						Clinically
Study	Design	No. of Athletes	Sport	Intervention	Training Sessions	Significant Improvement
Study	Design	1263	sport		Sessions	Improvement
		Total,				
		366				
		trained F,				
		463		Sportsmetrics	Preseason:	
		control	High school:	program:	60 to 90	
		F, 434	soccer,	plyometrics,	min, $3 \times per$	
Hewett et	Prospective,	control	volleyball,	flexibility,	wk for 6	
al. <sup>53</sup>	nonrandomized	М	basketball	strengthening	wk	Yes; $p = 0.05$
		2946				Yes; p <
		Total,		PEP program		0.0001 over
		1041		(plyometrics,	20 min	two years;
		trained F,		stretching,	replacing	88% reduction
Mandelbaum	Prospective,	1905	Youth (14 to 18	strengthening,	normal	in Year 1;
et al. <sup>54</sup>	nonrandomized	control F	yr old) soccer	soccer-specific)	warm-up	74% in Year 2
		1435		222		
		Total,		PEP program	20 min	
		583		(plyometrics,	replacing	Turnalia
Cilebriet et	DCT (alustar	trained F, 852	NCAA Division	stretching,	normal	Trend; $p = 0.066, 70\%$
Gilchrist et al. <sup>55</sup>	RCT (cluster randomization)	control F	NCAA Division- I Soccer	strengthening, soccer-specific)	warm-up,	0.066; 70% reduction
al.		1837	1 50000	soccer-specific)	$3 \times \text{per wk}$	Teduction
		Total,				
		958				
		trained			15 min	
		(808 F,		Balance	prior to	
		150 M),		training,	practice for	Yes; $p = 0.03$
		879		plyometrics,	15 straight	for all
		control	Youth (15 to 17	technique	sessions,	ligament
	RCT (cluster	(778 F,	yr old) team	(handball-	then	injuries; 1 vs.
Olsen et al. <sup>56</sup>	randomization)	101 M)	handball	specific)	weekly	5 for ACL
				Knee Ligament		
				Injury		
		1.420		Prevention		N 0.167
		1439 Total		(KLIP)	20 min	No; 0.167
		Total, 577	High school	program: jump-	20 min before or	incidence in intervention
		trained F,	High-school: soccer,	landing and running-	after	group vs.
Pfeiffer et	Prospective,	862	basketball,	deceleration	practice;	0.078 in
al. <sup>57</sup>	nonrandomized	control F	volleyball	techniques	$2 \times \text{ per wk}$	control group
		600	- ,		<b>I</b>	0r
		Total,			Preseason	
		300			$\times$ 30 days,	
		trained			3× per wk	
		M, 300	Semiprofessional		in season;	Yes; p < 0.001
Caraffa et	Prospective,	control	and amateur	Proprioceptive	20 min per	(all ACL
al. <sup>58</sup>	nonrandomized	М	soccer	training	session	injuries)

Copyright © 2013 by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Postma et al. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury-Prevention Programs http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.L.00343 Page 2 of 2

		1500				
		1792				
		Total,			15 min, $3\times$	
		850			per wk	
		trained F,		Balance and	preseason;	Trend; p =
Myklebust et	Prospective,	942		planting and/or	1× per wk	0.15; elite
al. <sup>59</sup>	nonrandomized	control F	Team handball	landing skills	in season	group $p = 0.06$
		276				
		Total,			10 min, $3\times$	Trend; 5
		134			per wk $\times 8$	injuries vs. 1;
		trained F,			wk; $1 \times per$	OR of 0.17,
Petersen et	Prospective,	142		Balance and	wk in	but CI = 0.02
al. <sup>60</sup>	nonrandomized	control F	Team handball	jump training	season	to 1.5
					15 min	
		2092		The "11": core	prior to	
		Total,		stability,	practice for	
		1091		balance,	15	
		trained F,		dynamic	sessions,	No; p = 0.94;
Steffen et	RCT (cluster-	1001		stabilization	then $1 \times per$	compliance
al. <sup>62</sup>	randomization)	control F	Youth soccer	agility	wk	24%
					10 to 15	
		140			min at	
		Total, 62			home for	No; more
		trained F,			30 days,	injuries in the
Söderman et	RCT (cluster-	78		Balance board	then $3 \times per$	intervention
al. <sup>63</sup>	randomization)	control F	Semi-pro soccer	training	wk	group

\*F = female, M = male, PEP = Prevent injury and Enhance Performance, RCT = randomized controlled trial, NCAA = National Collegiate Athletic Association, ACL = anterior cruciate ligament, OR = odds ratio, and CI = confidence interval.