Copyright @ by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated

KIM ET AL.

 $Effect of Physiological Posterolateral \ Rotatory \ Laxity \ on \ Early \ Results \ of \ Posterior \ Cruciate \ Ligament \ Reconstruction \ with \dots http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.L.00861$

Page 1 of 1

	Total	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	P Value†
No. of patients	65	26	21	18	
Age‡ (yr)	37.2 ± 13.5	38.9 ± 13.6	36.1 ± 14.4	36.1 ± 12.7	0.618
Sex (male/female) (no.)	55/10	23/3	18/3	14/4	0.350
Body mass index‡ (kg/m²)	24.4 ± 4.0	24.5 ± 2.5	25.3 ± 3.0	23.2 ± 6.2	0.256
Duration of follow-up† (mo)	33.8 ± 11.4	32.2 ± 8.0	34.3 ± 11.1	35.6 ± 15.7	0.617
Time to surgery† (mo)	11.7 ± 9.7	12.3 ± 11.4	12.0 ± 9.4	10.4 ± 7.3	0.805
External rotation at 90° † (°)	43.2 ± 9.6	33.6 ± 2.8	44.8 ± 2.8	55.4 ± 4.7	0.000

^{*}In Group 1, the uninjured tibia was rotated <40 $^{\circ}$ at 90 $^{\circ}$ of knee flexion; in Group 2, between 40 $^{\circ}$ and 50 $^{\circ}$; and in Group 3, >50 $^{\circ}$. †For the difference among the three groups. †The values are given as the mean and the standard deviation.