

Lakstein et al. (2010) ³⁸	+/+	+/+	-/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	NA/+	+/+	Fair/good	Good
Lakstein et al. (2010) ³⁶	+/+	+/+	-/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	Good/good	Good
Lindgren and Svenson (1988) ³⁹	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	NA/NA	-/+	Fair/good	Good
Mardones et al. (2005) ⁴⁰	+/+	+/+	+/-	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	NA/NA	+/+	Good/good	Good
McCarthy et al. (1999) ⁴¹	+/+	-/-	-/+	CD/CD	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	Fair/fair	Fair
Morshed et al. (2005) ⁴²	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	Good/good	Good
Nercessian et al. (1996) ⁴³	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	Good/good	Good
Nezry et al. (2003) ⁴⁴	+/+	+/+	+ /NR	+/+	+/+	-/-	+/+	NA/NA	-/+	Fair/fair	Fair
Nicholson et al. (2001) ⁶³	+/+	+/+	-/+	+/+	+/+	-/-	+/+	NA/NA	+/+	Fair/fair	Fair
Nikolopoulos et al. (2010; abstract) ⁴⁵	+/+	+/+	+ /NR	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	NA/NA	-/+	Fair/good	Good
Ozan et al. (2014) ⁴⁶	+/+	+/+	+/-	+/+	+/+	-/-	+/+	+/+	-/+	Fair/fair	Fair
Panousis et al. (2004) ⁴⁷	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/-	+/+	NA/NA	+/+	Good/fair	Good
Patel et al. (2012) ⁴⁸	+/+	+/+	+ /NR	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	Good/good	Good
Ritter et al. (1991) ⁴⁹	-/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	-/-	Fair/fair	Fair
Schutzer and Harris (1988) ⁵⁰	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/-	+/+	NA/NA	+/+	Good/fair	Good
Silverton et al. (1996) ⁵²	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	NA/NA	+/+	Good/good	Good
Stefanich and Jabbur (1988) ⁵³	+/+	+/-	+ /NR	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	-/+	+/+	Fair/good	Good
Stewart et al. (2017) ⁵⁴	+/+	+/+	+/-	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	Good/good	Good
Takahira et al. (2010) ⁵⁵	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	Good/good	Good
Tetreault and McGrory (2016) ⁵⁷	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	Good/good	Good
Vicar and Coleman (1984) ⁵⁸	+/+	+/+	+/-	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	Good/good	Good
Volz and Turner (1975) ⁶⁵	-/-	-/-	NR/NR	CD/CD	+/+	-/-	NR/NR	NA/NA	-/-	Poor/poor	Poor (excluded)
Wang et al. (2005) ⁵⁹	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	NA/NA	+/+	Good/good	Good
Weaver (1975) ⁶⁰	+/+	-/-	NR/NR	CD/CD	+/+	+/+	+/+	NA/NA	+/+	Poor/fair	Fair
Whiteside (2006) ⁶⁴	-/-	-/-	NR/-	CD/CD	+/+	+/-	NR/NR	NA/NA	-/-	Poor/poor	Poor (excluded)
Zarin et al. (2009) ⁶²	+/+	+/+	+ /NR	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	Good/good	Good

*+ = yes, - = no, CD = cannot determine, NA = not applicable, and NR = not reported.

TABLE E-2 Quality Assessment of Included Cohort Studies Using the NIH Quality Assessment Tool*

	Responses (Evaluator 1/Evaluator 2)				
	Emerson et al. (2001) ²⁰	Kelley and Johnston (1992) ³³	Shah and Prasad (2003) ⁵¹	Teanby et al. (1996) ⁵⁶	Wroblewski and Shelley (1985) ⁶¹
Item 1	-/+	+/+	+/+	-/+	+/-
Item 2	+/+	+/-	+/+	+/+	+/-
Item 3	NA/NR	NA/NR	NA/NR	NA/NR	NA/NR
Item 4	-/-	-/-	NR/+	NR/+	NR/-
Item 5	+/-	+/-	-/-	-/-	-/-
Item 6	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+
Item 7	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+
Item 8	NA/NR	NA/NR	NA/NR	NA/NR	NA/NR
Item 9	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+
Item 10	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-
Item 11	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+	+/+
Item 12	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-
Item 13	+/+	+/+	+ /NR	+ /NR	NR/NR
Item 14	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-	-/-
Overall quality	Fair/good	Good/fair	Good/good	Fair/good	Fair/fair
Consensus	Good	Good	Good	Fair	Fair

*— = no, + = yes, NA = not applicable, and NR = not reported.