1	Supplemental Digital Content 1
2	Questionnaire items vs PRS _{measured} in explaining variation in objectively
3	measured PA
	measureu I A
4	
5	Methods: In the MOBILETWIN study (1) comprehensive data from 640 individuals was
6	available to analyze how much of the variation in objectively measured PA (MVPA and
7	steps) could be accounted for by questionnaire items and by $PRS_{measured}$. The basic
8	characteristics and questionnaire items used in this analysis were age, sex, body-mass index
9	(BMI) calculated based on self-reported height and weight, self-reported distance walked or
10	jogged outdoors, self-reported fitness, self-reported mobility restricting disease, and self-
11	reported PA category. In more detail, we asked the participants to estimate with 0.5 km
12	accuracy how many kilometers altogether they had walked or jogged outdoors during the past
13	7 days (2). Self-reported fitness was based on a question "Is your current physical fitness in
14	your opinion?" 1) Very good, 2) Fairly good, 3) Satisfactory 4) Fairly poor, 5) Very poor.
15	Due to low number of answers to categories four and five, these two were combined for the
16	analyses and called poor (3). The response alternatives in the question 'Do you have any
17	physician-diagnosed disease which restricts your mobility?' were 'no' and 'yes' (2). The
18	overall PA category was based on the question: "How much do you move/exercise or
19	participate in physical loading activities?" The response alternatives were: 1) I read, watch
20	TV and do daily routines which do not load me physically (=Inactive), 2) I walk, bike or do
21	light home or yard work many hours per week (=Moderately active), 3) I participate in
22	conditioning exercises or sports such as running, skiing, skating or ball-games, several hours
23	a week (=Highly active).
24	The proportion of total variation of outcomes explained by the model (R^2) was estimated by
25	linear regression. Basic models were adjusted for 4 genetic principal components, sex and

IJ ŀ դ դ в 26 age. Multivariable models were constructed by entering potential predictors into the basic models one at a time. Finally, full models including all potential predictors were constructed 27 and the change in \mathbb{R}^2 ($\Delta \mathbb{R}^2$) was calculated. Square root-transformation of MVPA was used 28 due to violation of the assumption of normal distribution. In all regression models within-pair 29 30 dependency of twin individuals was taken into account in standard errors yielded by cluster variance estimator which were robust to non-independent observations within families 31 (cluster option in Stata). The statistical analyses were executed using IBM SPSS Statistics for 32

33 Windows, Version 24 (IBM Corp. Armonk, NY, USA) and Stata version 15 (Stata Corp,

College Station, TX, USA). The level of significance was set at P < 0.05.

- **Results:** Self-reported weekly walking or running distance accounted for highest amount of
- variation in objectively measured MVPA ($R^2 = 44\%$) and daily steps ($R^2 = 36\%$, Supplemental
- Table 1). BMI, self-reported fitness level, mobility restricting disease, and PA category
- accounted for lower amounts of variation (R^2 from 11% to 23%). Multivariable model
- 39 including age, sex, BMI, and the above physical fitness and activity related self-reports
- 40 accounted for 57% variation in MVPA and 47% in daily steps. Adding $PRS_{measured}$ into these
- 41 models increased the proportion of total variation explained only by 0.03% in MVPA and
- 42 0.31% in daily steps.
- 43

44 **References**

- Waller K, Vähä-Ypyä H, Törmäkangas T, et al. Long-term leisure-time physical activity
 and other health habits as predictors of objectively monitored late-life physical activity A
 40-year twin study. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):9400.
- Kujala UM, Hautasaari P, Vähä-Ypyä H, et al. Chronic diseases and objectively measured
 physical activity among aged individuals a cross-sectional twin cohort study. *Ann Med.* 2019;51(1):78-87.
- Waller K, Vähä-Ypyä H, Lindgren N, et al. Self- reported fitness and objectively measured
 physical activity profile among older adults: a twin study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*.
 2019;74(12):1965-72.
- 54

55 Supplemental Table 1. Clinical questionnaire items and PRS_{measured} as determinants of measured

	Μ	easured	daily M	VPA		Measured daily steps				
		Full model					Full model			
	β (SE)	Р	R ²	Р	ΔR^{2a}	β (SE)	Р	R ²	Р	ΔR^{2a}
Model 1			1	1	1			1		
Age	-0.39 (0.79)	0.634	0.0203	0.005		-109 (142)	0.442	0.0078	0.1278	
Sex	-4.96 (1.52)	0.001				-532 (275)	0.054			
Model 1 and BMI	-1.77 (0.18)	< 0.001	0.1586	< 0.001	0.1383	-283 (31)	< 0.001	0.1158	< 0.001	0.1080
Model 1 and self- reported distance walked or jogged	0.85 (0.06)	< 0.001	0.4606	<0.001	0.4403	140 (11)	< 0.001	0.3722	< 0.001	0.3644
Model 1 and self-rep	ported fitness									
Very good (ref.)	1				0.2280	1		0.2047	<0.001	0.1969
Good	-10.67 (1.70)	< 0.001	0.2483	<0.001		-1902 (326)	< 0.001			
Satisfactory	-21.00 (1.94)	< 0.001				-3572 (348)	< 0.001			
Poor	-34.85 (2.48)	< 0.001				-5907 (443)	< 0.001			
Model 1 and mobili	ty restricting dis	ease								
No (ref.)	1		0.1308	< 0.001	0.1105	1		0.1162	< 0.001	0.1084
Yes	-13.62 (1.61)	0.104				-2438 (289)	< 0.001			
Model 1 and self-re	ported PA categ	ory								
Highly active (ref.)	1		0.1707	<0.001	0.1504	1		0.1292	<0.001	0.1214
Moderately active	-8.72 (2.05)	< 0.001				-1325 (360)	< 0.001			
Inactive	-25.75 (2.64)	< 0.001				-4159 (425)	< 0.001			
Model 1 and PRS _{measured}	1.70 (0.78)	0.030	0.0296	0.0038	0.0093	409 (140)	0.004	0.0244	0.0099	0.0166
Model 2			0.5697	< 0.001				0.4695	< 0.001	
Model 2 and PRS _{measured}	0.34 (0.50)	0.0497	0.5700	< 0.001		178 (101)	0.078	0.4726	< 0.001	

⁵⁶ daily MVPA and steps in the MOBILETWIN study (N=640).

57 Model 1: Age (in years) and sex (men coded as 1 and women as 2) as independent variables in the regression

58 model.

59 Model 2: Body-mass index (BMI, kg/m²), self-reported distance walked or jogged, self-reported fitness, self-

60 reported mobility restricting disease, and self-reported physical activity (PA) category as independent variables

61 in the regression model.

62 PRS_{measured} scaled to obtain standardized normal distribution with a mean of zero and standard deviation of 1.

63 $^{a}\Delta R^{2}$ shows the additional R^{2} compared to Model 1.