		Subtotal			Postoperative
		(no. of	Time to Surgery*†	Retraction*‡	Bracing§
Туре	Characteristics	injuries)	<i>(mo)</i>	( <i>cm</i> )	(no. of injuries)
Type 5a	Complete (retraction)	30	3.8 (0.3 to 20.7) [±0.3]	6.7 (2.0 to 20) [±0.3]	12 (40%)
Type 5b	Complete (retraction with sciatic	17	33.4 (2.0 to 104) [±3.3]	10.0 (4.0 to 14) [±0.5]	16 (94%)
	nerve tethering)				

TABLE E-1 Comparison of Mean Time to Surgery and Degree of Retraction Between Type-5a and Type-5b Injuries

\*The values are given as the mean, with the range in parentheses and the 95% confidence interval for the mean in brackets.  $\ddagger P = 0.0007$ , unpaired t test.  $\ddagger P = 0.02$ , unpaired t test. \$ P = 0.0003, chi-square test.

TABLE E-2 Comparison of Acute and Chronic Type-5a Injuries

			Postoperative	Hamstring	Hamstring
	Subtotal		Bracing <sup>‡</sup>	Strength§#	Endurance#**
	(no. of	Mean Retraction*†	(no. of	(% of value on	(% of value on
Type	injuries)	<i>(cm)</i>	injuries)	contralateral side)	contralateral side)
Type 5a acute	20 (67%)	5.4 (2 to 15) [±0.3]	4 (20%)	$91 \pm 4.8$	$100 \pm 8.5$
Type 5a chronic	10 (33%)	9.8 (4.5 to 20) [±1.1]	8 (80%)	$77 \pm 5.7$	$80 \pm 12.6$

\*The values are given as the mean, with the range in parentheses and the 95% confidence interval for the mean in brackets.  $\ddagger P = 0.05$ , unpaired t test.  $\ddagger P = 0.0041$ , Fisher exact test. \$ P = 0.009, unpaired t test. #The values are given as the mean and the 95% confidence interval.  $\ast \ast P = 0.04$ , unpaired t test.

## Wood eAppendix

## Page 2 of 3

					Standardized	Standardized
		Hamstring	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	Hamstring	Hamstring
Туре	Hamstring Strength <sup>†</sup>	Endurance <sup>‡</sup>	Strength	Endurance	Strength <sup>†</sup>	Endurance <sup>‡</sup>
Type 1	100	82	83	105	120	78
Type 2	53	49	100	97	53	51
Type 3	93	101	102	104	91	97
Type 4	89	90	102	101	87	90
Type 5	79	86	95	102	83	84
Type 5a	87	94	95	105	91	91
Type 5b	63	69	95	94	66	76
All types§	84 ± 1.0	$89 \pm 1.2$	$98 \pm 0.7$	$102 \pm 0.8$	86 ± 1.3	$88 \pm 1.4$
ANOVA#	p < 0.001	p = 0.04	p = 0.17	p = 0.5	p < 0.001	p = 0.3

\*All values are given as the percentage of the value on the contralateral side.  $\dagger$ The comparison between standardized and nonstandardized hamstring strength demonstrated borderline significance (p = 0.05, paired t test).  $\ddagger$ The comparison between standardized and nonstandardized hamstring endurance demonstrated no significance difference (p = 0.68, paired t test). \$The values are given as the mean and the 95% confidence interval for the mean. #Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for six groups (Types 1, 2, 3, 4, 5a, and 5b) demonstrated a highly significant difference between injury types in terms of both hamstring strength and standardized hamstring strength, a significant difference between injury types in terms of hamstring endurance before standardization, and no significant differences between injury types in terms of quadriceps endurance, and standardized hamstring endurance.

 TABLE E-4 Mean Hamstring and Quadriceps Strength and Endurance According to Chronicity

						Standardized	Standardized
		Hamstring	Hamstring	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	Hamstring	Hamstring
	Subtotal	Strength*†	Endurance*†	Strength*	Endurance*	Strength*†	Endurance*†
	(no. of	(% of value on					
Туре	injuries)	contralateral side)					
Acute	29	$86 \pm 1.6$	$91 \pm 2.1$	$98 \pm 1.3$	$103 \pm 1.6$	$88 \pm 1.2$	$88 \pm 2.5$
Chronic	32	$81 \pm 1.7$	$85 \pm 2.2$	$97\pm0.8$	$100 \pm 1.0$	$85 \pm 1.3$	$88 \pm 2.8$

\*The values are given as the mean and the 95% confidence interval for the mean.  $\dagger$ No significant difference between acute and chronic groups (p > 0.05, unpaired t test).

## Wood eAppendix

TABLE E-5 Mean Hams	tring Strength,	Hamstring Enduran	ce, Quadriceps St	rength, and	Quadriceps	s Endurance	According to the
Requirement for Bracing							

						Standardized	Standardized
		Hamstring	Hamstring	Quadriceps	Quadriceps	Hamstring	Hamstring
		Strength*†	Endurance*‡	Strength*§	Endurance*§	Strength*§	Endurance*#
	Subtotal	(% of value on					
	(no. of	contralateral	contralateral	contralateral	contralateral	contralateral	contralateral
Туре	injuries)	side)	side)	side)	side)	side)	side)
Brace	23	$71 \pm 2.3$	$75 \pm 2.9$	93 ± 1.3	$101 \pm 1.8$	$80 \pm 2.1$	$77 \pm 3.9$
No brace	38	$90 \pm 0.7$	$96 \pm 1.2$	$100 \pm 0.3$	$102 \pm 0.6$	$90 \pm 0.8$	$94 \pm 1.7$

\*The values are given as the mean and the 95% confidence interval for the mean.  $\dagger P = 0.00006$ , unpaired t test.  $\ddagger P = 0.004$ , unpaired t test. \$ P > 0.05, unpaired t test. \$ P = 0.002, unpaired t test.

 TABLE E-6 Comparison of Acute and Chronic Complete Avulsions with Retraction (Type 5)

	Subtotal	Mean	Postoperative	Hamstring Strength§#	Hamstring Endurance§**
	(no. of	Retraction*†	Bracing <sup>‡</sup>	(% of value on	(% of value on
Туре	injuries)	<i>(cm)</i>	(no. of injuries)	contralateral side)	contralateral side)
Complete acute	21 (45%)	5.4 (2 to 15)	6 (29%)	$88.5 \pm 9.8$	$95.7 \pm 13.3$
-		[±1.2]			
Complete chronic	26 (55%)	9.9 (4 to 20)	23 (89%)	$70.3 \pm 9.8$	$76.6 \pm 13.8$
		[±1.6]			

\*The values are given as the mean, with the range in parentheses and the 95% confidence interval for the mean in brackets.  $\dagger P = 0.001$ , unpaired t test.  $\ddagger P = 0.00004$ , Fisher exact test. \$The values are given as the mean and the 95% confidence interval for the mean. #P = 0.0008, unpaired t test. \*\*P = 0.03, unpaired t test.