Copyright © 2013 by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Cason et al. Cervical Intervertebral Disc Replacement http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.J.01042

TABLE E-1 Factors to Consider When Choosing ACDF or CDR*†

Factors	Rationales for Choice of Treatment
The type of degenerative disc disease per single	Soft-disc herniation can be treated with CDR.
level	Spondylosis can be treated with ACDF or CDR.
	CDR can be used according to the presence of
	angular motion (>3°) on preoperative flexion-
	extension radiographs and the rate of degenerative
	changes (see below). In cases of <3° of angular
	motion, ACDF is preferred.
The degree of spondylotic vertebral body and/or	ACDF can be used when computed tomography
facet joint degeneration at the particular level	shows clear signs either of advanced vertebral body
	spondylosis or facet joint degeneration or when
	preoperative dynamic radiography fails to show a
	viable angular movement (i.e., >3°). Conversely,
	CDR can be used when radiographs show angular
	movement without advanced signs of degenerative
	disc disease, particularly of the facet joints.
The amount of bone removal needed to	It is preferable to use ACDF rather than CDR when
decompress the neural structures at the particular	a substantial amount of drilling is required for the
level	removal of large osteophytes or gross osseous
	abnormalities, as a substantial amount of bone
	removal is associated with an increased risk of
	vertebral body weakening or the induction of
	heterotopic ossification.
The shape of the inferior end plate of the cranial	ACDF is performed in cases requiring substantial
vertebra at the disc level involved	end-plate remodeling for artificial disc insertion, in
	order to avoid increased risks of device subsidence
	or split fractures secondary to end-plate and/or
	cortical weakening, particularly when using
The presence of ediscent already decreased	prostheses with keels or rails.
The presence of adjacent, already-degenerated	In these cases CDR, when feasible according to the
discs not needing surgical treatment at the time of	above criteria, is considered a better option than
the index procedure	ACDF, in order to reduce stress on adjacent
	degenerated segments.

^{*}Reproduced, with modification, from textual information in: Barbagallo GM, Assietti R, Corbino L, Olindo G, Foti PV, Russo V, Albanese V. Early results and review of the literature of a novel hybrid surgical technique combining cervical arthrodesis and disc arthroplasty for treating multilevel degenerative disc disease: opposite or complementary techniques? Eur Spine J. 2009 Jun;18 Suppl 1:29-39. Reproduced with kind permission from Springer Science+Business Media. †ACDF = anterior cervical discectomy and fusion, and CDR = cervical disc replacement.