Concussion Guidelines Step 1: Systematic Review of Prevalent Indicators

Supplemental Content 13
Table. Prevalence of Cognitive Deficits

Table. Prevalence of	Event	1 day	36 h	2 d	3 d	4 d	5 d	7 d
Reaction Time								
HeadMinder Simple Reaction Time		71.4% RCI ^{1b}						
ImPACT Reaction Time		41.7% RCI ^{1c}		SD $p < 0.05^{2}$ $+RCI^{3}$				No SD ²
Headminder Complex Reaction Time		71.4% RCI ^{1b}						
			ention/Processi	ng Speed/Work	ing Memory			
HeadMinder Processing Speed		50% RCI ^{1b}						
Trails A		52.2% RCI ^{1a}			No Diff RCI ⁴		No Diff RCI ⁴	No Diff RCI ⁴
		No Diff RCI ⁴		-				
Symbol Digit		52.2% RCI ^{1a}		RC-Yes ⁵	No Diff RCI ⁴		No Diff RCI ⁴	No Diff RCI ⁴
Symbol Digit		No Diff RCI ⁴						RC-Yes ⁵
Digit Span		30.4% RCI ^{1a}						
SAC Concentration	SD p < 0.0001 ⁶							
				Memory				
HVLT Total		39.1%			¹ /2-1 sd		1/2 sd	No Diff
		RCI ^{1a} 1 sd			RCI ⁴ No SD ^{7a}		RCI ⁴ No SD ^{7a}	RCI ⁴
		RCI ⁴						$P < 0.005^{7a}$
		$p < 0.003^{7a}$			No SD ^{7b}		No SD ^{7b}	No SD ^{7b}
		$ SD p < 0.002^{7b} $						

	Event	1 day	36 h	2 d	3 d	4 d	5 d	7 d	
HVLT Recognition				RC-No ⁵				RC-No ⁵	
HVLT Delayed		1 sd		RC-No ⁵	¹ /2-1 sd		¹/2 sd	No Diff	
		RCI ⁴			RCI ⁴		RCI ⁴	RCI ⁴	
		No SD ^{7a}			No SD ^{7a}		No SD ^{7a}	No SD ^{7a}	
Recall		SD			No SD ^{7b}		No SD ^{7b}	No SD ^{7b}	
		$P < 0.002^{7b}$			110 52		110 52	110 52	
								RC-Yes ⁵	
HVLT Immediate				RC-No ⁵				RC-No ⁵	
Memory								2	
ImPACT Verbal		41.7% RCI ^{1c}		SD 0.5 ²				No SD ²	
Memory		RCI		$p < 0.05^2$ +RCI ³					
		20.8%		SD				No SD ²	
ImPACT Visual		RCI ^{1c}		$p < 0.05^2$				110 52	
Memory				-RCI ³					
ImPACT Memory			SD			SD		SD	
Composite	~=		$p = 0.017^8$			$p = 0.004^8$		$p = 0.037^8$	
SAC Orientation	SD p < 0.0066								
SAC Immediate	SD								
Memory	p < 0.0001 ⁶								
SAC Delayed Recall	SD . 0.0016								
	p < 0.001 ⁶	SD			SD		SD	No SD ^{7a}	
BVMT-R Total		$p < 0.002^{7a}$			$p < 0.002^{7a}$		$p < 0.008^{7a}$	NUSD	
DVMT D D-1 1		SD			SD		No SD ^{7a}	${ m No~SD}^{7a}$	
BVMT-R Delayed		$p < 0.002^{7a}$			$p < 0.02^{7a}$				
Executive Function									
Trails B		52.2% RCI ^{1a}		RC-No ⁵	No Diff RCI ⁴		No Diff RCI ⁴	No Diff RCI ⁴	
		No Diff			KCI		KCI	RC1 RC-Yes ⁵	
		RCI ⁴						KC-165	
COWAT		34.8%		RC-No ⁵	No Diff		No Diff	No Diff	
		RCI ^{1a}			RCI ⁴		RCI^4	RCI^4	

	Event	1 day	36 h	2 d	3 d	4 d	5 d	7 d
		No Diff						RC-No ⁵
		RCI ⁴		5				5
Stroop				RC-No ⁵				RC-No ⁵
Motor/Sensory								
ImPACT Motor		20.8%		SD				No SD ²
Processing Speed		RCI ^{1c}		$p < 0.05^2$				
Trocessing Speed				-RCI ³				
Grooved Pegboard		No Diff			No Diff		No Diff	No Diff
		RCI^4			RCI^4		RCI^4	RCI^4
Global Measures								
SAC Total Score	SD							
	$p < 0.0001^6$							

SD. Statistically Significant Difference RCI. Reliable Change Index sd. Standard Deviation

sd. Standard Deviation
+RCI. Group mean exceeded normed RCI value
-RCI. Group mean did not exceed normed RCI value
RC. Recovery Curve
Bright Yellow – Evidence for group differences
Pale Yellow – Equivocal evidence
Black – Evidence for no group differences
Dark Green – No included studies

REFERENCES

- 1. Broglio SP, Macciocchi SN, Ferrara MS. Sensitivity of the concussion assessment battery. *Neurosurgery*. 2007;60(6):1050-1057; discussion 1057-1058.
- 2. Kontos AP, Elbin RJ 3rd, Covassin T, Larson E. Exploring differences in computerized neurocognitive concussion testing between African American and White athletes. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*. 2010;25:734-744.
- 3. Van Kampen DA, Lovell MR, Pardini JE, Collins MW, Fu FH. The "value added" of neurocognitive testing after sports-related concussion. *Am J Sports Med.* 2006;34(10):1630-1635.
- 4. Collins MW, Grindel SH, Lovell MR, et al. Relationship between concussion and neuropsychological performance in college football players. *Jama*. 1999;282(10):964-970.
- 5. McCrea M, Guskiesicz KM, Marshall SW, et al. Acute effects and recovery time following concussion in collegiate football players: the NCAA Concussion Study. *Jama*. 2003;290(19):2556-2563.
- 6. McCrea M, Kelly JP, Randolph C, Cisler R, Berger L. Immediate neurocognitive effects of concussion. *Neurosurgery*. 2002;50(5):1032-1040; discussion 1040-1032.
- 7. Field M, Collins MW, Lovell MR, Maroon J. Does age play a role in recovery from sports-related concussion? A comparison of high school and collegiate athletes. *J Pediatr.* 2003;142(5):546-553.
- 8. Lovell MR, Collins MW, Iverson GL, et al. Recovery from mild concussion in high school athletes. *Journal of Neurosurgery*. 2003;98:296-301.