COPYRIGHT © BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED NYHOLM ET AL. OSTEOSYNTHESIS WITH PARALLEL IMPLANTS IN THE TREATMENT OF FEMORAL NECK FRACTURES: MINIMAL EFFECT OF IMPLANT POSITION ON RISK OF REOPERATION http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00270 Page 1

Appendix

TABLE E-1 Excluded Surgeries

Cause	No.		
Foreign citizen	3		
Duplicate record in the Danish Fracture Database (DFDB)	25		
<8 surgeries performed in the department during the inclusion period	15		
Erroneous date of surgery in the database	18		
Trochanteric fracture pattern	4		
Death prior to postop. radiographic evaluation	10		
Missing radiographs	193		
Radiographic quality did not allow measurements	2		
Previous treatment for fracture of the hip			
Alternative surgical technique	17		
>5 days from surgery to postop. radiographic evaluation	8		
New fracture of the hip before postop. radiographic evaluation			
Bilateral femoral fracture at the time of surgery			
Maldeveloped femoral head	1		
Position of 3 implants in a triangle, with apex superiorly	26		
Position of 3 implants in a vertical line	20		
Total	352		

Copyright © by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated NYHOLM ET AL. OSTEOSYNTHESIS WITH PARALLEL IMPLANTS IN THE TREATMENT OF FEMORAL NECK FRACTURES: MINIMAL EFFECT OF IMPLANT POSITION ON RISK OF REOPERATION http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00270 Page 2

TABLE E-2 Variables Obtained by	Radiographic Evaluation
M	

TABLE E-2 Variables Obtained by Ra						
Measurement	Definition					
Primary radiographic measures						
Fracture displacement	Displacement on anteroposterior radiographs, in accordance with the Garden classification ¹⁹ , where Garden I and II were grouped as "nondisplaced" and Garden III and IV, as "displaced." Garden III was defined as a step-off in the calcar of >2 mm (after adjustment of magnification), measured on the anteroposterior radiograph, or a varus malalignment (ordinal variable, with 2 levels). Measured both preop. and postop.					
Posterior tilt of the caput	Measured by the method suggested by Palm et al. ²⁰ on the axial radiographs					
fragment	(quantitative, continuous variable, measured in degrees). Measured for nondisplaced fractures					
No. of implants used	(Dichotomous variable, either 2 or 3 implants used)					
Posterior distance	The shortest distance from the posterior implant to the posterior cortex of the femoral neck, measured distal to the fracture on the postop. axial radiograph (Fig. 1-B) (quantitative, continuous variable, measured in millimeters to 1 decimal place)					
Calcar distance	The shortest distance from the inferior implant to the calcar, measured distal to the fracture on the postop. anteroposterior radiograph (Fig. 1-A) (quantitative, continuous variable, measured in millimeters to 1 decimal place)					
Tip-cartilage distance	Distance from the tip of the implants to the surface of the femoral head (measured for the inferior and the posterior implant) (Fig. 1-A) (quantitative, continuous variable, measured in millimeters to 1 decimal place)					
Angulation of implants	Angle between the lateral cortex of the femoral shaft and the implants, measured on the anteroposterior radiograph for the posterior and inferior implants (quantitative, continuous variable, measured in degrees) (Fig. 1-C)					
Size of the implant	Diameter of the implant on postop. radiographs. For screws, the diameter of the threads was used (quantitative, continuous variable, measured in millimeters)					
Implant protrusion	Perforation of either the cortex of the femoral neck or the cartilage surface of the femoral head (dichotomous variable, either an implant protruded or not)					
Variables constructed from the radiographic analysis based on the measurements						
Fracture type	Combining preop. fracture displacement and preop. posterior tilt (ordinal variable with the levels "nondisplaced, posterior tilt <20°," "nondisplaced, posterior tilt \geq 20°," and "displaced)					
Reduction	Combining postop. fracture displacement and postop. posterior tilt (ordinal variable with the levels "nondisplaced, posterior tilt <10°," "nondisplaced, posterior tilt \geq 10°," and "displaced")					
Tip-caput distance	Summation of the tip-cartilage distance of the posterior and inferior implants (quantitative, continuous variable, measured in millimeters to 1 decimal place)					

Copyright $\textcircled{\mbox{\scriptsize obs}}$ by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Nyholm et al.

OSTEOSYNTHESIS WITH PARALLEL IMPLANTS IN THE TREATMENT OF FEMORAL NECK FRACTURES: MINIMAL EFFECT OF IMPLANT POSITION ON RISK OF REOPERATION http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00270

Page 3

 TABLE E-3 Intraobserver and Interobserver Reliability of Measurements*

	Kappa (CI)	Lin (CI)	MD	SD (MD)	LOA	COV (%)	CI (MD)
Intraobserver: Reviewer 1							
Classification							
(nondisplaced/displaced)							
Preop.	0.77 (0.58 to 0.96)						
Postop.	0.88 (0.75 to 1)						
Angle of posterior implant		0.98 (0.96 to 0.99)	0.28	1.74	(-3.13 to 3.69)	1.30	(-0.20 to 0.76)
Angle of inferior implant		0.97 (0.95 to 0.98)	0.16	1.84	(-3.46 to 3.78)	1.37	(-0.35 to 0.67)
Posterior tilt preop.		0.89 (0.77 to 0.95)	0.63	3.38	(-7.24 to 5.99)	35.1	(-1.92 to 0.67)
Posterior tilt postop.		0.95 (0.91 to 0.98)	0.081	2.42	(-4.83 to 4.67)	42.2	(-0.92 to 0.76)
Calcar distance		0.97 (0.94 to 0.98)	0.028	0.70	(-1.40 to 1.35)	11.8	(-0.22 to 0.17)
Posterior distance		0.99 (0.99 to 0.99)	-0.049	0.43	(-0.90 to 0.80)	9.50	(-0.17 to 0.071)
TCD posterior implant		0.99 (0.98 to 0.99)	-0.057	0.44	(-0.92 to 0.81)	9.61	(-0.18 to 0.069)
TCD inferior implant		0.97 (0.94 to 0.98)	0.13	0.81	(-1.47 to 1.72)	17.8	(-0.098 to 0.35)
Diameter screw anteroposterior		0.98 (0.97 to 0.99)	0.16	3.40	(-6.82 to 6.50)	14.1	(-0.79 to 1.10)
Diameter screw lateral		0.99 (0.98 to 0.99)	0.54	3.22	(-5.77 to 6.85)	10.1	(-0.36 to 1.43)
Intraobserver: Reviewer 2							
Classification							
(nondisplaced/displaced)							
Preop.	0.88 (0.74 to 1)						
Postop.	0.75 (0.52 to 0.98)						
Angle of posterior implant		0.99 (0.98 to 0.99)	0.021	1.28	(-2.49 to 2.53)	0.96	(-0.34 to 0.38)
Angle of distal implant		0.99 (0.98 to 0.99)	0.083	1.27	(-2.57 to 2.40)	0.95	(-0.27 to 0.44)
Posterior tilt preop.		0.81 (0.64 to 0.91)	0.77	4.21	(-9.01 to 7.47)	43.0	(-2.33 to 0.79)
Posterior tilt postop.		0.78 (0.63 to 0.88)	0.91	4.85	(-8.61 to 10.42)	106.9	(-0.64 to 2.45)
Calcar distance		0.92 (0.87 to 0.96)	0.28	1.07	(-2.37 to 1.82)	17.6	(-0.019 to 0.57)
Posterior distance		0.99 (0.98 to 0.99)	0.0067	0.53	(-1.05 to 1.04)	11.9	(-0.14 to 0.15)
TCD posterior implant		0.94 (0.90 to 0.97)	0.13	0.83	(-1.51 to 1.77)	17.5	(-0.10 to 0.36)
TCD inferior implant		0.94 (0.90 to 0.97)	0.52	0.98	(-1.41 to 2.45)	19.3	(0.25 to 0.80)
Diameter screw anteroposterior		0.998 (0.996 to	0.47	1.14	(-1.76 to 2.70)	4.73	(0.16 to 0.79)
		0.999)					
Diameter screw lat.		0.998 (0.996 to	0.57	1.56	(-2.48 to 3.62)	4.78	(0.14 to 1.00)
		0.999)					
Interobserver (Reviewer 1 vs. 2)							
Classification							
(nondisplaced/displaced)						-	
Preop.	0.71 (0.58 to 0.85)						
Postop.	0.66 (0.5 to 0.83)						
Angle of posterior implant		0.98 (0.97 to 0.99)	0.59	1.59	(-2.52 to 3.70)	1.18	(0.27 to 0.90)

Copyright O by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Nyholm et al.

OSTEOSYNTHESIS WITH PARALLEL IMPLANTS IN THE TREATMENT OF FEMORAL NECK FRACTURES: MINIMAL EFFECT OF IMPLANT POSITION ON RISK OF REOPERATION http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00270

Page 4

Angle of distal implant	0.97 (0.96 to 0.98)	0.66	1.64	(-2.55 to 3.87)	1.22	(-2.55 to 3.87)
Posterior tilt preop.	0.84 (0.73 to 0.90)	0.23	3.83	(-7.30 to 7.75)	40.7	(-0.83 to 1.28)
Posterior tilt postop.	0.81 (0.71 to 0.88)	0.36	1.00	(-8.22 to 10.2)	87.9	(-0.12 to 2.12)
Calcar distance	0.94 (0.91 to 0.96)	0.12	0.98	(-2.04 to 1.80)	16.3	(-0.81 to 0.071)
Posterior distance	0.99 (0.98 to 0.99)	0.078	0.54	(-0.99 to 1.15)	12.1	(-0.029 to 0.18)
TCD posterior implant	0.91 (0.87 to 0.94)	0.18	1.13	(-2.40 to 2.04)	24.3	(-0.045 to 0.41)
TCD inferior implant	0.92 (0.88 to 0.95)	0.49	1.20	(-2.83 to 1.86)	24.6	(0.25 to 0.73)
Diameter screw anteroposterior	0.99 (0.98 to 0.99)	0.045	2.63	(-5.19 to 5.10)	10.9	(-0.56 to 0.47)
Diameter screw lat.	0.99 (0.99 to 0.99)	0.49	3.07	(-6.52 to 5.53)	9.52	(-0.11 to 1.10)

*CI = 95% confidence interval, Lin = Lin concordance correlation coefficient²¹, MD = mean difference, SD (MD) = standard deviation of the mean difference, LOA = limits of agreement⁴², COV = coefficient of variation (within-subject standard deviation method)^{43,44}, and TCD = tip-cartilage distance.

Copyright $\textcircled{\mbox{\scriptsize obs}}$ by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Nyholm et al.

OSTEOSYNTHESIS WITH PARALLEL IMPLANTS IN THE TREATMENT OF FEMORAL NECK FRACTURES: MINIMAL EFFECT OF IMPLANT POSITION ON RISK OF REOPERATION http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00270

Page 5

TABLE E-4 Cox Regression A		95% Confidence		
	Hazard Ratio	Interval	P Value	
Age	1.058	(1.04-1.07)	< 0.000001	
Sex				
Female	1			
Male	1.37	(0.995-1.88)	0.0538	
ASA score				
1-2	1			
3-4	3.10	(2.32-4.17)	< 0.000001	
Time to surgery in hr				
0-12	1			
>12-24	0.89	(0.64-1.25)	0.508	
>24-36	0.99	(0.64-1.52)	0.949	
>36	1.23	(0.79-1.92)	0.359	
Test for overall effect of			0.520	
time to surgery				
Fracture displacement				
Nondisplaced, <20°	1			
Displaced	1.21	(0.89-1.66)	0.228	
Reduction				
Nondisplaced, <10°	1			
Nondisplaced, ≥10°	1.14	(0.78-1.68)	0.493	
Displaced	1.07	(0.75-1.52)	0.722	
Test for overall effect			0.787	
Number of screws				
2	1			
3	0.83	(0.55-1.24)	0.354	
Angle of distal screw				
>125°	1			
≤125°	1.25	(0.71-2.24)	0.438	
Angle between screws				
≤3°	1			
>3°	1.00	(0.62-1.62)	0.997	
Posterior distance				
1-3 mm	1			
<1 mm	0.77	(0.54-1.11)	0.162	
>3 mm	0.84	(0.61-1.17)	0.302	
Test for overall effect			0.332	
Calcar distance				

TABLE E-4 Cox Regression Analysis of Risk of Death

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb O}$ by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Nyholm et al.

Osteosynthesis with Parallel Implants in the Treatment of Femoral Neck Fractures: Minimal Effect of Implant Position on Risk of Reoperation http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00270

Page 6

0-5 mm	1		
>5 mm	1.01	(0.76-1.33)	0.962
Tip-caput distance			
0-10 mm	1		
Perforating	1.63	(0.75-3.52)	0.216
>10 mm	0.99	(0.58-1.68)	0.963
Test for overall effect			0.509
Further operations			
No further operations	1		
Underwent further	1.49	(0.94-2.36)	0.0934
surgery			