

April 3, 2023

Commentary on the current concepts review “Lateral Patellar Instability” (105:397-409, March 2023), by Drapeau-Zgoralski et al.

Nicola Maffulli

Professor

Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry, University of Salerno, 84081 Baronissi, Italy; School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Keele University Faculty of Medicine, Stoke on Trent ST4 7QB, UK; Centre for Sports and Exercise Medicine, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Mile End Hospital, Queen Mary University of London, London E1 4DG, UK

Other Contributors:

Filippo Migliorini

Medical Doctor

Department of Orthopaedic, Trauma, and Reconstructive Surgery, RWTH University Medical Centre, 52074 Aachen, Germany

We read with great interest the Current Concepts Review “Lateral Patellar Instability” (105:397-409, March 2023), by Drapeau-Zgoralski et al. (1). The authors should be congratulated for their learned overview of this subject. However, we are somewhat surprised that Drapeau-Zgoralski et al. did not mention the role of the medial patellotibial ligament (MPTL) and medial patellomeniscal ligament (MPML), which are considered secondary restraints of patellar stability (2). Combined MPFL and MPTL using an ipsilateral autologous gracilis tendon with soft tissue fixation in recurrent patellar dislocation 3 is effective and feasible, with satisfactory results at a minimum of 2.5 years (3).

Lateral retinaculum release in isolation is indicated in patients with lateral patellar compression syndrome to relieve the overload of the lateral articular facet and restore patellar tracking, reducing the forces required to displace the patella 10 mm laterally approximately by 10-20% within the range from 0 to 30 degrees flexion (4). Lateral retinaculum release is associated with complications, including iatrogenic medial patellar instability and quadriceps muscle weakening by excessive tissue release in a linear fashion, whereas shorter release may lead to surgical failure (4).

Indeed, lateral retinaculum release can be performed in combination with MPFL reconstruction (1). However, the role of lateral retinaculum release in patellofemoral instability remains unclear and debated (5). Studies analysing results after MPFL reconstruction seem promising, but they are heterogeneous in indication, and produce uncertain evidence (6, 7). Lateral retinaculum release is performed (without clear recommendations) to re-centralize the patella in its physiological location. Unfortunately, such procedure increases patellar instability by decreasing the pressure in the lateral patellar compartment, reducing the ability of dynamic stabilizers to maintain patellar tracking, and reducing the contact pressure of the patella over the trochlea. Even in presence of axial patellar tracking, the tendency of the patella to lateralize could be increased. A recent systematic review on 63 articles (2131 knees) comparing the outcomes of patients who underwent MPFL in isolation or in combination with lateral retinaculum release concluded that there is

no evidence in support of this procedure in patients with recurrent patellofemoral instability (8).

Drapeau-Zgoralski et al. (1) discussed the MRI findings of the knees of patients following patellar dislocation, referring to two studies according to which the rate of chondral injuries following patellar dislocation is between 39 and 79%. These two studies were published in the 1990s, and were based on a total of 120 patients (9, 10). The last decade has seen several investigations on the incidence and characterization of chondral defects at imaging after first-time patellar dislocation. A recent imaging study found that the MPFL was injured in 92% of 175 patients after a first-time acute patellar dislocation (11). Chondral damage was frequent at the patellar crest, followed by the lateral femoral epicondyle (11). No association was found between patella alta, sulcus angle, Q angle, TT-TG distance, trochlear and patellar dysplasia and soft tissue damage (11). These data agree with a previous systematic review on 42 articles (2254 patients) which evidenced that almost the totality of patients following first-time patellar dislocation already exhibit tears of MPFL (98%) and chondral damages (89%) at imaging and diagnostic arthroscopy (12).

Controversies regarding the frequency, extent, and location of chondral lesions in patients with recurrent patellar dislocation managed conservatively abound (13-15). The frequency, location, and extent of chondral damage in patients with recurrent patellofemoral instability have been recently evaluated in several imaging studies. Overall, 84.8% of patients suffering from recurrent patellar dislocations demonstrated patellar chondral defects, with similar incidence in the medial facet and patellar crest, and 27.8% of patients demonstrated trochlear chondral injuries (16).

Drapeau-Zgoralski et al. (1) touched upon the chondral procedures which could be performed in patients with chondral defects caused by patellar dislocation, briefly describing management indications and modalities. Fixation methods have been recently criticized. Suturing does allow to better stabilise the membrane, but it produces partial-thickness lesions of the articular cartilage. These fissures may not heal, and enlarge with time (17, 18). Initially, it was recommended that all the membrane procedures were to be fixed using sutures (19). Suturing induced severe local cartilage impairment which may lead to pain, reduced healing and premature osteoarthritis (20). A recent systematic review of 26 studies (1539 procedures) found that no membrane fixation for matrix-induced autologous chondrocyte implantation (mACI) in the knee scored better than the fastening techniques at midterm follow-up (21). Drapeau-Zgoralski et al. (1) cited the use of particulated juvenile cartilage allograft, but this procedure has been poorly evaluated in the knee. Drapeau-Zgoralski et al. (1) did not mention the clinical trials which exclusively focused on the management of isolated chondral defects of the patellofemoral joint. Drapeau-Zgoralski et al. concluded that these procedures are burdened by a high reoperation rate, with a weighted rate of 35%. In this context, we respectfully point out that a recent systematic review evaluated the outcome and complication rate of the surgical management of isolated chondral defects of the patellofemoral joint (22). All the PROMs improved from baseline to the last follow-up (22). The rate of hypertrophy was 5.6%, the rate of progression to total knee arthroplasty was 2.4%, the rate of revision was 16.9%, and the rate of failure was 13.0% (22). On the other hand, the authors did not discuss the efficacy of more new surgical strategies to restore chondral injuries, including autologous matrix-induced chondrogenesis (AMIC). In AMIC, a resorbable membrane is used to stabilize the clot and keep it stable in the joint cavity (23, 24). Different from other chondral procedures, AMIC does not necessitate to harvest any autologous tissue and is performed in a single session surgery. The management of patellar chondral defects with AMIC on 38 patients resulted in a rate of revision of 4% at a mean of 41 months follow-up.

Much is made of the use of femoral bony fixation when reconstructing the MPFL, and of the necessity to identify Schottle's point radiographically (25) to ensure physiometricity of the reconstruction. The authors mention that the tendon of adductor magnus can be an alternative: identification of the tendon when it inserts on the adductor tubercle

is straightforward if the surgeon is acquainted with the local anatomy, does not require ionising radiations, does not need implants, and the dissection is no more extensive of identified a bony landmark which then necessitates confirmation with radiography. An elegant randomised controlled trial has shown no difference in re-dislocation rate, clinical outcome and patient satisfaction when compared to tunnel fixation (26).

In conclusion, we strongly agree with Drapeau-Zgoralski et al. (1) that the management of patellofemoral instability is complex. A current concepts review can only cover some of the issues on this fascinating subject, and we look forward to more, and of greater depth, state of the art viewpoints on the subject.

Disclaimer: e-Letters represent the opinions of the individual authors and are not copy-edited or verified by JBJS.

References

1. Drapeau-Zgoralski V, Swift B, Caines A, Kerrigan A, Carsen S, Pickell M. Lateral Patellar Instability. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2023 Mar 1;105(5):397-409. Epub 2023/02/03.
2. Aicale R, Maffulli N. Combined medial patellofemoral and medial patellotibial reconstruction for patellar instability: a PRISMA systematic review. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2020 Nov 12;15(1):529. Epub 2020/11/14.
3. Maffulli N, Aicale R, D'Addona A, Young DA, Kader DF, Oliva F. Combined medial patellofemoral and patellotibial reconstruction with soft tissue fixation in recurrent patellar dislocation. *Injury.* 2020 Aug;51(8):1867-73. Epub 2020/06/26.
4. Migliorini F, Luring C, Eschweiler J, Baroncini A, Driessen A, Spiezia F, et al. Isolated Arthroscopic Lateral Retinacular Release for Lateral Patellar Compression Syndrome. *Life (Basel).* 2021 Mar 30;11(4). Epub 2021/04/04.
5. Fonseca L, Kawatake EH, Pochini AC. Lateral patellar retinacular release: changes over the last ten years. *Rev Bras Ortop.* 2017 Jun-Jul;52(4):442-9. Epub 2017/09/09.
6. Clifton R, Ng CY, Nutton RW. What is the role of lateral retinacular release? *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2010 Jan;92(1):1-6. Epub 2010/01/02.
7. Sanchis-Alfonso V, Montesinos-Berry E. Is lateral retinacular release still a valid surgical option? From release to lengthening. *Ann Transl Med.* 2015 Nov;3(19):301. Epub 2015/12/24.
8. Migliorini F, Maffulli N, Eschweiler J, Quack V, Tingart M, Driessen A. Lateral retinacular release combined with MPFL reconstruction for patellofemoral instability: a systematic review. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2021 Feb;141(2):283-92. Epub 2020/12/15.
9. Nietosvaara Y, Aalto K, Kallio PE. Acute patellar dislocation in children: incidence and associated osteochondral fractures. *J Pediatr Orthop.* 1994 Jul-Aug;14(4):513-5. Epub 1994/07/01.
10. Stanitski CL, Paletta GA, Jr. Articular cartilage injury with acute patellar dislocation in adolescents. Arthroscopic and radiographic correlation. *Am J Sports Med.* 1998 Jan-Feb;26(1):52-5. Epub 1998/02/25.
11. Migliorini F, Pilone M, Eschweiler J, Marsilio E, Hildebrand F, Maffulli N. High Rates of Damage to the Medial Patellofemoral Ligament, Lateral Trochlea and Patellar Crest after Acute Patellar Dislocation: MRI Analysis. *Arthroscopy.* 2022 Feb 11. Epub 2022/02/15.
12. Migliorini F, Marsilio E, Cuzzo F, Oliva F, Eschweiler J, Hildebrand F, et al. Chondral and Soft Tissue Injuries Associated to Acute Patellar Dislocation: A Systematic Review. *Life (Basel).* 2021 Dec 8;11(12). Epub 2021/12/25.
13. Sanders TG, Paruchuri NB, Zlatkin MB. MRI of osteochondral defects of the lateral femoral condyle: incidence and pattern of injury after transient lateral dislocation of the patella. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2006 Nov;187(5):1332-7. Epub 2006/10/24.
14. von Engelhardt LV, Raddatz M, Bouillon B, Spahn G, David A, Haage P, et al. How reliable is MRI in diagnosing cartilaginous lesions in patients with first and recurrent lateral patellar dislocations? *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2010 Jul 5;11:149. Epub 2010/07/07.
15. Guerrero P, Li X, Patel K, Brown M, Busconi B. Medial patellofemoral ligament injury patterns and associated pathology in lateral patella dislocation: an MRI study. *Sports Med Arthrosc Rehabil Ther Technol.* 2009 Jul 30;1(1):17. Epub 2009/08/01.
16. Migliorini F, Marsilio E, Oliva F, Eschweiler J, Hildebrand F, Maffulli N. Chondral injuries in patients with recurrent patellar dislocation: a systematic review. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2022 Jan 31;17(1):63. Epub 2022/02/02.
17. Walker EA, Verner A, Flannery CR, Archer CW. Cellular responses of embryonic hyaline cartilage to experimental wounding in vitro. *J Orthop Res.* 2000 Jan;18(1):25-34. Epub 2000/03/15.
18. Hunziker EB, Quinn TM. Surgical removal of articular cartilage leads to loss of chondrocytes from cartilage bordering the wound edge. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2003;85-A Suppl 2:85-92. Epub 2003/05/02.
19. Anders S, Volz M, Frick H, Gellissen J. A Randomized, Controlled Trial Comparing Autologous Matrix-Induced Chondrogenesis (AMIC(R)) to Microfracture: Analysis of 1- and 2-Year Follow-Up Data of 2 Centers. *Open Orthop J.* 2013;7:133-43. Epub 2013/06/05.
20. Hunziker EB, Stahli A. Surgical suturing of articular cartilage induces osteoarthritis-like changes. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2008 Sep;16(9):1067-73. Epub 2008/03/01.
21. Migliorini F, Vaishya R, Bell A, Weber CD, Gotze C, Maffulli N. Fixation of the Membrane during Matrix-Induced Autologous Chondrocyte

Implantation in the Knee: A Systematic Review. *Life* (Basel). 2022 Oct 27;12(11). Epub 2022/11/12.

22. Migliorini F, Baroncini A, Bell A, Weber C, Hildebrand F, Maffulli N. Surgical strategies for chondral defects of the patellofemoral joint: a systematic review. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2022 Dec 5;17(1):524. Epub 2022/12/06.

23. Migliorini F, Eschweiler J, Maffulli N, Schenker H, Baroncini A, Tingart M, et al. Autologous Matrix-Induced Chondrogenesis (AMIC) and Microfractures for Focal Chondral Defects of the Knee: A Medium-Term Comparative Study. *Life* (Basel). 2021 Feb 25;11(3). Epub 2021/03/07.

24. Migliorini F, Maffulli N, Baroncini A, Bell A, Hildebrand F, Schenker H. Autologous matrix-induced chondrogenesis is effective for focal chondral defects of the knee. *Sci Rep*. 2022 Jun 4;12(1):9328. Epub 2022/06/07.

25. Schottle PB, Schmeling A, Rosenstiel N, Weiler A. Radiographic landmarks for femoral tunnel placement in medial patellofemoral ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med*. 2007 May;35(5):801-4. Epub 2007/02/03.

26. Lind M, Nielsen T, Miller L, Sorensen OG, Mygind-Klavsen B, Fauno P. No Difference in Outcome Between Femoral Soft-Tissue and Screw Graft Fixation for Reconstruction of the Medial Patellofemoral Ligament: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Arthroscopy* 2019 35;(4):1130-1137.

Conflict of Interest: None Declared