Copyright © by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Moosmayer et al. At a 10-Year Follow-up, Tendon Repair Is Superior to Physiotherapy in the Treatment of Small and Medium-Sized Rotator Cuff Tears http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.01373 Page 1

## The following content was supplied by the authors as supporting material and has not been copy-edited or verified by JBJS.

## Appendix 1

## Results of Primary and Secondary Outcome Measures from a Linear Mixed Model Analysis for Repeated Measurements

In order to assess if missing outcome data during follow-up had affected our results, supplementary linear mixed model analyses for repeated measurements were performed under a "missing at random" assumption. As the mixed model analysis allows for analysis of unbalanced datasets without imputation, all available data were used in this analysis. Time at follow-up (as a categorical variable), choice of treatment and an interaction term between follow-up time and treatment group were included in the model as explanatory main fixed factors and patient age and the baseline value of the respective dependent variable as main fixed covariates. A random intercept was incorporated. The table shows the raw data together with the between-group differences at all follow-up points and the p value at 10-year follow-up as given by the mixed model analysis. Comparison of the results from the mixed model analysis to those from our main analysis by general linear model one-way ANCOVA (Table IV) shows that differences are only minor and insignificant at all follow-ups from 6 to 10 years.

Copyright © by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Moosmayer et al. At a 10-Year Follow-up, Tendon Repair Is Superior to Physiotherapy in the Treatment of Small and Medium-Sized Rotator Cuff Tears http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.01373 Page 2

Appendix	Results of primary and secondary outcor	me measures from a linear mixed model
analysis fo	or repeated measurements.	

	Mean (SD)			
=	Primary tendon Physiotherapy		Between-group	P value for the
	repair*†	repair*† with optional difference‡§	difference‡§	between-group
	secondary			difference#
		repair*†		
Constant score (points)				
Baseline	$35.3 \pm 13.2$	$38.4 \pm 14.2$		
6 months	$65.6 \pm 16.3$	$63.9\pm20.2$	2.7 (-3.6 to 9.0	
1 year	$77.7 \pm 13.4$	$70.3 \pm 19.1$	8.3 (2.0 to 14.5)	
2 years	$79.3 \pm 13.6$	$77.7 \pm 14.9$	3.0 (-3.3 to 9.4)	
5 years	$79.8 \pm 15.0$	$74.2\pm20.3$	6.8 (0.4 to 13.1)	
10 years	$80.5\pm9.8$	$71.8 \pm 17.8$	9.8 (3.2 to 16.4)	0.03
ASES** score (points)				
Baseline	$45.5 \pm 14.5$	$48.2 \pm 14.4$		
6 months	$85.3 \pm 13.7$	$75.4\pm20.2$	10.7 (4.6 to 16.9)	
1 year	$93.6 \pm 12.5$	$83.6\pm18.3$	10.8 (4.6 to 17.0)	
2 years	$93.1 \pm 13.9$	$88.0 \pm 14.9$	6.4 (0.1 to 12.7)	
5 years	$92.8 \pm 13.3$	$85.4 \pm 21.0$	8.4 (2.1 to 14.7)	
10 years	$94,0\pm9.5$	$80,0\pm20.2$	15.0 (8.4 to 21.6)	< 0.001
VAS pain (cm)				
Baseline	$5.6 \pm 2.0$	$5.3 \pm 1.9$		
6 months	$1.1 \pm 1.3$	$2.7 \pm 2.2$	1.6 (0.9 to 2.3)	
1 year	$0.5 \pm 1.2$	$1.6 \pm 1.6$	1.1 (0.5 to 1.8)	
2 years	$0.7 \pm 1.5$	$1.4 \pm 1.4$	0.8 (0.1 to 1.5)	
5 years	$0.6 \pm 1.4$	$1.6 \pm 1.6$	1.1 (0.4 to 1.7)	
10 years	$0.6 \pm 1.3$	$2.3 \pm 2.4$	1.8 (1.1 to 2.5)	< 0.001
Pain-free abduction (deg)				
Baseline	$73.7 \pm 28.0$	$81.9 \pm 29.8$		
6 months	$135.4 \pm 41.7$	$135.4 \pm 47.9$	2.3 (-12.1 to 16.6)	
1 year	$158.4 \pm 33.7$	$143.8 \pm 43.9$	17.0 (2.6 to 31.4)	
2 years	$161.7 \pm 30.8$	$163.6 \pm 32.6$	1.1 (-13.3 to 15.5)	
5 years	$167.3 \pm 30.6$	$155.1 \pm 41.2$	15.1 (0.7 to 29.5)	
10 years	$169.1\pm23.8$	$151,7\pm40.9$	19.5 (4.5 to 34.4)	0.01
Pain-free flexion (deg)				
Baseline	$86.8 \pm 41.3$	$88.6 \pm 32.1$		
6 months	$147.3 \pm 34.5$	$146.6 \pm 46.3$	1.5 (-10.8 to 13.8)	
1 year	$166.1 \pm 27.5$	$155.6 \pm 38.4$	1.3 (-1.0 to 23.6)	
2 years	$168.5 \pm 26.1$	$170.5 \pm 23.0$	-0.3 (-12.6 to 12.0)	
5 years	$170.6 \pm 27.9$	$163.5 \pm 35.4$	8.6 (-3.8 to 20.9)	
10 years	$175.8 \pm 12.0$	$162.0 \pm 35.5$	15.0 (2.2 to 27.8)	0.02
Strength (kg)				
Baseline	$7.5 \pm 5.5$	$8.1 \pm 5.8$		
6 months	$8.0 \pm 4.6$	$10.6 \pm 5.4$	-2.5 (-4.3 to -0.8)	
1 year	$11.1 \pm 4.0$	$10.0 \pm 5.1$ $11.9 \pm 5.1$	-0.7 (-2.4 to 1.0)	
2 years	$11.9 \pm 4.3$	$12.8 \pm 5.3$	-0.8 (-2.5 to 0.9)	
5 years	$12.1 \pm 4.7$	$11.4 \pm 5.4$	0.9 (-0.8 to 2.6)	
10 years	$11.7 \pm 4.5$	$10.2 \pm 5.6$	1.7 (-0.03 to 3.5)	0.06

\* The values are raw measurement data. In the analysis of the two groups, there were fifty-two patients in the primary tendon repair group and fifty-one patients in the physiotherapy with optional secondary repair group analyzed at baseline, fifty-one patients in each group analyzed at six months and one COPYRIGHT © BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED MOOSMAYER ET AL. At a 10-YEAR FOLLOW-UP, TENDON REPAIR IS SUPERIOR TO PHYSIOTHERAPY IN THE TREATMENT OF SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED ROTATOR CUFF TEARS http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.01373 Page 3

year, fifty-one patients in the primary tendon repair group and fifty patients in the physiotherapy with optional secondary repair group analyzed at two and five years, and forty-eight patients in the primary tendon repair group and forty-three patients in the physiotherapy with optional secondary repair group analyzed at ten years.

† The values are given as the mean and the standard deviation.

‡ The values were adjusted for baseline measurements of the variable and for patient age; positive values indicate a better result for primary tendon repair.

§ The values are given as the mean, with the 95% confidence interval in parentheses.

# A p value of <0.05 indicates a significant between-group difference at the ten year follow-up.

\*\*This is the self-report section of the ASES score.

COPYRIGHT © BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED MOOSMAYER ET AL. AT A 10-YEAR FOLLOW-UP, TENDON REPAIR IS SUPERIOR TO PHYSIOTHERAPY IN THE TREATMENT OF SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED ROTATOR CUFF TEARS http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.01373 Page 4

Appendix 2 Normalized (age and gender adjusted) Constant score based on the normalization data given by Katolik et al.<sup>31</sup>.

	Primary tendon repair*†	Physiotherapy with optional secondary repair*†
Normalized Constant score (points)		
Baseline	$39.1 \pm 14.5$	$42.8 \pm 15.7$
6 months	$72.7 \pm 17.8$	$71.1 \pm 22.0$
1 year	$86.3 \pm 15.3$	$78.6 \pm 21.4$
2 years	$88.4 \pm 15.3$	$87.1 \pm 15.8$
5 years	$89.4 \pm 17.1$	$84.1 \pm 22.9$
10 years	$92.0 \pm 12.1$	$82.3 \pm 20.2$

\* The values were calculated by dividing the patients' raw scores by the age and gender adjusted normalization data given by Katolik et al<sup>31</sup>. There were fifty-two patients in the primary tendon repair group and fifty-one patients in the physiotherapy with optional secondary repair group at baseline, fifty-one patients in each group at six months and one year, fifty-one patients in the primary tendon repair group and fifty patients in the physiotherapy with optional secondary repair group at two and five years, and forty-eight patients in the primary tendon repair group and forty-three patients in the physiotherapy with optional secondary repair group and forty-three patients in the physiotherapy with optional secondary repair group and forty-three patients in the physiotherapy with optional secondary repair group at two secondary repairs in the physiotherapy with optional secondary repair group and forty-three patients in the physiotherapy with optional secondary repair group at ten years.

<sup>†</sup> The values are given as the mean and the standard deviation.