## Author(s): AT/VS Date: 2015-03-05 Question: Should warming the vaccine vs no treatment be used for reducing vaccine injection pain in people of all ages? Settings: emergency department Bibliography: Maiden 2003

Quality assessment							No of patients		Effect		Quality	/ Importance
No of studies	Design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Warming the vaccine	No treatment	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute		
Pain <sup>1,2</sup> (n	neasured with:	validated	l tool (McGill Pres	sent Pain Intens	ity Question	naire 0-5); Better	indicated by	lower value	s)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
1	randomised trials	serious <sup>3</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness <sup>4</sup>	serious⁵	none	100	50	-	SMD 0.02 higher (0.32 lower to 0.36 higher) <sup>1,2</sup>	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
Pain (yes	s/no) <sup>1</sup> (assesse	ed with: va	alidated tool (McC	Gill Present Pair	n Intensity Qu	uestionnaire 0-5, o	dichotomized	into pain y	es/no))			
<b>Pain (yes</b> 1	randomised trials	1 2	no serious	Sill Present Pair no serious indirectness <sup>4</sup>	serious <sup>5</sup>	uestionnaire 0-5, o	dichotomized 34/100 (34%)	into pain y 15/50 (30%)	<b>es/no))</b> RR 1.13 (0.68 to 1.88) <sup>1</sup>	39 more per 1000 (from 96 fewer to 264 more)	⊕⊕OO LOW	CRITICAL
	randomised trials	serious <sup>3</sup>	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness <sup>4</sup>	serious <sup>5</sup>		34/100 (34%)	15/50	RR 1.13 (0.68 to	(from 96 fewer to		CRITICAL

<sup>1</sup> In included study, 2 groups were combined for the warming treatment arm: 1) rubbing x 1 minute between hands (n=50) and 2) warming in an incubator x 5 minutes (n=50). This was done because resulting vaccine temperature was not different between these 2 groups but significantly different from the no treatment group (MD = 8.8 degrees Celsius, 95% CI 6.99, 10.6)

<sup>2</sup> Additional information and data provided by author (Maiden 2003)

<sup>3</sup> Immunizer not blinded; however individual undergoing vaccination blinded (hence, outcome assessment blinded)

<sup>4</sup> Setting is emergency department

<sup>5</sup> Confidence interval crosses line of nonsignificance and sample size was below the recommended optimum information size (OIS) of 400 for an effect size of 0.2