Parameter	Plate Fixation $(N = 58)$	Intramedullary Nailing ( $N = 62$ )
Duration of surgery* (min)	54.0 ± 16.6	43.1 ± 23.9
Conversion†	1 (2%)	6 (10%)
Open fracture reduction†	58 (100%)	46 (74%)
Neurovascular damage†	0	0
Incomplete reduction†	0	1 (2%)
Lateral cortical perforation†	Not applicable	1 (2%)

	Plate Fixation	Intramedullary Nailing	P Value
6 mo after surgery compared with baseline			
No. of patients	47	58	
SF-36 score*			
Physical functioning	$44.1 \pm 23.4$	$35.0 \pm 26.2$	0.07
Role-physical functioning	$72.3 \pm 41.2$	$63.8 \pm 49.4$	0.35
Bodily pain	$55.9 \pm 23.8$	42.5 ± 33.6	0.02†
General health perception	$2.4 \pm 22.0$	$2.3 \pm 16.9$	0.22
Energy/fatigue (vitality)	$15.6 \pm 25.4$	$4.7 \pm 26.3$	0.03†
Social functioning	$27.7 \pm 30.6$	17.7 ± 27.5	0.08
Role-emotional functioning	$15.2 \pm 42.6$	$5.2 \pm 46.6$	0.26
Mental health	$4.3 \pm 20.3$	$3.4 \pm 21.2$	0.82
1 yr after surgery compared with baseline			
No. of patients	53	59	
SF-36 score*			
Physical functioning	$43.0 \pm 22.7$	39.5 ± 22.9	0.42
Role-physical functioning	$76.9 \pm 39.8$	68.2 ± 45.7	0.29
Bodily pain	$58.5 \pm 19.3$	$48.0 \pm 28.4$	0.07
General health perception	$4.8 \pm 19.6$	$0.4 \pm 26.2$	0.13
Energy/fatigue (vitality)	15.7 ± 22.1	$4.6 \pm 26.8$	0.02†
Social functioning	$27.1 \pm 33.9$	$19.9 \pm 27.2$	0.36
Role-emotional functioning	$12.2 \pm 40.7$	$8.5 \pm 48.2$	0.67

<sup>\*</sup>The values are given as the mean and standard deviation. The missing values were excluded. †A significant difference between groups.

COPYRIGHT © BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED
VAN DER MEIJDEN ET AL.

OPERATIVE TREATMENT OF DISLOCATED MIDSHAFT CLAVICULAR FRACTURES: PLATE OR INTRAMEDULLARY NAIL FIXATION?
http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.N.00449
Page 2 of 2

Complication	Treatment	No. (%)	
		Plate Fixation (N = 58)	Intramedullary Nailing (N = 62)
Infection			
Superficial	Antibiotics	3 (5%)	0
Deep	Surgical drainage	0	0
Desensitized skin, hematoma	Self-limiting	5 (9%)	6 (10%)
Transient neurapraxia	Self-limiting	0	1 (2%)
Irritation due to implant protrusion	Observation	13 (22%)	1 (2%)
	Minor revision*	Not applicable	10 (16%)
	Implant removal: local anesthesia	Not applicable	8 (13%)
	Implant removal: general anesthesia	11 (19%)	25 (40%)
Implant breakage	Major revision	1 (2%)	0
Implant failure	Major revision	0	2 (3%)
Nonunion	Major revision	1 (2%)	0
Malunion	Major revision	0	0
Refracture after implant removal	Major revision	2 (3%)	0

<sup>\*</sup>The minor implant revisions, which were performed with local anesthesia, included partial removal of the protruding end of an implant. All ten patients who underwent partial removal subsequently had total implant removal due to persistent irritation after the minor revision. Since they were similar complication types, the partial and subsequent removals were counted as only one complication when we summed the total number of complications per study group.