## Revman Plots: Non nutritive sucking child up to 2 yrs

## **Distress Acute**

	Non nutritive sucking			No treatment				Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI		
Liaw 2011 (1)	1.48	1.6	55	5.12	2.89	55	54.0%	-1.55 [-1.98, -1.12]			
Taavoni 2010 a (1)	5.65	1.47	38	8.37	0.82	38	46.0%	-2.26 [-2.84, -1.68]			
Total (95% CI)			93			93	100.0%	-1.88 [-2.57, -1.18]	◆		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 0.19; Chi <b></b> ≇	= 3.76, d									
Test for overall effect:	Z= 5.27 (F	< 0.000	D1)			Favours Nonnutritive suck Favours No treatment					

## **Distress Acute + Recovery**

	Non nutritive sucking			No treatment				Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Rando	om, 95% CI		
Liaw 2011	2.15	1.89	55	6.79	3.34	55	100.0%	-1.70 [-2.14, -1.26]					
Total (95% CI)			55			55	100.0%	-1.70 [-2.14, -1.26]	$\bullet$				
Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	•	' < 0.000I	D1)						-2 Favours Nonn	-1 nutritive suck	0 Favours N	o treatmer	2 nt

## **Distress Recovery**

	Non nutritive sucking			No treatment				Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI			
Liaw 2011 (1)	0.69	0.78	55	4.01	1.79	55	100.0%	-2.39 [-2.88, -1.89]				
<b>Total (95% CI)</b> Heterogeneity: Not ap	•		55			55	100.0%	-2.39 [-2.88, -1.89]				
Test for overall effect:	D1)						Favours Nonnutritive suck Favours No treatment					