Copyright © by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Vranceanu et al. Psychological Factors Predict Disability and Pain Intensity After Skeletal Trauma http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.L.00479 Page 1 of 3

	CES-D 1	PCS 1	PASS-20 1	Pain at Rest 1	Pain During Activity 1	SMFA 1	PTSD 1
	CES-D I	FU3 1	FA33-20 I	raiii at Rest 1	Fain Duning Activity 1	SIVIFA 1	LIOD I
CES-D 1	_	0.78*	0.66*	0.53*	0.60*	0.60*	0.83*
PCS 1		_	0.79*	0.67*	0.64*	0.65*	0.83*
PASS-20 1			_	0.54*	0.52*	0.64*	0.68*
Pain at rest 1				_		0.44*	0.51*
Pain during activity 1					_	0.56*	0.59*
SMFA 1						_	0.59*
PTSD 1							_
CES-D 2							
PCS 2							
PASS-20 2							
Pain at rest 2							
Pain during activity 2							
SMFA 2							
PTSD 2							
AIS							

*P < 0.01. †P < 0.05. ‡We repeated the analyses for AIS using Spearman correlations for interval variables and found identical results. We are thus presenting the Pearson correlations for ease of interpretation.

TABLE E-1 (continued)								
CES-D 2	PCS 2	PASS-20 2	Pain at Rest 2	Pain During Activity 2	SMFA 2	PTSD 2	AIS†	
0.83*	0.72*	0.69*	0.67*	0.64*	0.66*	0.80*	0.10	
0.73*	0.94*	0.81*	0.78*	0.71*	0.75*	0.83*	0.07	
0.62*	0.75†	0.90*	0.63*	0.53*	0.67*	0.69†	0.02	
0.47*	0.64*	0.52†	0.79*	0.68*	0.54*	0.53*	0.07	
0.56*	0.64*	0.57*	0.69*	0.78*	0.66†	0.60*	0.14	
0.58*	0.63*	0.62*	0.57*	0.61*	0.82*	0.59*	0.23*	
	0.82*	0.76*	0.65*	0.64*	0.68*	0.90*	0.01	
_	0.74*	0.67*	0.61*	0.58*	0.71*	0.83*	0.03	
	_	0.79*	0.82*	0.78*	0.80*	0.85*	0.03	
		_	0.66*	0.58*	0.72*	0.76*	0.01	
			_	0.86*	0.73*	0.69*	0.12	
				_	0.79*	0.69*	0.21†	
					_	0.74*	0.25*	
						_	0.02	
							_	

Copyright © by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Vranceanu et al. Psychological Factors Predict Disability and Pain Intensity After Skeletal Trauma http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.L.00479 Page 2 of 3

TABLE E-2 Results of Hierarchical Linear Regression Models for Musculoskeletal Disability (SFMA), Pain During Activity, and Pain at Rest at Time 1 (One to Two Months After Injury)*

		β Value	
Criterion	Predictor Variable	Model 1	Model 2
Disability (SMFA) at Time 1	Multiple injuries	0.24†	0.08
	AIS score	0.20†	0.23†
	Taking opioid medication at Time 1	0.34†	0.05
	Catastrophic thinking (PCS) at Time 1		0.25‡
	Pain anxiety (PASS-20) at Time 1		0.30†
	PTSD at Time 1		0.09
	Symptoms of depression (CES-D) at Time 1		0.05
	R^2	0.26	0.55
	F for change in R ²	14.2†	18.3†
Pain during activity at Time 1	AIS score	0.08	0.85
	Taking opioid medication at Time 1	0.52†	0.31†
	Catastrophic thinking (PCS) at Time 1		0.33†
	Pain anxiety (PASS-20) at Time 1		0.01
	PTSD at Time 1		0.15
	Symptoms of depression (CES-D) at Time 1		0.05
	R^2	0.29	0.51
	F for change in R ²	25.67†	12.82†
Pain at rest at Time 1	Taking opioid medication at Time 1	0.49†	0.27†
	Catastrophic thinking (PCS) at Time 1		0.62†
	Pain anxiety (PASS-20) at Time 1		0.06
	PTSD at Time 1		0.40
	Symptoms of depression (CES-D) at Time 1		0.11
	R^2	0.24	0.49
	F for change in R ²	40.96†	15.37†

^{*}Model 1 = without psychological factors included, and Model 2 = with psychological factors included. R^2 represents the percentage of the variance in SMFA, pain during activity, and pain at rest at Time 1 accounted for by the variables in the model. β is the standardized regression coefficient. For every increase of 1 SD in the explanatory variable, the response variable changes by β SDs. For change in R^2 is a test for significance of R^2 . It tests the null hypothesis that, when entering variables in Model 2, all regression coefficients will equal zero. A significant F test shows that variables entered in Model 2 significantly contributed variance in the dependent variable. $\dagger P < 0.01$. $\dagger P < 0.1$.

Copyright © by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Vranceanu et al. Psychological Factors Predict Disability and Pain Intensity After Skeletal Trauma http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.L.00479 Page 3 of 3

TABLE E-3 Results of Hierarchical Linear Regression Models for Musculoskeletal Disability (SMFA), Pain During Activity, and Pain at Rest at Time 2 (Five to Eight Months After Injury)*

		β Value	
Criterion	Predictor Variable	Model 1	Model 2
Disability (SMFA) at Time 2	Single vs. multiple injuries	0.19†	0.01
	AIS score	0.14	0.18‡
	Taking opioid medication at Time 1	0.40‡	0.07
	Catastrophic thinking (PCS) at Time 1		0.47‡
	Pain anxiety (PASS-20) at Time 1		0.13
	PTSD at Time 1		0.09
	R^2	0.24	0.64
	F for change in R ²	11.8‡	30.5‡
Pain during activity at Time 2	Single vs. multiple injuries	0.19†	0.02
	AIS score	0.09	0.13†
	Taking opioid medication at Time 1	0.48‡	0.21‡
	Catastrophic thinking (PCS) at Time 1		0.47‡
	Pain anxiety (PASS-20) at Time 1		0.10
	PTSD at Time 1		0.16
	Symptoms of depression (CES-D) at Time 1		0.20
	R^2	0.30	0.60
	F for change in R ²	16.5‡	21.2‡
Pain at rest at Time 2	Taking opioid medication at Time 1	0.45‡	0.15§
	Catastrophic thinking (PCS) at Time 1		0.47‡
	Pain anxiety (PASS-20) at Time 1		0.02
	PTSD at Time 1		0.01
	Symptoms of depression (CES-D) at Time 1		0.04
	R^2	0.21	0.80
	F for change in R ²	30.7‡	35.0‡

^{*}Model 1 = without psychological factors included, and Model 2 = with psychological factors included. R^2 represents the percentage of the variance in SMFA, pain during activity, and pain at rest at Time 2 accounted for by the variables in the model. β is the standardized regression coefficient. For every increase of 1 SD in the explanatory variable, the response variable changes by β SDs. For change in R^2 is a test for significance of R^2 . It tests the null hypothesis that, when entering variables in Model 2, all regression coefficients will equal zero. A significant F test shows that variables entered in Model 2 significantly contributed variance in the dependent variable. P < 0.05. P < 0.01. P < 0.01.