Author(s): AT/RPR/VS Date: 2015-03-06 Question: Should holding vs lying supine be used for reducing vaccine injection pain in children in the first 3 years of life? Settings: clinic Bibliography: Hallstrom 1968, lpp 2004, Taavoni 2010

Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Holding	lvina	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute		
Distress A lower valu		sured with:	validated tools (M	odified Behavio	ural Pain Sca	le 0-10, Neonatal I	Facial Co	ding Sys	tem 0-30	l 0, cry) by researcher; B	etter ind	icated by
3	randomised trials ³	serious ^{1,4}	serious ¹	no serious indirectness	serious⁵	none	109	104	-	SMD 0.72 lower (1.95 lower to 0.51 higher) ^{1,2,3}	⊕OOO VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Distress A	Acute + Recov	ery (measu	red with: validated	I tools (cry durat	tion in secon	ds) by researcher;	Better i	ndicated I	by lower	values)		<u> </u>
1	randomised trials	serious ^{6,7}	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious⁵	none	56	50	-	SMD 0.16 higher (0.22 lower to 0.54 higher)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Procedure outcomes		arent Fear,	Use of Interventio	on, Vaccine Com	pliance, Pref	erence, Satisfactio	on (asses	ssed with:	no data	were identified for thes	e import	ant
)	No evidence available					none	-	-	-	-		IMPORTAN

Removal of the data from this study alters the meta-analytic results; pain scores are statistically lower for the intervention (holding) group (SMD = -1.25 (95% CI -2.05 to -0.46)). In another study (Hallstrom 1968), infants in the supine group were picked up within 15 seconds of injection and the outcome reported was scored in the first 10 seconds which could reduce differences between groups. Removal of the data from Ipp (2004) and Hallstrom (1968) leads to the following results: SMD - 1.62 (95% CI -2.14 to -1.10)

² Data from 1 study (Hallstrom 1968) included without standardization of scores to the same scale

³ Additional information and data provided by 1 author (Taavoni 2010)

⁴ Immunizers, parents, researchers not blinded; outcome assessor not consistently blinded

⁵ Confidence intervals cross the line of nonsignificance and the sample size was below the recommended optimum information size (OIS) of 400 for an effect size of 0.2

⁶ Immunizers, parents, researchers not blinded; outcome assessor blinded

⁷ In 1 study (Ipp 2004), there was contamination (some infants in the supine group were picked up immediately after injection) potentially reducing differences between groups