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| **SEM Table e3.** Certainty Assessment and Summary of Findings for Patient- and Family-centered Outcomes |
| --- |
| **Certainty assessment** | **Impact**  | **Certainty** | **Importance** |
| **No. of studies** | **Study design** | **Risk of bias** | **Inconsistency** | **Indirectness** | **Imprecision** | **Other considerations** |
| **ICU length of stay** |
| 1  | observational studies  | seriousa | not serious  | not serious  | not serious  | none  | In Jensen et al 2013, among patients who died after withdrawal of therapy, length of stay in the ICU did not differ significantly after the implementation of locally-developed hospital guidelines for withholding and withdrawing therapy (3.1 vs 1.7 days; p=0.06). For this same group of patients, median time from admission to first consideration of level of therapy was lower following guideline implementation (1.1 vs 0.4 days; p=0.03), as was median time from admission to a withdrawal decision (3.1 vs 1.1 days; p=0.02).  | ⨁◯◯◯VERY LOW  | IMPORTANT |

#### Explanations:

a. Comparisons of pre- and post-intervention data do not include adjustment for potential confounders.