Copyright \circledcirc by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Brodt et al.

AN ISOELASTIC MONOBLOCK CUP RETAINS MORE ACETABULAR AND FEMORAL BONE THAN A MODULAR PRESS-FIT CUP. A PROSPECTIVE RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.19.00787

Page 1

The following content was supplied by the authors as supporting material and has not been copy-edited or verified by JBJS.

Appendix

RM Pressfit Cup

The RM cup has a market share of around 6% in Germany, 13% in Austria and 25% in Switzerland (Data on file by Mathys LTD Bettlach, Switzerland, access date 09/24/2019). In New Zealand, the RM Pressfit cup is the third most used cup in 2018 (The New Zealand Joint Registry 2019). In theory, there are advantages of a monoblock cup design compared with modular cups with regard to wear¹. The greater thickness of PE compared with modular cups of the same size provides for less wear, at least in the case of small cup diameters². The absence of "dome holes" prevents the penetration of PE wear particles into the retroacetabular space, which is created by the back-side wear between cup and insert³. Advantage of a modular cup is that the liner can be exchanged alone without the need of removing the cup. If it comes to revision, different techniques of cup removal have been described in the literature⁴⁻⁷. One of the most common techniques to remove a well ingrown modular cup is using curved gouges or special designed instruments like the Explant® Acetabular Cup Removal System by Zimmer (Warsaw, Indiana, USA) with different blades to loose the implant-bone interface, so that the cup can be extracted manually⁸⁻¹⁰. A powered development of this technique is the EZout System offered by Stryker (Stryker, Kalamazoo, MI, USA). These techniques claim to have a small loss of bone. They can be easily applied to a monoblock polyethylene cup like the RM Pressfit cup, too. A special technique to remove the RM Pressfit cup is described by Judas et al¹¹. They used powered acetabular reamers to remove the polyethylene until a thin layer was left which was taken out by hand tools. This technique was described for removal of cemented all-poly cups before¹². Another way to remove the RM Pressfit cup is to cut the cup in wedges ("pizza slice") with a chisel¹³.

References

- 1. Young AM, Sychterz CJ, Hopper RH, Engh CA. Effect of acetabular modularity on polyethylene wear and osteolysis in total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2002;84-A(1):58-63.
- 2. Oonishi H, Iwaki H, Kin N, et al. The effects of polyethylene cup thickness on wear of total hip prostheses. *J Mater Sci Mater Med.* 1998;9(8):475-478.
- 3. Huk OL, Bansal M, Betts F, et al. Polyethylene and metal debris generated by non-articulating surfaces of modular acetabular components. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1994;76(4):568-574.
- 4. Paprosky WG, Weeden SH, Bowling JW. Component removal in revision total hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2001;393(393):181-193. doi:10.1097/00003086-200112000-00021.
- 5. Mitchell PA, Masri BA, Garbuz DS, Greidanus NV, Wilson D, Duncan CP. Removal of well-fixed, cementless, acetabular components in revision hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2003;85(7):949-952. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.85b7.13593.
- 6. Zhang X, Hu F, He R, Li X, Ji X, Shang X. A simple technique to remove well-fixed acetabular components in revision of total hip arthroplasty. *Arthroplast Today*.

Copyright © by The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Brodt et al.

AN ISOELASTIC MONOBLOCK CUP RETAINS MORE ACETABULAR AND FEMORAL BONE THAN A MODULAR PRESS-FIT CUP. A PROSPECTIVE RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL

http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.19.00787

Page 2

- 2017;3(4):251-252. doi:10.1016/j.artd.2016.12.001.
- 7. Burgess AG, Howie CR. Removal of a well fixed cemented acetabular component using biomechanical principles. *J Orthop*. 2017;14(2):302-307. doi:10.1016/j.jor.2017.03.005.
- 8. Adelani MA, Goodman SB, Maloney WJ, Huddleston JI. Removal of Well-Fixed Cementless Acetabular Components in Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Orthopedics*. 2016;39(2):e280-e284. doi:10.3928/01477447-20160129-04.
- 9. Nesbitt P, Knowles D. Removing large diameter acetabular cups with the Explant Acetabular Cup Removal System: A technique to maintain the centre of rotation. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 2016;98(4):287-287. doi:10.1308/rcsann.2016.0090.
- 10. Olyslaegers C, Wainwright T, Middleton RG. A novel technique for the removal of well-fixed cementless, large-diameter metal-on-metal acetabular components. *J Arthroplasty*. 2008;23(7):1071-1073. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2008.04.006.
- 11. Judas FMJ, Dias RF, Lucas FM. A technique to remove a well-fixed titanium-coated RM acetabular cup in revision hip arthroplasty. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2011;6(1):31-35. doi:10.1186/1749-799X-6-31.
- 12. de Thomasson E, Mazel C, Gagna G, Guingand O. A simple technique to remove well-fixed, all-polyethylene cemented acetabular component in revision hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2001;16(4):538-540. doi:10.1054/arth.2001.22393.
- 13. Mai S. Comparison of modular vs monoblock cups. *OUP*. 2016;(6):354-357. doi:10.3238/oup.2016.0354-0357.