

Fig. E-1
Figs. E-1, E-2, and E-3 Extension, flexion, and arc from preoperative to final follow-up visit. The bold line represents the sample mean across patients.


Fig. E-2


Fig. E-3

TABLE E1. Results of knees that lost some degree of motion after revision surgery

| Lost extension $\mathrm{n}=4$ (degrees) | Change in flexion (degrees) | Change in arc (degrees) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 85 gained | 80 gained |
| 25 | 45 gained | 20 gained |
| 5 | 65 gained | 60 gained |
| 15 | no change | 15 lost |
| Lost flexion $\mathrm{n}=7$ (degrees) | Change in extension (degrees) | Change in arc (degrees) |
| 5 | 15 gained | 10 gained |
| 15 | no change | 15 lost |
| 5 | 10 gained | 5 gained |
| 10 | 15 gained | 5 gained |
| 5 | 5 gained | no change |
| 35 | no change | 35 lost |
| 10 | 60 gained | 50 gained |
| Lost arc of motion $\mathrm{n}=3$ (degrees) | Change in extension (degrees) | Change in flexion (degrees) |
| 15 | 15 lost | no change |
| 15 | no change | 15 lost |
| 35 | no change | 35 lost |

TABLE E2. Results of knees that had no change in some aspect of motion after revision surgery

| Unchanged extension $(\mathrm{n}=17)$ | n | Preoperative extension (degrees) | Change in flexion (degrees) | Change in arc (degrees) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 15 | gained 5 | gained 15 |
|  | 2 | 5 | gained 45 | gained 50 |
|  | 15 | 0 |  |  |
| Unchanged flexion $(\mathrm{n}=4)$ | n | Preoperative flexion (degrees) | Change in extension (degrees) | Change in arc (degrees) |
|  | 1 | 115 | gained 30 | gained 30 |
|  | 2 | 60 | gained 10 | gained 5 |
|  | 3 | 70 | lost 15 | lost 15 |
|  | 4 | 75 | gained 5 | gained 10 |
| Unchanged arc of motion $(\mathrm{n}=1)$ | n | Preoperative arc of motion (degrees) | Change in extension (degrees) | Change in flexion (degrees) |
|  | 1 | 75 | gained 5 | lost 5 |

TABLE E3. Factors potentially affecting range of motion after revision surgery

|  | Change in Extension | Change in Flexion | Change in Arc of Motion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Mean $\pm$ SD | Mean $\pm$ SD | Mean $\pm$ SD |
| Location where surgery performed* |  |  |  |
| Study Institution ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | $-8.53 \pm 7.83$ | $24.21 \pm 21.94$ | $32.74 \pm 20.43$ |
| Outside Hospital ( $\mathrm{n}=37$ ) | $-7.24 \pm 14.24$ | $17.30 \pm 24.91$ | $24.73 \pm 24.58$ |
| Revision Implant* |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{PFC}(\mathrm{n}=8)$ | $-3.75 \pm 7.44$ | $17.50 \pm 20.87$ | $21.25 \pm 19.96$ |
| PFC-S ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | $-5.87 \pm 8.98$ | $20.67 \pm 25.97$ | $26.67 \pm 26.44$ |
| Scorpio ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ) | $-17.9 \pm 21.96$ | $27.14 \pm 31.34$ | $45.00 \pm 19.58$ |
| Sigma ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) | $-8.46 \pm 11.07$ | $16.15 \pm 21.13$ | $24.62 \pm 25.61$ |
| TC3 ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ ) | $-2.75 \pm 13.22$ | $23.75 \pm 29.49$ | $26.50 \pm 23.92$ |
| Lateral Retinacular Release* |  |  |  |
| No ( $\mathrm{n}=50$ ) | $-7.50 \pm 12.49$ | $19.70 \pm 24.75$ | $27.34 \pm 24.46$ |
| Yes ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) | $-9.17 \pm 12.42$ | $19.17 \pm 17.72$ | $28.33 \pm 12.11$ |
| Quadriceps Snip* |  |  |  |
| No ( $\mathrm{n}=48$ ) | $-7.50 \pm 13.15$ | $20.94 \pm 25.26$ | $28.58 \pm 24.80$ |
| Yes ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ ) | $-8.75 \pm 6.41$ | $11.88 \pm 12.23$ | $20.63 \pm 10.16$ |
| Tibial Tubercle Osteotomy* |  |  |  |
| No ( $\mathrm{n}=52$ ) | $-8.08 \pm 12.67$ | $18.08 \pm 24.11$ | $26.29 \pm 23.77$ |
| Yes ( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ) | $-2.50 \pm 6.45$ | $40.00 \pm 7.07$ | $42.50 \pm 9.57$ |
| Constrained* ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ ) | $-2.75 \pm 13.22$ | $23.75 \pm 29.49$ | $26.50 \pm 23.92$ |
| Posterior Stabilized* $(\mathrm{n}=48)$ | $-8.50 \pm 12.18$ | $18.96 \pm 23.22$ | $27.60 \pm 23.54$ |
| Pre-Revision Etiology* |  |  |  |
| Heterotopic Ossification ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) | $-10.00 \pm 8.66$ | $35.00 \pm 31.22$ | $45.00 \pm 22.91$ |
| Loose ( $\mathrm{n}=18$ ) | $-11.80 \pm 15.62$ | $16.94 \pm 20.87$ | $29.17 \pm 19.35$ |
| Oversized ( $\mathrm{n}=10$ ) | $-6.20 \pm 8.82$ | $24.00 \pm 24.01$ | $30.20 \pm 21.61$ |
| Scarring only ( $\mathrm{n}=25$ ) | $-5.00 \pm 10.99$ | $18.00 \pm 25.78$ | $23.00 \pm 26.54$ |

*No significant differences in change of mean range of motion based on p value from analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) and Kruskal-Wallis test.

