COPYRIGHT © BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED ROBINSON ET AL. OPEN REDUCTION AND PLATE FIXATION VERSUS NONOPERATIVE TREATMENT FOR DISPLACED MIDSHAFT CLAVICULAR FRACTURES http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.L.00307 Page 1 of 2

Assessment	Baseline	6-Week Follow-up	3-Month Follow-up	6-Month Follow-up	1-Year Follow-up	Assessment
Questionnaire						
2	1 -4					Demographic details (age, sex, height, weight)
						Hand dominance and injury side
						Injury mechanism
						Sports played and level of participation
						Current contact details
						Comorbidities assessment
		1	1	1	1	Return to work
						Current employment status
						Return to sports and level of participation
						Time off work
						Further shoulder injury
						Further medical treatment
				1	1	Further surgical treatment
		1		1	1	SF-12 assessment
			1	1-	1	DASH assessment
			1	1-	1	Constant assessment
						Dissatisfaction assessment
Case notes						Initial treatment received (immobilization method, time to referral)
Recorded by treating surgeon						
						Treatment group allocation
	200					Details of primary surgical procedure
						Details of secondary surgical procedures
			1	<i>L</i>	<i>L</i>	Cost of treatment
		1 44	Lar.	land and the second sec	1 -4	Associated rotator cuff, neurovascular or bony injury
				1		Complications

COPYRIGHT © BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED ROBINSON ET AL. OPEN REDUCTION AND PLATE FIXATION VERSUS NONOPERATIVE TREATMENT FOR DISPLACED MIDSHAFT CLAVICULAR FRACTURES http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.L.00307 Page 2 of 2

TABLE E-1 (continued)						
Physical examination by blinded research physiotherapist						
	1					Range of shoulder movement
				1	1	Shoulder strength
Plain radiography						
						Fracture displacement
						Fracture comminution
		-	1	/	1	Assessment of union
Three-dimensional CT						Assessment of union