**Supplementary Table. Quality and Impact of Component Evidence Rating Categories**

| **Category** | **Evidence Base Description** |
| --- | --- |
| **Best** | Most often, this type of evidence base includes:   * At least one empirical study: * Directly or indirectly linking the component to mostly positive actual outcomes relevant to health and equity, reach, and/or efficiency across two or more distinctly different regions of the United States, and/or * Published in a credible journal * Several research and practice-based studies, as well as a large amount of translational evidence, and/or * Evidence from a public health authority (e.g., Institute for Medicine) recommending the component based on narrative or systematic review |
| **Promising (Quality)** | Most often, this type of evidence base includes:   * At least one empirical research study published in a credible journal directly or indirectly projecting a positive expected outcome relevant to health, * Many narrative reviews or commentaries suggesting the component based on translation of research-based and practice-based studies and/or practice-based knowledge and experience, and/or * Evidence from a public health authority suggesting the component based on narrative review |
| **Promising (Impact)** | Most often, this type of evidence base includes:   * At least one empirical study which directly links a component to a positive actual outcome relevant to health and equity, reach, and/or efficiency * This study likely took place across two or more distinctly different regions of the United States. * However, its design may not have been rigorous, the report may have not come from a source with known credibility, and there may be little to no evidence from research, translation, or practice to confirm positive outcomes. |
| **Emerging** | Most often, this type of evidence base includes:   * At least one commentary, narrative review, or predictive study directly or indirectly suggesting positive expected outcomes relevant to health, equity, reach, and/or efficiency * However, evidence on actual outcomes is still needed. |

Source: Sharada Shantharam and Colleen Barbero, CDC, for use in legal epidemiology articles that reference QuIC. Permission obtained for use.