COPYRIGHT © BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED JONES ET AL. NOMENCLATURE INCONSISTENCY AND SELECTIVE OUTCOME REPORTING HINDER OUR UNDERSTANDING OF STEM CELL THERAPY FOR THE KNEE http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.17.01474 Page 1

## Appendix

TABLE E-1 Modified Coleman Methodology Score Assessment Criteria<sup>23-25</sup>

Criteria	Score (Min. to Max.)		
Part A			
1. Study size, based on knees treated*	0 to 10		
2. Mean follow-up	0 to 5		
3. Surgical procedures, based on no. of different performed (including injection)*	0 to 10		
4. Study type	0 to 15		
5. Diagnostic certainty (radiographic or histopathological confirmation of diagnosis)	0 to 5		
6. Description of surgical procedure given	0 to 5		
7. Description of postoperative rehabilitation*	0 to 10		
Part B			
1. Outcome criteria (if outcome criteria are vague and do not specify subjects' sporting	0 to 10		
capacity, score is automatically 0 for this section)			
2. Procedure for assessing outcomes	0 to 15		
3. Description of subject selection process	0 to 15		

\*Indicates a section has been modified from the original Coleman Methodology Score: question 1A was modified such that the number of patients was no longer multiplied by the number of follow-ups, which would have resulted in perfect scores for all authors; question 3A was modified such that the number of procedures included injections, in keeping with the aims of the original CMS; question 7A was modified to account for studies that did not report compliance.

COPYRIGHT © BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED JONES ET AL. NOMENCLATURE INCONSISTENCY AND SELECTIVE OUTCOME REPORTING HINDER OUR UNDERSTANDING OF STEM CELL THERAPY FOR THE KNEE http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.17.01474 Page 2 TABLE E-2 Final Modified Coleman Methodology Scores (mCMS)

	Part A						Part B				
0.1	1. Study	2. Mean Follow-	3. No. of Different Surgical	4. Type of	5. Diagnostic	6. Description of Surgical Procedure	7. Description of Postoperative	1. Outcome	2. Procedure for Assessing	3. Description of Subject Selection	77 . 14
Study Vega et al. <sup>36</sup> (2015)	Size 4	up 2	Procedures 10	Study 15	Certainty 5	Given 5	Rehabilitation 0	Criteria 10	Outcomes 15	Process 5	Total* 71
Koh et al. <sup>33</sup> (2014)	7	5	7	15	5	5	5	10	11	10	80
Koh et al. <sup>35</sup> (2016)	10	5	7	15	5	5	5	10	11	10	83
Akgun et al. <sup>66</sup> (2015)	0	2	10	15	5	5	5	10	11	13	76
Wong et al. <sup>67</sup> (2013)	7	5	7	15	5	3	5	10	15	10	82
Saw et al. <sup>31</sup> (2013)	7	2	7	15	5	5	5	10	11	5	72
Vangsness et al. <sup>34</sup> (2014)	7	2	7	15	5	3	5	4	11	15	74
Skowroński and Rutka <sup>30</sup> (2013)	7	5	0	15	5	0	0	10	15	5	62

\*Average mCMS =  $75 \pm 6.9$ .