Copyright © By The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Hoellwarth, Jason Shih et al.

Periprosthetic Femur Fractures in Osseointegration Amputees

http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.CC.21.00778

1 of 2

September 1, 2022

Traction and Closed Reduction Technique for Periprosthetic Femur Fractures in Osseointegration Amputees

Robin Atallah

Resident orthopaedic surgeon Radboudumc Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Other Contributors:

Jan Paul Frölke

Orthopedic traumasurgeon Radboudumc Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Hoellwarth et al. have written an interesting case report on a technique of applying closed reduction and traction in individuals with a periprosthetic fracture proximal to a femoral osseointegration prosthesis to allow operative fixation of the fracture [ref. 1]. Hip fractures are common in individuals with above knee amputation from increased risk factors such as osteopenia and risk of falling (ref?). The technique to perform traction and (closed) reduction in patients with an above knee amputation is challenging due to the lack of an intact lower leg and foot to mount the traction table in combination with a short amputation stump. These periprosthetic fractures also occur in individuals with a proximal femur fracture who have undergone treatment with a bone anchored prosthesis using an osseointegration implant in the past and may undergo standard surgical treatment when indicated [ref. 1 and 2.]. These individuals however have the unique option of simply clicking on the artificial leg in the operating room and then mounting the traction table in a standard manner as if it were an intact leg to allow traction and closed reduction [see figure]. We have successfully performed surgical fracture treatments on 10 patients with the placement of DHS, angled blade plate and/or cannulated screws using this technique since 2014. Compared to the technique described by Hoellwarth et al., this offers the advantage of a situation corresponding almost completely to the conventional treatment of a proximal femur fracture in people without a leg amputation. One challenge remains, as stated by Hoellwarth et al, related to the limited bone space, ultimately rendering intramedullary fixating devices obsolete. Figure: Intraoperative photo of traction with artificial limb.jpg

Disclaimer: e-Letters represent the opinions of the individual authors and are not copy-edited or verified by JBJS.

References

- 1. Hoellwarth JS, Rozbruch SR. Periprosthetic Femur Fractures in Osseointegration Amputees. A Report of 2 Cases Using a Modified Traction Technique. JBJS Case Connect. 2022 Aug 25;12(3).
- 2. Hoellwarth JS, Tetsworth K, Kendrew J, Kang NV, van Waes O, Al-Maawi Q, Roberts C, Al Muderis M. Periprosthetic osseointegration fractures are infrequent and management is familiar. Bone Joint J. 2020 Feb;102-B(2):162-169
- 3. Atallah R, Leijendekkers RA, Hoogeboom TJ, Frölke JP. Complications of bone-anchored prostheses for individuals with an extremity amputation: A

Copyright © By The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Hoellwarth, Jason Shih et al.

Periprosthetic Femur Fractures in Osseointegration Amputees http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.CC.21.00778
2 of 2

systematic review. PLoS One. 2018 Aug 9;13(8):e0201821

Conflict of Interest: None Declared