## US-QLB compared to other analgesic method for postoperative analgesia after abdominal or hip surgery

Patient or population: postoperative analgesia after abdominal or hip surgery

Setting: systematic review

Intervention: US-QLB

Comparison: other analgesic method

Outcomes	Anticipated absol Cl Risk with other analgesic method		Relative effect (95% Cl)	№ of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
Opioid consumption at 24 hours postoperatively (Opioid consumption) assessed with: Morphine (mg), or equivalents follow up: range 24 hours to		MD <b>11.15 mg</b> <b>lower</b> (15.33 lower to 6.97 lower)	-	803 (12 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE ª	US-QLB reduces opioid consumption at 24 hours postoperatively.
The delay to the first rescue opioid analgesic (The delay to the opioid) assessed with: time (min) follow up: mean 443 minutes		MD <b>189.32 min</b> more (114.4 more to 264.23 more)	-	499 (7 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ <sub>HIGH</sub>	US-QLB results in large increase in the delay to the first rescue opioid analgesic.
Pain grade at rest (VAS at rest) assessed with: score Scale from: 0 to 10 follow up: range 24 hours to		MD <b>0.22 lower</b> (1.24 lower to 0.8 higher)	-	765 (11 RCTs)	⊕⊕OO LOW <sup>a,b</sup>	US-QLB may result in little to no difference in pain grade at rest.
Pain grade during movement (Dynamic pain) assessed with: score Scale from: 0 to 10 follow up: range 24 hours to		MD <b>0.47 higher</b> (1.26 lower to 2.2 higher)	-	794 (7 RCTs)	⊕⊕OO LOW <sup>a,b</sup>	US-QLB may result in little to no difference in pain grade during movement.
Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) assessed with: incidence	356 per 1 000	<b>181 per 1 000</b> (130 to 243)	<b>OR 0.40</b> (0.27 to 0.58)	764 (11 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE <sup>¢</sup>	US-QLB may result in a large reduction in postoperative nausea and vomiting

\*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; MD: Mean difference; OR: Odds ratio

## **GRADE** Working Group grades of evidence

High certainty: We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect

Moderate certainty: We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different

Low certainty: Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect

Very low certainty: We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect

## Explanations

a. results are not completely consistent

b. different pain scores used

c. Incidences of nausea with or without vomiting were pooled