

The following content was supplied by the authors as supporting material and has not been copy-edited or verified by JBJS.

Appendix 1. Octogenarian outcomes in the literature.

Authors	Year of Publication	Sample size	Average follow-up	Stem survivorship for aseptic revision %	Stem survivorship overall %	Level of evidence
Riley et al. ⁸⁶	2016	78 in each arm	minimum of 2 years	98.7% (>80 years old) vs. 100% (<80 years old)		3
Stihsen et al. ⁸⁷	2017	162 vs. 342	128 months median follow-up	100% in both groups	98.1% (>80 years old) vs. 98.4% (<80 years old)	3
Gkagkalis et al. ⁸⁸	2019	121 vs. 244	49.2 months	100% (>75 years old) vs. 98.8% (<60 years old)		3
Yuasa et al. ¹⁸⁰	2016	30	67 months	100.0%		4

Appendix 2. Hydroxyapatite-coated stems in the literature.

Authors	Year of Publication	Sample size	Average follow-up	Stem Name	Stem survivorship for aseptic revision %	Stem survivorship overall %	Level of evidence
Lee et al. ⁹¹	2005	96	10.3 years	HA-coated Omnifit stem	100.0%	100.0%	4
Cho et al. ⁹²	2010	86	7 years	Corail stem	100.0%		4
Vidalain ¹⁸¹	2011	320	20.9 years	Corail stem	98.8% (actual)	96.3% at 23 years (KM)	4
Jacquot et al. ¹⁸²	2018	86	26.8 years	Corail stem	93% (actual)	93.7% (KM), 86.0% (actual)	4
Flecher et al. ¹⁸³	2010	233	10 years	Custom		93.0%	4

KM = Kaplan-Meier analysis

References:

1. Learmonth ID, Young C, Rorabeck C. The operation of the century: total hip replacement. *Lancet Lond Engl*. 2007;370(9597):1508-1519. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60457-7
2. Konan S, Abdel MP, Haddad FS. Cemented versus uncemented hip implant fixation. *Bone Jt Res*. 2020;8(12):604-607. doi:10.1302/2046-3758.812.BJR-2019-0337
3. Berry DJ, Harmsen WS, Cabanela ME, Morrey BF. Twenty-five-year survivorship of two thousand consecutive primary Charnley total hip replacements: factors affecting survivorship of acetabular and femoral components. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2002;84-A(2):171-177.
4. Charnley J. Surgery of the Hip-joint. *Br Med J*. 1960;1(5176):821-826.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1967122/>. Accessed July 27, 2019.
5. Lee J-M. The Current Concepts of Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Hip Pelvis*. 2016;28(4):191-200. doi:10.5371/hp.2016.28.4.191
6. Maggs J, Wilson M. The Relative Merits of Cemented and Uncemented Prostheses in Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Indian J Orthop*. 2017;51(4):377-385. doi:10.4103/ortho.IJOrtho_405_16
7. Kelley SS, Johnston RC, Sullivan PM. Cemented Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Iowa Orthop J*. 1990;10:54-59. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2328783/>. Accessed February 3, 2020.
8. Sutherland CJ, Wilde AH, Borden LS, Marks KE. A ten-year follow-up of one hundred consecutive Müller curved-stem total hip-replacement arthroplasties. *JBJS*. 1982;64(7):970-982.
https://journals.lww.com/jbjsjournal/Abstract/1982/64070/A_ten_year_follow_up_of_one_hundred_consecutive.2.aspx?exportImagesToPpt=true. Accessed January 25, 2020.
9. Jones LC, Hungerford DS. Cement disease. *Clin Orthop*. 1987;(225):192-206.
10. Albrektsson T, Johansson C. Osteoinduction, osteoconduction and osseointegration. *Eur Spine J*. 2001;10(Suppl 2):S96-S101. doi:10.1007/s005860100282
11. Bothe, R.T., Beaton, L.E. and Davenport, H.A. Reaction of Bone to Multiple Metallic Implants. *Surgery, Gynecology and Obstetrics*. 1940; 71, 598-602.
12. Kim T-I. A tribute to Dr. Per-Ingvar Bränemark. *J Periodontal Implant Sci*. 2014;44(6):265. doi:10.5051/jpis.2014.44.6.265
13. Karlsson J, Sundell G, Thuvander M, Andersson M. Atomically resolved tissue integration. *Nano Lett*. 2014;14(8):4220-4223. doi:10.1021/nl501564f

14. Healy WL, Tilzey JF, Iorio R, Specht LM, Sharma S. Prospective, randomized comparison of cobalt-chrome and titanium trilock femoral stems. *J Arthroplasty*. 2009;24(6):831-836. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2008.06.035
15. Karachalios T, Tsatsaronis C, Efraimis G, Papadelis P, Lyritis G, Diakoumopoulos G. The long-term clinical relevance of calcar atrophy caused by stress shielding in total hip arthroplasty: A 10-year, prospective, randomized study¹ No benefits or funds were received in support of this study. *J Arthroplasty*. 2004;19(4):469-475. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2003.12.081
16. Albrektsson T, Bränemark PI, Hansson HA, Lindström J. Osseointegrated titanium implants. Requirements for ensuring a long-lasting, direct bone-to-implant anchorage in man. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1981;52(2):155-170.
17. Pilliar RM, Lee JM, Maniatopoulos C. Observations on the effect of movement on bone ingrowth into porous-surfaced implants. *Clin Orthop*. 1986;(208):108-113.
18. Jemat A, Ghazali MJ, Razali M, Otsuka Y. Surface Modifications and Their Effects on Titanium Dental Implants. *BioMed Res Int*. 2015;2015:791725. doi:10.1155/2015/791725
19. Engh CA, O'Connor D, Jasty M, McGovern TF, Bobyn JD, Harris WH. Quantification of implant micromotion, strain shielding, and bone resorption with porous-coated anatomic medullary locking femoral prostheses. *Clin Orthop*. 1992;(285):13-29.
20. Jasty M, Bragdon C, Burke D, O'Connor D, Lowenstein J, Harris WH. In vivo skeletal responses to porous-surfaced implants subjected to small induced motions. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1997;79(5):707-714. doi:10.2106/00004623-199705000-00010
21. Bobyn JD, Pilliar RM, Cameron HU, Weatherly GC. The optimum pore size for the fixation of porous-surfaced metal implants by the ingrowth of bone. *Clin Orthop*. 1980;(150):263-270.
22. Vasconcellos LMR de, Oliveira MV de, Graça ML de A, Vasconcellos LGO de, Carvalho YR, Cairo CAA. Porous titanium scaffolds produced by powder metallurgy for biomedical applications. *Mater Res*. 2008;11(3):275-280. doi:10.1590/S1516-14392008000300008
23. Shen H, Oppenheimer SM, Dunand DC, Brinson LC. Numerical modeling of pore size and distribution in foamed titanium. *Mech Mater*. 2006;38(8):933-944. doi:10.1016/j.mechmat.2005.06.027
24. Zicat B, Engh CA, Gokcen E. Patterns of osteolysis around total hip components inserted with and without cement. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1995;77(3):432-439. doi:10.2106/00004623-199503000-00013
25. Urban RM, Jacobs JJ, Sumner DR, Peters CL, Voss FR, Galante JO. The bone-implant interface of femoral stems with non-circumferential porous coating. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1996;78(7):1068-1081. doi:10.2106/00004623-199607000-00012

26. Emerson RH, Sanders SB, Head WC, Higgins L. Effect of circumferential plasma-spray porous coating on the rate of femoral osteolysis after total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1999;81(9):1291-1298. doi:10.2106/00004623-199909000-00010
27. Sinha RK, Dungy DS, Yeon HB. Primary total hip arthroplasty with a proximally porous-coated femoral stem. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2004;86(6):1254-1261. doi:10.2106/00004623-200406000-00019
28. Khanuja HS, Vakil JJ, Goddard MS, Mont MA. Cementless Femoral Fixation in Total Hip Arthroplasty. *JBJS.* 2011;93(5):500. doi:10.2106/JBJS.J.00774
29. Berry D. *Evolution of Uncemented Femoral Component Design.* In: Pellicci PM, Tria AJ, Garvin KL, Editors. *Orthopaedic Knowledge Update: Hip and Knee Reconstruction 2.* 2nd ed. Rosemont, IL: American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons; 2000.
30. Khanuja HS, Banerjee S, Jain D, Pivec R, Mont MA. Short Bone-Conserving Stems in Cementless Hip Arthroplasty. *JBJS.* 2014;96(20):1742. doi:10.2106/JBJS.M.00780
31. Patel RM, Stulberg SD. The Rationale for Short Uncemented Stems in Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Orthop Clin.* 2014;45(1):19-31. doi:10.1016/j.ocl.2013.08.007
32. Lombardi AV, Berend KR, Adams JB. A short stem solution: through small portals. *Orthopedics.* 2009;32(9). doi:10.3928/01477447-20090728-09
33. Olsen M, Sellan M, Zdero R, Waddell JP, Schemitsch EH. A Biomechanical Comparison of Epiphyseal Versus Metaphyseal Fixed Bone-Conserving Hip Arthroplasty. *JBJS.* 2011;93(Supplement_2):122. doi:10.2106/JBJS.J.01709
34. Morrey BF, Adams RA, Kessler M. A conservative femoral replacement for total hip arthroplasty. A prospective study. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2000;82(7):952-958.
35. Gilbert RE, Salehi-Bird S, Gallacher PD, Shaylor P. The Mayo Conservative Hip: experience from a district general hospital. *Hip Int J Clin Exp Res Hip Pathol Ther.* 2009;19(3):211-214.
36. Ishaque BA, Donle E, Gils J, Wienbeck S, Basad E, Stürz H. [Eight-year results of the femoral neck prosthesis ESKA-CUT]. *Z Orthopadie Unfallchirurgie.* 2009;147(2):158-165. doi:10.1055/s-0029-1185527
37. Leali A, Fetto JF. Preservation of femoral bone mass after total hip replacements with a lateral flare stem. *Int Orthop.* 2004;28(3):151-154. doi:10.1007/s00264-004-0554-1
38. Logroscino G, Ciriello V, D'Antonio E, et al. Bone integration of new stemless hip implants (proxima vs. nanos). A DXA study: preliminary results. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol.* 2011;24(1 Suppl 2):113-116. doi:10.1177/03946320110241S221

39. Gombár C, Janositz G, Friebert G, Sisák K. The DePuy Proxima™ short stem for total hip arthroplasty – Excellent outcome at a minimum of 7 years. *J Orthop Surg.* 2019;27(2):2309499019838668. doi:10.1177/2309499019838668
40. Kim Y-H, Park J-W, Kim J-S. Short-Term Results of Ultra-Short Anatomic vs Ultra-Short Non-Anatomic Proximal Loading Uncemented Femoral Stems. *J Arthroplasty.* 2018;33(1):149-155. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.07.042
41. Kim Y-H, Park J-W, Kim J-S. Is diaphyseal stem fixation necessary for primary total hip arthroplasty in patients with osteoporotic bone (Class C bone)? *J Arthroplasty.* 2013;28(1):139-146.e1. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2012.04.002
42. Kim Y-H, Kim J-S, Joo J-H, Park J-W. A prospective short-term outcome study of a short metaphyseal fitting total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2012;27(1):88-94. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2011.02.008
43. Kim Y-H, Kim J-S, Park J-W, Joo J-H. Total hip replacement with a short metaphyseal-fitting anatomical cementless femoral component in patients aged 70 years or older. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2011;93(5):587-592. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.93B5.25994
44. Gustke K. Short stems for total hip arthroplasty: initial experience with the Fitmore stem. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2012;94(11 Suppl A):47-51. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.94B11.30677
45. Ulivi M, Orlandini LC, Meroni V, Lombardo MDM, Peretti GM. Clinical Performance, Patient Reported Outcome, and Radiological Results of a Short, Tapered, Porous, Proximally Coated Cementless Femoral Stem: Results up to Seven Years of Follow-Up. *J Arthroplasty.* 2018;33(4):1133-1138. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.11.046
46. Grant TW, Lovro LR, Licini DJ, Warth LC, Ziembba-Davis M, Meneghini RM. Cementless Tapered Wedge Femoral Stems Decrease Subsidence in Obese Patients Compared to Traditional Fit-and-Fill Stems. *J Arthroplasty.* 2017;32(3):891-897. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2016.09.023
47. Petis SM, Howard JL, McAuley JP, Somerville L, McCalden RW, MacDonald SJ. Comparing the Long-Term Results of Two Uncemented Femoral Stems for Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2015;30(5):781-785. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2014.07.024
48. Park M-S, Choi B-W, Kim S-J, Park J-H. Plasma spray-coated Ti femoral component for cementless total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2003;18(5):626-630.
49. Zweymüller KA, Lintner FK, Semlitsch MF. Biologic fixation of a press-fit titanium hip joint endoprosthesis. *Clin Orthop.* 1988;(235):195-206.
50. Korovessis P, Droutsas P, Piperos G, Michael A, Baikousis A, Stamatakis M. Course of bone mineral content changes around cementless Zweymüller total hip arthroplasty. A 4-year follow-up study. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 1997;116(1-2):60-65. doi:10.1007/bf00434103

51. Bieger R, Freitag T, Ignatius A, Reichel H, Dürselen L. Primary stability of a shoulderless Zweymüller hip stem: a comparative in vitro micromotion study. *J Orthop Surg.* 2016;11. doi:10.1186/s13018-016-0410-1
52. Russell RD, Pierce W, Huo MH. Tapered vs Cylindrical Stem Fixation in a Model of Femoral Bone Deficiency in Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2016;31(6):1352-1355. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2015.12.008
53. Wagner H, Wagner M. Femur-Revisionsprothese. *Z Für Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb.* 1993;131(6):574-577. doi:10.1055/s-2008-1040074
54. Ko PS, Lam JJ, Tio MK, Lee OB, Ip FK. Distal fixation with Wagner revision stem in treating Vancouver type B2 periprosthetic femur fractures in geriatric patients. *J Arthroplasty.* 2003;18(4):446-452. doi:10.1016/S0883-5403(03)00148-7
55. MacDonald SJ, Rosenzweig S, Guerin JS, et al. Proximally Versus Fully Porous-coated Femoral Stems: A Multicenter Randomized Trial. *Clin Orthop.* 2010;468(2):424-432. doi:10.1007/s11999-009-1092-3
56. Sanli I, Arts JJC, Geurts J. Clinical and Radiologic Outcomes of a Fully Hydroxyapatite-Coated Femoral Revision Stem: Excessive Stress Shielding Incidence and its Consequences. *J Arthroplasty.* 2016;31(1):209-214. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2015.08.037
57. Kwon DG, Lee TJ, Kang JS, Moon KH. Correlation Between Stress Shielding and Clinical Outcomes After Total Hip Arthroplasty with Extensively Porous Coated Stems. *J Arthroplasty.* 2013;28(10):1728-1730. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2013.06.036
58. Barrack RL, Engh G, Rorabeck C, Sawhney J, Woolfrey M. Patient satisfaction and outcome after septic versus aseptic revision total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2000;15(8):990-993. doi:10.1054/arth.2000.16504
59. Rodrigues DC, Urban RM, Jacobs JJ, Gilbert JL. In vivo severe corrosion and hydrogen embrittlement of retrieved modular body titanium alloy hip-implants. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater.* 2009;88B(1):206-219. doi:10.1002/jbm.b.31171
60. De Martino I, Assini JB, Elpers ME, Wright TM, Westrich GH. Corrosion and Fretting of a Modular Hip System: A Retrieval Analysis of 60 Rejuvenate Stems. *J Arthroplasty.* 2015;30(8):1470-1475. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2015.03.010
61. Krishnan H, Krishnan SP, Blunn G, Skinner JA, Hart AJ. Modular neck femoral stems. *Bone Jt J.* 2013;95-B(8):1011-1021. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.95B8.31525
62. Clair AJ, Cizmic Z, Vigdorchik JM, et al. Nonmodular Stems Are a Viable Alternative to Modular Stems in Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2019;34(7, Supplement):S292-S296. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2019.03.007

63. Fitch DA, Ancarani C, Bordini B. Long-term survivorship and complication rate comparison of a cementless modular stem and cementless fixed neck stems for primary total hip replacement. *Int Orthop.* 2015;39(9):1827-1832. doi:10.1007/s00264-015-2894-4
64. Duwelius PJ, Burkhardt B, Carnahan C, et al. Modular versus Nonmodular Neck Femoral Implants in Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty: Which is Better? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2014;472(4):1240-1245. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-3361-4
65. Restrepo C, Mashadi M, Parvizi J, Austin MS, Hozack WJ. Modular Femoral Stems for Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop.* 2011;469(2):476-482. doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1561-8
66. Archibeck MJ, Cummins T, Carothers J, Junick DW, White RE. A Comparison of Two Implant Systems in Restoration of Hip Geometry in Arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2011;469(2):443-446. doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1678-9
67. Lakstein D, Eliaz N, Levi O, et al. Fracture of cementless femoral stems at the mid-stem junction in modular revision hip arthroplasty systems. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2011;93(1):57-65. doi:10.2106/JBJS.I.01589
68. Norman P, Iyengar S, Svensson I, Flivik G. Fatigue Fracture in Dual Modular Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty Stems: Failure Analysis and Computed Tomography Diagnostics in Two Cases. *J Arthroplasty.* 2014;29(4):850-855. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2013.09.008
69. Kop AM, Keogh C, Swarts E. Proximal Component Modularity in THA—At What Cost?: An Implant Retrieval Study. *Clin Orthop.* 2012;470(7):1885-1894. doi:10.1007/s11999-011-2155-9
70. Papapietro N, Di Martino A c., Maranozzi A, Cancilleri F, Denaro V. Mechanical failure of modular hip prostheses due to titanium alloy neck breakage: presentation of a series of five cases. *Orthop Proc.* 2016;98-B(SUPP_9):109-109. doi:10.1302/1358-992X.98BSUPP_9ISTA2015-109
71. Ceretti M, Falez F. Modular titanium alloy neck failure in total hip replacement: analysis of a relapse case. *SICOT-J.* 2. doi:10.1051/sicotj/2016009
72. Grupp TM, Weik T, Bloemer W, Knaebel H-P. Modular titanium alloy neck adapter failures in hip replacement - failure mode analysis and influence of implant material. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2010;11(1):3. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-11-3
73. Wodecki P, Sabbah D, Kermarrec G, Semaan I. New type of hip arthroplasty failure related to modular femoral components: Breakage at the neck-stem junction. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2013;99(6):741-744. doi:10.1016/j.otsr.2013.02.010

74. Aro E, Alm JJ, Moritz N, Mattila K, Aro HT. Good stability of a cementless, anatomically designed femoral stem in aging women: a 9-year RSA study of 32 patients. *Acta Orthop.* 2018;89(5):490-495. doi:10.1080/17453674.2018.1490985
75. Kim Y-H, Park J-W, Kim J-S, Kang J-S. Long-term results and bone remodeling after THA with a short, metaphyseal-fitting anatomic cementless stem. *Clin Orthop.* 2014;472(3):943-950. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-3354-3
76. Kim JT, Yoo JJ. Implant Design in Cementless Hip Arthroplasty. *Hip Pelvis.* 2016;28(2):65-75. doi:10.5371/hp.2016.28.2.65
77. Nakamura S, Arai N, Kobayashi T, Matsushita T. Fixation of an Anatomically Designed Cementless Stem in Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Advances in Orthopedics.* doi:<https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/912058>
78. Ragab AA, Kraay MJ, Goldberg VM. Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes of Total Hip Arthroplasty with Insertion of an Anatomically Designed Femoral Component without Cement for the Treatment of Primary Osteoarthritis. A Study with a Minimum of Six Years of Follow-up*. *JBJS.* 1999;81(2):210–218.
https://journals.lww.com/jbjsjournal/Abstract/1999/02000/Clinical_and_Radiographic_Outcomes_of_Total_Hip.8.aspx. Accessed January 31, 2020.
79. Archibeck MJ, Berger RA, Jacobs JJ, et al. Second-Generation Cementless Total Hip Arthroplasty : Eight to Eleven-Year Results. *JBJS.* 2001;83(11):1666–1673.
https://journals.lww.com/jbjsjournal/Abstract/2001/11000/Second_Generation_Cementless_Total_Hip.8.aspx. Accessed January 31, 2020.
80. Wyatt M, Hooper G, Frampton C, Rothwell A. Survival outcomes of cemented compared to uncemented stems in primary total hip replacement. *World J Orthop.* 2014;5(5):591-596. doi:10.5312/wjo.v5.i5.591
81. Mäkelä KT, Eskelinen A, Paavolaisten P, Pulkkinen P, Remes V. Cementless total hip arthroplasty for primary osteoarthritis in patients aged 55 years and older. *Acta Orthop.* 2010;81(1):42-52. doi:10.3109/17453671003635900
82. Wechter J, Comfort TK, Tatman P, Mehle S, Gioe TJ. Improved Survival of Uncemented versus Cemented Femoral Stems in Patients Aged < 70 Years in a Community Total Joint Registry. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2013;471(11):3588-3595. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-3182-5
83. Berry DJ. Epidemiology: hip and knee. *Orthop Clin North Am.* 1999;30(2):183-190. doi:10.1016/s0030-5898(05)70073-0
84. Springer BD, Etkin CD, Shores PB, Gioe TJ, Lewallen DG, Bozic KJ. Perioperative Periprosthetic Femur Fractures are Strongly Correlated With Fixation Method: an Analysis

From the American Joint Replacement Registry. *J Arthroplasty*. 2019;34(7):S352-S354.
doi:10.1016/j.arth.2019.02.004

85. Ahmad A, Mirza Y, Evans AR, Teoh KH. A Comparative Study Between Uncemented and Hybrid Total Hip Arthroplasty in Octogenarians. *J Arthroplasty*. 2018;33(12):3719-3723.
doi:10.1016/j.arth.2018.08.014
86. Riley SA, Spears JR, Smith LS, et al. Cementless Tapered Femoral Stems for Total Hip Arthroplasty in Octogenarians. *J Arthroplasty*. 2016;31(12):2810-2813.
doi:10.1016/j.arth.2016.05.010
87. Stihsen C, Springer B, Nemecek E, et al. Cementless Total Hip Arthroplasty in Octogenarians. *J Arthroplasty*. 2017;32(6):1923-1929. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.01.029
88. Gkagkalis G, Goetti P, Mai S, et al. Cementless short-stem total hip arthroplasty in the elderly patient - is it a safe option?: a prospective multicentre observational study. *BMC Geriatr*. 2019;19(1):112. doi:10.1186/s12877-019-1123-1
89. Abdel MP, Watts CD, Houdek MT, Lewallen DG, Berry DJ. Epidemiology of periprosthetic fracture of the femur in 32 644 primary total hip arthroplasties: a 40-year experience. *Bone Jt J*. 2016;98-B(4):461-467. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.98B4.37201
90. Epinette J-A, Manley MT. Uncemented stems in hip replacement--hydroxyapatite or plain porous: does it matter? Based on a prospective study of HA Omnifit stems at 15-years minimum follow-up. *Hip Int J Clin Exp Res Hip Pathol Ther*. 2008;18(2):69-74.
91. Lee GY, Srivastava A, D'Lima DD, Pulido PA, Colwell CW. Hydroxyapatite-coated femoral stem survivorship at 10 years. *J Arthroplasty*. 2005;20(7 Suppl 3):57-62.
doi:10.1016/j.arth.2005.04.022
92. Cho J-H, Garino JP, Choo S-K, Han K-Y, Kim J-H, Oh H-K. Seven-year Results of a Tapered, Titanium, Hydroxyapatite-Coated Cementless Femoral Stem in Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Surg*. 2010;2(4):214-220. doi:10.4055/cios.2010.2.4.214
93. Lazarinis S, Kärrholm J, Hailer NP. Effects of hydroxyapatite coating on survival of an uncemented femoral stem. *Acta Orthop*. 2011;82(4):399-404.
doi:10.3109/17453674.2011.597699
94. Hailer NP, Lazarinis S, Mäkelä KT, et al. Hydroxyapatite coating does not improve uncemented stem survival after total hip arthroplasty! *Acta Orthop*. 2015;86(1):18-25.
doi:10.3109/17453674.2014.957088
95. Daniel J, Pradhan C, Ziae H, McMinn DJW. A clinicoradiologic study of the Birmingham Mid-Head Resection device. *Orthopedics*. 2008;31(12 Suppl 2).

96. Rahman L, Muirhead-Allwood SK. The Birmingham mid-head resection arthroplasty-- minimum two year clinical and radiological follow-up: an independent single surgeon series. *Hip Int J Clin Exp Res Hip Pathol Ther.* 2011;21(3):356-360. doi:10.5301/HIP.2011.8407
97. McMinn DJW, Pradhan C, Ziae H, Daniel J. Is mid-head resection a durable conservative option in the presence of poor femoral bone quality and distorted anatomy? *Clin Orthop.* 2011;469(6):1589-1597. doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1739-0
98. Braun A, Sabah A. [Two-year results of a modular short hip stem prosthesis--a prospective study]. *Z Orthopadie Unfallchirurgie.* 2009;147(6):700-706. doi:10.1055/s-0029-1185899
99. Nowak M, Nowak TE, Schmidt R, Forst R, Kress AM, Mueller LA. Prospective study of a cementless total hip arthroplasty with a collum femoris preserving stem and a trabeculae oriented pressfit cup: minimun 6-year follow-up. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2011;131(4):549-555. doi:10.1007/s00402-010-1189-x
100. Wittenberg RH, Steffen R, Windhagen H, Bücking P, Wilcke A. Five-year results of a cementless short-hip-stem prosthesis. *Orthop Rev.* 2013;5(1). doi:10.4081/or.2013.e4
101. Hutt J, Harb Z, Gill I, Kashif F, Miller J, Dodd M. Ten year results of the collum femoris preserving total hip replacement: a prospective cohort study of seventy five patients. *Int Orthop.* 2014;38(5):917-922. doi:10.1007/s00264-013-2212-y
102. Morrey BF. Short-stemmed uncemented femoral component for primary hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop.* 1989;(249):169-175.
103. Falez F, Casella F, Panegrossi G, Favetti F, Barresi C. Perspectives on metaphyseal conservative stems. *J Orthop Traumatol Off J Ital Soc Orthop Traumatol.* 2008;9(1):49-54. doi:10.1007/s10195-008-0105-4
104. Goebel D, Schultz W. The Mayo cementless femoral component in active patients with osteoarthritis. *Hip Int J Clin Exp Res Hip Pathol Ther.* 2009;19(3):206-210.
105. Cruz-Vázquez FJ, De la Rosa-Aguilar M, Gómez-López CA. [Evaluation of the uncemented Mayo femoral stem. The first 10 years]. *Acta Ortop Mex.* 2011;25(2):108-113.
106. Ettinger M, Ettinger P, Lerch M, et al. The NANOS short stem in total hip arthroplasty: a mid term follow-up. *Hip Int J Clin Exp Res Hip Pathol Ther.* 2011;21(5):583-586. doi:10.5301/HIP.2011.8658
107. Gill IR, Gill K, Jayasekera N, Miller J. Medium term results of the collum femoris preserving hydroxyapatite coated total hip replacement. *Hip Int J Clin Exp Res Hip Pathol Ther.* 2008;18(2):75-80.
108. Pons M. Learning curve and short-term results with a short-stem CFP system. *Hip Int J Clin Exp Res Hip Pathol Ther.* 2010;20 Suppl 7:S52-57. doi:10.5301/HIP.2010.4443

109. Briem D, Schneider M, Bogner N, et al. Mid-term results of 155 patients treated with a collum femoris preserving (CFP) short stem prosthesis. *Int Orthop.* 2011;35(5):655-660. doi:10.1007/s00264-010-1020-x
110. Li M, Hu Y, Li K, Liao Q, Wen T, Zhong D. [Mid-term effectiveness of total hip arthroplasty with collum femoris preserving prosthesis]. *Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi Zhongguo Xiufu Chongjian Waike Zazhi Chin J Reparative Reconstr Surg.* 2012;26(8):897-901.
111. Kendoff DO, Citak M, Egidy CC, O'Loughlin PF, Gehrke T. Eleven-year results of the anatomic coated CFP stem in primary total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2013;28(6):1047-1051. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2012.10.013
112. Thorey F, Hoefer C, Abdi-Tabari N, Lerch M, Budde S, Windhagen H. Clinical Results of the Metha Short Hip Stem: A Perspective for Younger Patients? *Orthop Rev.* 2013;5(4). doi:10.4081/or.2013.e34
113. Schnurr C, Schellen B, Dargel J, Beckmann J, Eysel P, Steffen R. Low Short-Stem Revision Rates: 1-11 Year Results From 1888 Total Hip Arthroplasties. *J Arthroplasty.* 2017;32(2):487-493. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2016.08.009
114. Zelle BA, Gerich TG, Bastian L, Shuler FD, Pape H-C, Krettek C. Total hip arthroplasty in young patients using the thrust plate prosthesis: clinical and radiological results. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2004;124(5):310-316. doi:10.1007/s00402-004-0652-y
115. Karatosun V, Gunal I, Unver B. Medium-term results of thrust plate prostheses for osteoarthritis of the hip. *Bull Hosp Jt Dis N Y N.* 2005;63(1-2):28-30.
116. Buergi ML, Stoffel KK, Jacob HAC, Bereiter HH. Radiological findings and clinical results of 102 thrust-plate femoral hip prostheses: a follow-up of 2 to 8 years. *J Arthroplasty.* 2005;20(1):108-117. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2004.09.042
117. Sharma S, Verma G, Draviraj KP, Bhamra M. Early results with the thrust plate prosthesis in young patients with osteoarthritis of the hip. *Acta Orthop Belg.* 2005;71(2):182-188.
118. Fink B, Wessel S, Deuretzbacher G, Protzen M, Ruther W. Midterm results of "thrust plate" prosthesis. *J Arthroplasty.* 2007;22(5):703-710. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2006.12.041
119. Corner JA, Rawoot A, Parmar HV. The thrust plate prosthesis in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the hip. Clinical and radiological outcome with minimum 5-year follow-up. *Hip Int J Clin Exp Res Hip Pathol Ther.* 2008;18(2):88-94.
120. Steens W, v d Daele R, Simon U, Goetze C. Clinical and radiological mid-term results of the thrust plate prosthesis. *Int Orthop.* 2009;33(6):1519-1524. doi:10.1007/s00264-008-0714-9

121. Yasunaga Y, Yamasaki T, Matsuo T, et al. Clinical and radiographical results of 179 thrust plate hip prostheses: 5-14 years follow-up study. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2012;132(4):547-554. doi:10.1007/s00402-011-1434-y
122. Rudert M, Leichtle U, Leichtle C, Thomas W. [Implantation technique for the CUT-type femoral neck endoprosthesis]. *Oper Orthopadie Traumatol.* 2007;19(5-6):458-472. doi:10.1007/s00064-007-1018-4
123. Ender SA, Machner A, Pap G, Hubbe J, Grashoff H, Neumann H-W. Cementless CUT femoral neck prosthesis: increased rate of aseptic loosening after 5 years. *Acta Orthop.* 2007;78(5):616-621. doi:10.1080/17453670710014301
124. Nieuwenhuijse MJ, Valstar ER, Nelissen RGHH. 5-year clinical and radiostereometric analysis (RSA) follow-up of 39 CUT femoral neck total hip prostheses in young osteoarthritis patients. *Acta Orthop.* 2012;83(4):334-341. doi:10.3109/17453674.2012.702392
125. Budde S, Windhagen H, Lerch M, Broese M, Götze P, Thorey F. Clinical and radiological results after implantation of the femoral neck preserving Delfi M hip prosthesis: a case series. *Technol Health Care Off J Eur Soc Eng Med.* 2012;20(2):85-93. doi:10.3233/THC-2011-0659
126. Kim Y-H, Park J-W, Kim J-S. Metaphyseal Engaging Short and Ultra-Short Anatomic Cementless Stems in Young and Active Patients. *J Arthroplasty.* 2016;31(1):180-185. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2015.07.029
127. Santori FS, Santori N. Mid-term results of a custom-made short proximal loading femoral component. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2010;92-B(9):1231-1237. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.92B9.24605
128. Stulberg SD, Dolan M. The short stem: a thinking man's alternative to surface replacement. *Orthopedics.* 2008;31(9):885-886.
129. Patel RM, Smith MC, Woodward CC, Stulberg SD. Stable fixation of short-stem femoral implants in patients 70 years and older. *Clin Orthop.* 2012;470(2):442-449. doi:10.1007/s11999-011-2063-z
130. Patel RM, Lo WM, Cayo MA, Dolan MM, Stulberg SD. Stable, dependable fixation of short-stem femoral implants at 5 years. *Orthopedics.* 2013;36(3):e301-307. doi:10.3928/01477447-20130222-18
131. McLaughlin JR, Lee KR. Total hip arthroplasty with an uncemented tapered femoral component. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2008;90(6):1290-1296. doi:10.2106/JBJS.G.00771

132. McLaughlin JR, Lee KR. Uncemented Total Hip Arthroplasty With a Tapered Femoral Component: A 22- to 26-year Follow-up Study. *Orthopedics*. 2010;33(9). doi:10.3928/01477447-20100722-40
133. McLaughlin JR, Lee KR. Cementless total hip replacement using second-generation components. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2010;92-B(12):1636-1641. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.92B12.24582
134. McLaughlin JR, Lee KR. Long-term results of uncemented total hip arthroplasty with the Taperloc femoral component in patients with Dorr type C proximal femoral morphology. *Bone Jt J*. 2016;98-B(5):595-600. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.98B5.35816
135. Burt CF, Garvin KL, Otterberg ET, Jardon OM. A femoral component inserted without cement in total hip arthroplasty. A study of the Tri-Lock component with an average ten-year duration of follow-up. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1998;80(7):952-960. doi:10.2106/00004623-199807000-00003
136. Pierce TP, Jauregui JJ, Cherian JJ, Elmallah RDK, Robinson K, Mont MA. Prospective Evaluation of Short and Mid-Term Outcomes of Total Hip Arthroplasty Using the AccoladeTM Stem. *HIP Int*. 2015;25(5):447-451. doi:10.5301/hipint.5000238
137. Casper DS, Kim GK, Restrepo C, Parvizi J, Rothman RH. Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty With an Uncemented Femoral Component: Five- to Nine-Year Results. *J Arthroplasty*. 2011;26(6):838-841. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2011.02.010
138. White CA, Carsen S, Rasuli K, Feibel RJ, Kim PR, Beaulé PE. High Incidence of Migration with Poor Initial Fixation of the Accolade® Stem. *Clin Orthop*. 2012;470(2):410-417. doi:10.1007/s11999-011-2160-z
139. Meding JB, Galley MR, Ritter MA. High Survival of Uncemented Proximally Porous-coated Titanium Alloy Femoral Stems in Osteoporotic Bone. *Clin Orthop*. 2010;468(2):441-447. doi:10.1007/s11999-009-1035-z
140. Meding JB, Ritter MA, Keating EM, Berend ME. Twenty-year Followup of an Uncemented Stem in Primary THA. *Clin Orthop*. 2015;473(2):543-548. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3763-y
141. Martino ID, Santis VD, Apolito RD, Sculco PK, Cross MB, Gasparini G. The Synergy cementless femoral stem in primary total hip arthroplasty at a minimum follow-up of 15 years. *Bone Jt J*. 2017;99-B(1):29-36. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.99B1.BJJ-2016-0231.R1
142. Tsubosaka M, Hayashi S, Hashimoto S, Takayama K, Kuroda R, Matsumoto T. Patients with a Dorr type C femoral bone require attention for using a Summit cementless stem: Results of total hip arthroplasty after a minimum follow-up period of 5 years after insertion

- of a Summit cementless stem. *J Orthop Sci.* 2018;23(4):671-675.
doi:10.1016/j.jos.2018.05.001
143. Reigstad O, Siewers P, Røkkum M, Espehaug B. Excellent long-term survival of an uncemented press-fit stem and screw cup in young patients: follow-up of 75 hips for 15-18 years. *Acta Orthop.* 2008;79(2):194-202. doi:10.1080/17453670710014978
144. Suckel A, Geiger F, Kinzl L, Wulker N, Garbrecht M. Long-term results for the uncemented Zweymüller/Alloclassic hip endoprosthesis. A 15-year minimum follow-up of 320 hip operations. *J Arthroplasty.* 2009;24(6):846-853. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2008.03.021
145. Kolb A, Grübl A, Schneckener C-D, et al. Cementless total hip arthroplasty with the rectangular titanium Zweymüller stem: a concise follow-up, at a minimum of twenty years, of previous reports. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2012;94(18):1681-1684.
doi:10.2106/JBJS.K.01574
146. Streit MR, Innmann MM, Merle C, Bruckner T, Aldinger PR, Gotterbarm T. Long-term (20- to 25-year) Results of an Uncemented Tapered Titanium Femoral Component and Factors Affecting Survivorship. *Clin Orthop.* 2013;471(10):3262-3269. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-3033-4
147. Müller LA, Wenger N, Schramm M, Hohmann D, Forst R, Carl H-D. Seventeen-year survival of the cementless CLS Spotorno stem. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2009;130(2):269.
doi:10.1007/s00402-009-0969-7
148. Hartwig C-H, Böhm P, Czech U, Reize P, Küsswetter W. The Wagner revision stem in alloarthroplasty of the hip. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 1996;115(1):5-9.
doi:10.1007/BF00453209
149. Michelinakis E, Papapolychronlou T, Vafiadis J. The use of a cementless femoral component for the management of bone loss in revision hip arthroplasty. *Bull Hosp Jt Dis N Y N.* 1996;55(1):28-32. <http://europepmc.org/abstract/med/8771351>. Accessed September 5, 2019.
150. Schuh A, Schraml A, Hohenberger G. Long-term results of the Wagner cone prosthesis. *Int Orthop.* 2009;33(1):53-58. doi:10.1007/s00264-007-0460-4
151. Regis D, Sandri A, Bonetti I, Braggion M, Bartolozzi P. Femoral revision with the Wagner tapered stem. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2011;93-B(10):1320-1326. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.93B10.25927
152. Marx A, Beier A, Jung L, Lohmann CH, Halder AM. Peri-Prosthetic Femoral Fractures Treated with the Uncemented Wagner Revision Stem. *HIP Int.* 2012;22(3):286-291.
doi:10.5301/HIP.2012.9246

153. Mantelos G, Koulouvaris P, Kotsovolos H, Xenakis T. Consistent New Bone Formation in 95 Revisions: Average 9-Year Follow-up. *Orthopedics*. 2008;31(7). doi:10.3928/01477447-20080701-16
154. Gutiérrez del Alamo J, Garcia-Cimbrelo E, Castellanos V, Gil-Garay E. Radiographic Bone Regeneration and Clinical Outcome With the Wagner SL Revision Stem: A 5-Year to 12-Year Follow-Up Study. *J Arthroplasty*. 2007;22(4):515-524. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2006.04.029
155. Böhm P, Bischel O. The Use of Tapered Stems for Femoral Revision Surgery. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2004;420:148. https://journals.lww.com/clinorthop/Fulltext/2004/03000/The_Use_of_Tapered_Stems_for_Femoral_Revision.21.aspx?casa_token=RWS0gV9GsvoAAAAA:Nfi1V2Ix5_vGjGsdXqA-mmGyjJZr6IDZ5mJ-ru1nTuIlZec_VVPKeIzz1qNGme5QyteHlD9wpukwI_Xi70ZJIaw. Accessed August 29, 2019.
156. Baktır A, Karaaslan F, Gencer K, Karaoğlu S. Femoral Revision Using the Wagner SL Revision Stem: A Single-Surgeon Experience Featuring 11–19 Years of Follow-Up. *J Arthroplasty*. 2015;30(5):827-834. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2014.12.024
157. Sandiford NA, Garbuz DS, Masri BA, Duncan CP. Nonmodular Tapered Fluted Titanium Stems Osseointegrate Reliably at Short Term in Revision THAs. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2017;475(1):186-192. doi:10.1007/s11999-016-5091-x
158. Belmont PJ, Powers CC, Beykirch SE, Hopper RH, Engh CA, Engh CA. Results of the anatomic medullary locking total hip arthroplasty at a minimum of twenty years. A concise follow-up of previous reports. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2008;90(7):1524-1530. doi:10.2106/JBJS.G.01142
159. Krishnamurthy AB, MacDonald SJ, Paprosky WG. 5- to 13-year follow-up study on cementless femoral components in revision surgery. *J Arthroplasty*. 1997;12(8):839-847.
160. Hennessy DW, Callaghan JJ, Liu SS. Second-generation extensively porous-coated THA stems at minimum 10-year followup. *Clin Orthop*. 2009;467(9):2290-2296. doi:10.1007/s11999-009-0831-9
161. Pattyn C, Mulliez A, Verdonk R, Audenaert E. Revision hip arthroplasty using a cementless modular tapered stem. *Int Orthop*. 2012;36(1):35-41. doi:10.1007/s00264-011-1299-2
162. Biant LC, Bruce WJM, Assini JB, Walker PM, Walsh WR. The anatomically difficult primary total hip replacement: medium- to long-term results using a cementless modular stem. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2008;90(4):430-435. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.90B4.19718

163. Cameron HU, Keppler L, McTigue T. The role of modularity in primary total hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2006;21(4 Suppl 1):89-92. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2006.02.085
164. Weiss RJ, Beckman MO, Enocson A, Schmalholz A, Stark A. Minimum 5-year follow-up of a cementless, modular, tapered stem in hip revision arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty*. 2011;26(1):16-23. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2009.11.009
165. Rodriguez JA, Deshmukh AJ, Robinson J, et al. Reproducible fixation with a tapered, fluted, modular, titanium stem in revision hip arthroplasty at 8–15 years follow-up. *J Arthroplasty*. 2014;29(9, Supplement):214-218. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2013.12.035
166. Fink B, Urbansky K, Schuster P. Mid term results with the curved modular tapered, fluted titanium Revitan stem in revision hip replacement. *Bone Jt J*. 2014;96-B(7):889-895. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.96B7.33280
167. Ovesen O, Emmeluth C, Hofbauer C, Overgaard S. Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty Using a Modular Tapered Stem With Distal Fixation: Good Short-Term Results in 125 Revisions. *J Arthroplasty*. 2010;25(3):348-354. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2008.11.106
168. Lakstein D, Backstein D, Safir O, Kosashvili Y, Gross AE. Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty with a Porous-coated Modular Stem: 5 to 10 Years Followup. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2010;468(5):1310-1315. doi:10.1007/s11999-009-0937-0
169. Jibodh SR, Schwarzkopf R, Anthony SG, Malchau H, Dempsey KE, Estok DM. Revision Hip Arthroplasty with A Modular Cementless Stem: Mid-Term Follow Up. *J Arthroplasty*. 2013;28(7):1167-1172. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2012.07.031
170. Van Houwelingen AP, Duncan CP, Masri BA, Greidanus NV, Garbuz DS. High survival of modular tapered stems for proximal femoral bone defects at 5 to 10 years followup. *Clin Orthop*. 2013;471(2):454-462. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2552-8
171. Sivananthan S, Lim C-T, Narkbunnam R, Sox-Harris A, Huddleston JI, Goodman SB. Revision Hip Arthroplasty Using a Modular, Cementless Femoral Stem: Intermediate-Term Follow-Up. *J Arthroplasty*. 2017;32(4):1245-1249. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2016.10.033
172. Munro JT, Garbuz DS, Masri BA, Duncan CP. Tapered Fluted Titanium Stems in the Management of Vancouver B2 and B3 Periprosthetic Femoral Fractures. *Clin Orthop*. 2014;472(2):590-598. doi:10.1007/s11999-013-3087-3
173. Stimac JD, Boles J, Parkes N, Gonzalez Della Valle A, Boettner F, Westrich GH. Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty With Modular Femoral Stems. *J Arthroplasty*. 2014;29(11):2167-2170. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2014.06.015
174. Holt G, McCaul J, Jones B, Ingram R, Stark A. Outcome After Femoral Revision Using the Restoration Cone/Conical Femoral Revision Stem. *Orthopedics*. 2011;34(1):11-11. doi:10.3928/01477447-20101123-02

175. Abdel MP, Cottino U, Larson DR, Hanssen AD, Lewallen DG, Berry DJ. Modular Fluted Tapered Stems in Aseptic Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty. *JBJS*. 2017;99(10):873. doi:10.2106/JBJS.16.00423
176. Kim YH, Kim JS, Cho SH. Primary total hip arthroplasty with a cementless porous-coated anatomic total hip prosthesis: 10- to 12-year results of prospective and consecutive series. *J Arthroplasty*. 1999;14(5):538-548.
177. Kim Y-H, Kim J-S, Park J-W, Joo J-H. Comparison of total hip replacement with and without cement in patients younger than 50 years of age. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 2011;93-B(4):449-455. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.93B4.26149
178. Takenaga RK, Callaghan JJ, Bedard NA, Liu SS, Klaassen AL, Pedersen DR. Cementless Total Hip Arthroplasty in Patients Fifty Years of Age or Younger: A Minimum Ten-Year Follow-up. *JBJS*. 2012;94(23):2153. doi:10.2106/JBJS.L.00011
179. Kim Y-H, Park J-W, Kim J-S, Kim I-W. Twenty-Five- to Twenty-Seven-Year Results of a Cemented vs a Cementless Stem in the Same Patients Younger Than 50 Years of Age. *J Arthroplasty*. 2016;31(3):662-667. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2015.09.045
180. Yuasa T, Maezawa K, Nozawa M, Kaneko K. Cementless total hip arthroplasty in patients aged \geq 80 years. *J Orthop*. 2016;13(1):29-32. doi:10.1016/j.jor.2015.12.007
181. Vidalain J-P. Twenty-year results of the cementless Corail stem. *Int Orthop*. 2011;35(2):189-194. doi:10.1007/s00264-010-1117-2
182. Jacquot L, Bonnin MP, Machenaud A, Chouteau J, Saffarini M, Vidalain J-P. Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes at 25-30 Years of a Hip Stem Fully Coated With Hydroxylapatite. *J Arthroplasty*. 2018;33(2):482-490. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.09.040
183. Flecher X, Pearce O, Parratte S, Aubaniac J-M, Argenson J-N. Custom Cementless Stem Improves Hip Function in Young Patients at 15-year Followup. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2010;468(3):747-755. doi:10.1007/s11999-009-1045-x