Copyright @ by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Matharu et al.

Prevalence and Risk Factors for Hip Resurfacing Revision: A Cohort Study into the Second Decade After the Operation

http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.15.01234

Page 1

TABLE E-1 Patient and Implant Factors for Surviving MoMHRs in Patients Who Completed Follow-up Questionnaire Versus

Those Who Did Not Complete Questionnaire

	Surviving MoMHRs			
		Questionnaire	Overtionneire Net Completed	
	All $(N = 1,249)$	Completed (N = 1,185; 94.9%)	Questionnaire Not Completed $(N = 64; 5.1\%)$	P Value
Sex (no. [%])	, ,	ŕ	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0.008*
Male	780 (62.4)	750 (63.3)	30 (46.9)	
Female	469 (37.6)	435 (36.7)	34 (53.1)	
Mean age (range) (yr)	53.9 (16.5-85.5)	54.0 (16.5-85.5)	52.4 (29.7-69.7)	0.219
Laterality (no. [%])				0.201
Unilateral	870 (69.7)	830 (70.0)	40 (62.5)	
Bilateral	379 (30.3)	355 (30.0)	24 (37.5)	
Hip diagnosis (no. [%])				0.242
Primary osteoarthritis	1,096 (87.8)	1,037 (87.5)	59 (92.2)	
Dysplasia	107 (8.6)	105 (8.9)	2 (3.1)	
Other	46 (3.7)	43 (3.6)	3 (4.7)	
Mean femoral head size	48.7 (38-58)	48.7 (38-58)	48.2 (42-58)	0.355
(range) (mm)				
Implant (no. [%])				<0.001*
Birmingham Hip	563 (45.1)	533 (45.0)	30 (46.9)	
Resurfacing				
Conserve	551 (44.1)	525 (44.3)	26 (40.6)	
ReCap	123 (9.9)	120 (10.1)	3 (4.7)	
Cormet	12 (0.96)	7 (0.59)	5 (7.8)	

^{*}A significant difference (p < 0.05). Patients who did not complete the questionnaire were significantly more likely to be female and to have a Cormet implant; however, very few Cormet designs were used.