Copyright @ by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated McAuliffe et al.

IMPACT OF IMAGE-DERIVED INSTRUMENTATION ON TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY REVISION RATES. AN ANALYSIS OF 83,823 PROCEDURES FROM THE AUSTRALIAN ORTHOPAEDIC ASSOCIATION NATIONAL JOINT REPLACEMENT REGISTRY

http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00326

Page 1

Appendix

Reasons for Revision

Reasons for revision are reported in 2 ways:

- (1) Percentage of primary procedures revised. This shows the proportional contribution of each revision diagnosis as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of being revised for that diagnosis. Differing percentages among the groups, with the same distribution of follow-up time, may identify problems of concern.
- (2) Percentage of all revision procedures. The number of revisions for each diagnosis is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of reasons for revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

TABLE E-1 Revision Diagnosis According to Implantation Method Used in Primary TKA*

Computer-Navigated IDI Other Total Kno

	Computer-Navigated				IDI		Other Total Knee			
		% of			% of			% of		
		Primaries	% of		Primaries	% of		Primaries	% of	
Revision Diagnosis	No.	Revised	Revisions	No.	Revised	Revisions	No.	Revised	Revisions	
Infection	206	0.6	42.8	24	0.4	28.6	326	0.7	46.0	
Loosening	83	0.3	17.3	20	0.4	23.8	139	0.3	19.6	
Instability	63	0.2	13.1	12	0.2	14.3	64	0.1	9.0	
Arthrofibrosis	11	0.0	2.3	3	0.1	3.6	37	0.1	5.2	
Pain	23	0.1	4.8	7	0.1	8.3	34	0.1	4.8	
Fracture	17	0.1	3.5	3	0.1	3.6	31	0.1	4.4	
Malalignment	17	0.1	3.5	2	0.0	2.4	15	0.0	2.1	
Incorrect sizing	14	0.0	2.9	2	0.0	2.4	11	0.0	1.6	
Implant breakage, patella	3	0.0	0.6	0	0.0	0.0	6	0.0	0.8	
Lysis	6	0.0	1.2	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.0	0.7	
Implant breakage, tibial insert	3	0.0	0.6	0	0.0	0.0	5	0.0	0.7	
Metal-related pathology	3	0.0	0.6	0	0.0	0.0	4	0.0	0.6	
Patellar maltracking	3	0.0	0.6	2	0.0	2.4	4	0.0	0.6	
Wear of the tibial insert	2	0.0	0.4	1	0.0	1.2	4	0.0	0.6	
Bearing dislocation	1	0.0	0.2	1	0.0	1.2	3	0.0	0.4	
Osteonecrosis	2	0.0	0.4	1	0.0	1.2	3	0.0	0.4	
Patellofemoral pain	2	0.0	0.4	3	0.1	3.6	1	0.0	0.1	
Prosthesis dislocation	2	0.0	0.4	0	0.0	0.0	2	0.0	0.3	
Synovitis	1	0.0	0.2	1	0.0	1.2	2	0.0	0.3	
Implant breakage, femoral	1	0.0	0.2	1	0.0	1.2	0	0.0	0.0	
Wear of the patella	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.1	

COPYRIGHT © BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED

McAuliffe et al.

IMPACT OF IMAGE-DERIVED INSTRUMENTATION ON TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY REVISION RATES. AN ANALYSIS OF 83,823 PROCEDURES FROM THE AUSTRALIAN ORTHOPAEDIC ASSOCIATION NATIONAL JOINT REPLACEMENT REGISTRY

http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00326

Page 2

Other	18	0.1	3.7	1	0.0	1.2	11	0.0	1.6
Total	481	1.5	100.0	84	1.5	100.0	708	1.5	100.0
Total no. of primary	32,360			5,486			45,977		
procedures									

^{*}Primary diagnosis of osteoarthritis.

COPYRIGHT © BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED
MCAULIFFE ET AL.
IMPACT OF IMAGE-DERIVED INSTRUMENTATION ON TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY REVISION RATES. AN ANALYSIS OF 83,823 PROCEDURES FROM THE AUSTRALIAN ORTHOPAEDIC
ASSOCIATION NATIONAL JOINT REPLACEMENT REGISTRY
http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00326
Page 3

Types of Revision

Types of revision are reported in 2 ways:

- (1) Percentage of primary procedures revised. This shows the proportional contribution of each type of revision as a percentage of the total number of primary procedures. This percentage can be used to approximate the risk of having that type of revision. Differing percentages among the groups, with the same distribution of follow-up time, may identify problems of concern.
- (2) Percentage of all revision procedures. The number of revisions for each type of revision is expressed as a percentage of the total number of revisions. This shows the distribution of types of revision within a group but cannot be used as a comparison between groups.

TABLE E-2 Type of Revision According to Implantation Method Used in Primary TKA*

	Computer-Navigated				IDI		Other Total Knee		
		% of			% of			% of	
		Primaries	% of		Primaries	% of		Primaries	% of
Type of Revision	No.	Revised	Revisions	No.	Revised	Revisions	No.	Revised	Revisions
Insert only	238	0.7	49.5	34	0.6	40.5	334	0.7	47.2
Total knee replacement	88	0.3	18.3	15	0.3	17.9	143	0.3	20.2
(tibial/femoral)									
Tibial component	45	0.1	9.4	6	0.1	7.1	58	0.1	8.2
Cement spacer	37	0.1	7.7	6	0.1	7.1	56	0.1	7.9
Femoral component	43	0.1	8.9	5	0.1	6.0	51	0.1	7.2
Patella only	17	0.1	3.5	12	0.2	14.3	39	0.1	5.5
Insert + patella	7	0.0	1.5	5	0.1	6.0	22	0.0	3.1
Removal of prostheses	5	0.0	1.0	1	0.0	1.2	4	0.0	0.6
Minor components	1	0.0	0.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Reinsertion of components	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	1	0.0	0.1
Total	481	1.5	100.0	84	1.5	100.0	708	1.5	100.0
Total no. of primary procedures	32,360			5,486			45,977		

^{*}Primary diagnosis of osteoarthritis.