

Supplementary Table 3. GMT and seroconversion rate comparisons for QIV versus TIV in subjects 6 months to < 9 years of age who received within-specification lots of vaccine.

Endpoint	Comparison	Strain	QIV	Comparator vaccine		GMT ratio or seroconversion rate difference	Comparison criteria met?
			Value (95% CI)	Vaccine	Value (95% CI)		
GMT						---GMT ratio---	
	Non-inferiority ^a	A/H1N1	1124	Pooled TIV ^b	1160	0.97	Yes
			(1060; 1192)		(1048; 1284)	(0.86; 1.09)	
		A/H3N2	822	Pooled TIV	797	1.03	Yes
			(783; 862)	(733; 867)	(0.94; 1.13)		
	B/Brisbane	86.1	Licensed TIV	63.9	1.35	Yes	
		(81.8; 90.6)	(57.9; 70.5)	(1.20; 1.51)			
	Superiority ^c	B/Florida	61.5	Investigational	59.7	1.03	Yes
			(58.6; 64.7)	TIV	(49.5; 72.1)	(0.86; 1.23)	
B/Brisbane		86.1	Investigational	20.7	4.16	Yes	
		(81.8; 90.6)	TIV ^d	(17.0; 25.2)	(3.47; 4.98)		

B/Florida	61.5	Licensed TIV ^d	16.4	3.76	Yes
	(58.6; 64.7)		(14.9; 18.0)	(3.36; 4.20)	

Seroconversion rate (%)**--- Difference in rate ---**

Non-inferiority ^e	A/H1N1	92.4	Pooled TIV	91.6	0.77	Yes
		(91.2; 93.4)		(89.4; 93.4)	(-1.32; 3.15)	
	A/H3N2	88.0	Pooled TIV	82.9	5.13	Yes
		(86.6; 89.3)		(80.1; 85.5)	(2.30; 8.20)	
	B/Brisbane	71.8	Licensed TIV	60.7	11.09	Yes
		(69.9; 73.6)		(56.6; 64.7)	(6.77; 15.52)	
	B/Florida	66.1	Investigational	64.5	1.63	Yes
		(64.1; 68.0)	TIV	(57.6; 70.9)	(-4.82; 8.55)	
Superiority ^f	B/Brisbane	71.8	Investigational ^d	20.4	51.41	Yes
		(69.9; 73.6)	TIV	(15.2; 26.5)	(45.18; 56.61)	
	B/Florida	66.1	Licensed TIV ^d	18.1	47.99	Yes
		(64.1; 68.0)		(15.0; 21.5)	(44.12; 51.48)	

Immunogenicity analyses were performed on the per-protocol analysis set: QIV, N = 2339; pooled TIV, N=1181; licensed TIV, N=582; investigational TIV, N=599. The numbers of subjects who received in-specification lots of vaccine and had a valid serology result were 2329–2338 for QIV, 783–786 for pooled TIV, 575 for licensed TIV, and 211 for investigational TIV. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; GMT, geometric mean titer; QIV, quadrivalent influenza vaccine; TIV, trivalent influenza vaccine.

^a Non-inferiority for GMT was met if the lower limit of the 2-sided 95% CI of the $\text{GMT}_{\text{QIV}}/\text{GMT}_{\text{TIV}}$ ratio was > 0.66 .

^b The pooled TIV group includes subjects vaccinated with either licensed TIV or investigational TIV, combined.

^c Superiority for GMT was met if the lower limit of the 2-sided 95% CI of the $\text{GMT}_{\text{QIV}}/\text{GMT}_{\text{TIV}}$ ratio was > 1.5 .

^d Investigational TIV did not contain B/Brisbane; licensed TIV did not contain B/Florida.

^e Non-inferiority for seroconversion rate (SCR) was met if the lower limit of the 2-sided 95% CI of the SCR_{QIV} minus SCR_{TIV} difference was $> -10\%$.

^f Superiority for SCR was met if the lower limit of the 2-sided 95% CI of the SCR_{QIV} minus SCR_{TIV} difference was $> 10\%$.