COPYRIGHT © BY THE JOURNAL OF BONE AND JOINT SURGERY, INCORPORATED

YANG ET AL

THE INFLUENCE OF PREOPERATIVE TIBIAL BONE MARROW EDEMA ON OUTCOMES AFTER MEDIAL OPENING-WEDGE HIGH TIBIAL OSTEOTOMY

http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.20.00037

Page 1

## The following content was supplied by the authors as supporting material and has not been copy-edited or verified by JBJS.

Supplemental Table I Comparison of Functional Outcomes According to Postoperative Limb Alignment\*

	Correctly Aligned Group (N = 62)	Incorrectly Aligned Group (N = 43)	P Value†
WOMAC‡			
Preoperative	$48.8 \pm 13.4$	$47.4 \pm 15.7$	0.971
Latest follow-up	$6.9 \pm 9.0$	$27.0 \pm 18.5$	< 0.001
SF-12 PCS‡			
Preoperative	$37.8 \pm 19.8$	$37.4 \pm 20.0$	0.793
Latest follow-up	$54.0 \pm 26.5$	$41.1 \pm 21.1$	0.007
KSS pain‡			
Preoperative	$29.9 \pm 8.3$	$30.2 \pm 7.4$	0.904
Latest follow-up	$47.5 \pm 4.5$	$41.3 \pm 7.9$	< 0.001
KSS function‡			
Preoperative	$57.3 \pm 13.4$	$57.2 \pm 12.5$	0.545
Latest follow-up	$92.5 \pm 9.1$	$76.5 \pm 11.3$	< 0.001
HSS‡			
Preoperative	$69.6 \pm 9.0$	$72.4 \pm 9.15$	0.136
Latest follow-up	$95.6 \pm 6.5$	$82.2 \pm 10.5$	< 0.001

<sup>\*</sup>HKA angle was dichotomized into correctly aligned (between 2°-6° of valgus correction) or incorrectly aligned (alignment out of this range).

<sup>†</sup>The Mann-Whitney U test was used to analyze the differences in all other variables and a p value of  $\leq 0.05$  was considered significant.

<sup>‡</sup>Values are presented as mean and standard deviation.

WOMAC = Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index, SF-12 PCS = Short Form-36 Physical Component Summary, KSS = Knee Society Score, HSS = Hospital for Special Surgery.

Copyright  ${\hbox{@}}$  by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated . .

YANG ET AL

THE INFLUENCE OF PREOPERATIVE TIBIAL BONE MARROW EDEMA ON OUTCOMES AFTER MEDIAL OPENING-WEDGE HIGH TIBIAL OSTEOTOMY

http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.20.00037

Page 2

## Supplemental Table II Complications Associated With Medial Opening-Wedge High Tibial Osteotomy\*

	N
Major Complication [no. (%)]	6 (5.7%)
Deep wound infection	0
Nonunion	0
Loss of correction	4
Fixation failure	0
Major nerve injury	0
Fracture of tibial plateau	2
Minor Complication [no. (%)]	9 (8.5%)
Superficial wound infection	0
Flexion contracture > 10°	2
Skin irritation	5
Sensory nerve injury	2
Total [no. (%)]	15 (14.2%)

<sup>\*</sup>Values are presented as the number of knees with the percentage in parentheses.