**Supplemental Figure 2-** Summary forest-plot of APA relapse prevalence without considering the specific time-to-event follow-up; in this case data were stratified by type of conservative treatment and by aggregated and not aggregated. Case series with less than five cases and individual case reports were aggregated.

Study	Rec. Cases	Proportion CI 95% Weight
Level = Non-aggregated – Histeros Chen 2017 Grimbizis 2017 Random effects model Heterogeneity: $J^2 = 0\%$ , $\chi_1^2 = 0.51$ ( $\rho = 0.5$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.10[0.00; 0.45]10.5%0.22[0.03; 0.60]14.5%0.17[0.05; 0.41]25.0%
Level = Aggregated – Histeroscopy Aggregated case reports 2003–2018 Random effects model Heterogeneity: not applicable		0.25 [0.07; 0.52] 19.3% 0.25 [0.10; 0.51] 19.3%
Level = Non-aggregated – DCP Fukunaga 1995 Nomura 2016 Ohishi 2008 Random effects model Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 67\%$ , $\chi^2_2 = 6.03$ ( $p = 0$	0 5	0.00[0.00; 0.52]6.5%0.67[0.41; 0.87]21.2%0.20[0.01; 0.72]9.7%0.33[0.07; 0.78]37.3%
Level = Aggregated – DCP Aggregated case reports 1981–2012 Random effects model Heterogeneity: not applicable	4 12 <u>-</u> 4 12 <u>-</u>	0.33 [0.10; 0.65] 18.4% 0.33 [0.13; 0.62] 18.4%
<b>Random effects model</b> Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 52\%$ , $\chi_6^2 = 12.38$ ( $p =$	<b>24 75 26 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75</b>	0.29 [0.15; 0.48] 100.0%