical or extended hysterectomy	175	18.0	151	6.7
Surgery, NOS	76	7.8	24	1.1
Unknown	3	0.3	11	0.5
Radiation Therapy				
No radiation	452	46.5	1118	49.5
Beam	206	21.2	651	28.8
Implants/Isotopes	20	2.1	103	4.6
Combination of beam with implants or isotopes	290	29.8	356	15.8
Radiation, NOS	5	0.5	31	1.4
Chemotherapy				
No Chemotherapy	833	85.6	1534	67.9
Single agent chemotherapy	51	5.2	436	19.3
Multiple agent chemotherapy	67	6.9	213	9.4
Chemotherapy, NOS	21	2.2	75	3.3
Unknown	1	0.1	1	<0.1
Dichotomous treatment variable	Count (%)		Count (%)	
Among patients who had surgery				
	No chemo	Had chemo	No chemo	Had chemo
No radiation therapy	423 (51.3%)	9 (1.1%)	1014 (56.7%)	11 (0.6%)
Had Radiation therapy	316 (38.3%)	76 (9.2%)	342 (19.1%)	420 (23.5%)
Among patients who did not have surgery				
	No chemo	Had chemo	No chemo	Had chemo
No radiation therapy	19 (12.8%)	1 (0.7%)	85 (18.0%)	8 (1.7%)
Had Radiation therapy	76 (51.0%)	53 (35.6%)	94 (19.9%)	285 (60.4%)

Pfaendler KS, Chang J, Ziogas A, Bristow RE, Penner KR. Disparities in adherence to national comprehensive cancer network treatment guidelines and survival for stage IB-IIA cervical cancer in California. Obstet Gynecol 2018; 131.

The authors provided this information as a supplement to their article.

©2018 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.

Page 1 of 1