**Supplementary DATA**

**Metabolic implications of diet and energy intake during physical inactivity**

*Nathan C. Winn1, Ryan Pettit-Mee1,Lauren K. Walsh1, Robert M. Restaino2, Sean T. Ready1, Jaume Padilla1,3,4, Jill A. Kanaley1*


*1Department of Nutrition and Exercise Physiology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO.*

*2Department of Medical Pharmacology and Physiology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO.*

*3Dalton Cardiovascular Research Center, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO.*

*4Department of Child Health, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO.*

**Supplementary Figure 1**

****

**Supplementary Figure 1. Effect of physical inactivity on free-living glycemic control in response to a Control diet and Higher-protein diet.** Physically active and physically inactive A) Mean 24-hour CGM-measured glucose excursions from a single day and B) CGM-measured glucose AUCs during the Control diet and High-protein diet conditions. Glucose excursions are presented as means with 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) (Active 95%CI – solid line; Inactive 95%CI – dashed line). Gray shaded area represents nighttime. AUC data are means ± SEM. AUC, area under the curve. Paired-samples t tests were run to compare Active and Inactive CGM-measured glucose excursions. n=7/Control diet; n=9/Higher protein diet. \**P*<0.05 vs Active.