**Appendix 2.** Description of the modifications made during the confirmatory factor analysis (CFA)

The base model had poor fit according to all indices (Χ2=1355, RMSEA=0.096, CFI=0.921, Table 3). In the first modification, two items (20 and 24) were dropped because of high modification indices indicating cross-loading onto all other factors in addition to the factors on which they were intended to load. The fit of this model improved moderately but was still inadequate (Χ2=950, RMSEA=0.085, CFI=0.945). In the second and third modifications, one item was dropped in each because of high modification indices indicating it loaded onto three other factors and was highly correlated with another item (item 4 in modification 2 and item 19 in modification 3). These modifications slightly improved model fit (modification 2: Χ2=810, RMSEA=0.081, CFI=0.950; modification 3: Χ2=713, RMSEA=0.079, CFI=0.954). In the fourth modification, two covariance terms were added, one between items 2 and 6 and the other between items 8 and 14.The model fit after this modification was adequate on all indices (Χ2=637, RMSEA=0.074, CFI=0.961). In the last (fifth) modification, item 15 was dropped because of cross-loading onto all other factors. The model fit only improved slightly (Χ2=569, RMSEA=0.073, CFI=0.965), but the item remained excluded in the final model solution based on parsimony (i.e., being able to measure the construct sufficiently with the fewest number of items possible). The final measure had six scales: awareness of EBDM (3 items), capacity for EBDM (7 items), resources availability (3 items), evaluation capacity (3 items), EBDM climate cultivation (3 items), and partnerships to support EBDM (3 items), with a total of 22 items.