**Table e1. Correlations between serum/CSF biomarker concentrations and BV, BMI, body weight as well as body height in MS subjects.** Spearman-rho correlations between serum/CSF GFAP/NfL concentrations (pg/ml) and the individual estimated blood volume (L) were computed (left column). To ensure that the results are not biased, extreme outliers with biomarker levels or body weight lying above the 3rd quartile + 3\*interquartile range were removed and the correlational analyses were repeated (right column). For sGFAP n = 3 outliers were identified, for sNfL n = 4, for cGFAP n = 4, for cNfL n = 7. sGFAP/cGFAP = glial fibrillary acidic protein measured in serum/CSF; sNfL/cNfL = neurofilament light chain measured in serum/CSF; CI = confidence intervals.

			95%	6 CI	extreme o	outliers removed	95% CI	
	BV	p-value	lower	upper	BV	p-value	lower	upper
serum GFAP	- 0.246	0.012	-0.424	-0.049	- 0.220	0.028	-0.404	-0.019
serum NFL	- 0.223	0.023	-0.405	-0.026	- 0.275	0.006	-0.453	-0.075
CSF GFAP	- 0.020	0.840	-0.218	0.180	- 0.079	0.439	-0.277	0.126
CSF NfL	- 0.045	0.650	-0.242	0.155	- 0.075	0.468	-0.277	0.133
	BMI	p-value			BMI	p-value		
serum GFAP	-0.196	0.047	-0.380	0.003	-0.163	0.106	-0.353	0.041
serum NFL	-0.119	0.231	-0.311	0.082	-0.173	0.089	-0.364	0.033
CSF GFAP	-0.093	0.349	-0.287	0.108	-0.180	0.075	-0.370	0.024
CSF NfL	0.042	0.676	-0.159	0.239	0.065	0.527	-0.143	0.268
	weight	p-value			weight	p-value		
serum GFAP	-0.259	0.008	-0.436	-0.064	-0.231	0.021	-0.414	-0.031
serum NFL	-0.200	0.043	-0.384	-0.001	-0.258	0.010	-0.439	-0.057
CSF GFAP	-0.082	0.408	-0.277	0.119	-0.153	0.131	-0.345	0.052
CSF NfL	-0.023	0.814	-0.221	0.176	-0.038	0.712	-0.243	0.169
	height	p-value			height	p-value		
serum GFAP	-0.181	0.068	-0.367	0.019	-0.171	0.089	-0.360	0.032
serum NFL	-0.157	0.113	-0.345	0.043	-0.184	0.70	-0.374	0.021
CSF GFAP	0.096	0.337	-0.106	0.289	0.082	0.421	-0.123	0.280
CSF NfL	-0.008	0.936	-0.207	0.191	-0.094	0.363	-0.294	0.115

Table e2. Correlations between serum/CSF concentrations and BV, BMI, body weight as well as body height in the whole cohort.

Spearman-rho correlations between serum/CSF GFAP/NfL concentrations (pg/ml) and the individual estimated blood volume (L) were computed. sGFAP/cGFAP = glial fibrillary acidic protein measured in serum/CSF; sNfL/cNfL = neurofilament light chain measured in serum/CSF; CI = confidence intervals.

			95% CI	
	BV	p-value	lower	upper
serum GFAP	-0.162	0.048	-0.319	0.003
serum NFL	-0.152	0.065	-0.309	0.014
CSF GFAP	0.013	0.873	-0.153	0.178
CSF NfL	0.0004	0.996	-0.165	0.166
	BMI	p-value		
serum GFAP	-0.157	0.055	-0.315	0.008
serum NFL	-0.069	0.402	-0.232	0.097
CSF GFAP	-0.087	0.290	-0.249	0.079
CSF NfL	0.044	0.596	-0.123	0.208
	weight	p-value		
serum GFAP	-0.199	0.015	-0.353	-0.035
serum NFL	-0.145	0.079	-0.303	0.021
CSF GFAP	-0.056	0.494	-0.220	0.110
CSF NfL	0.009	0.917	-0.157	0.174
	height	p-value		
serum GFAP	-0.105	0.204	-0.265	0.062
serum NFL	-0.125	0.130	-0.284	0.042
CSF GFAP	0.086	0.294	-0.080	0.248
CSF NfL	-0.009	0.916	-0.174	0.157

**Table e3.** Linear regressions with serum/CSF GFAP and NfL as dependent variables and BV, age, gender, MS phenotype (RRMS, PPMS, CIS or RIS), EDSS, presence of gadolinium-enhancing T1-weighted MRI lesions and acute relapse at serum/CSF sampling as predictors, using the data of patients with a MS phenotype (n = 106). Before entering the variables, age, BV, EDSS and biomarker concentrations were standardized by dividing them by their standard deviation similarly to other biomarker studies. Serum and CSF parameters were log-transformed. BV = estimated blood volume; sGFAP/cGFAP = glial fibrillary acidic protein measured in serum/CSF; sNfL/cNfL = neurofilament light chain measured in serum/CSF; GE = gadolinium-enhancing T1-weighted MRI lesions. Partial correlation refers to the correlation between the respective independent variable and the dependent variable after the linear effects of the other variables have been removed from both the independent variable and the dependent variable.

sGFAP		model: R = 0.499, R <sup>2</sup> = 0.249, adjusted R <sup>2</sup> = 0.192, F change = 4.4, df1 = 7, df2 = 93, p = <b>0.0003</b> , q = <b>0.002</b>								
				95% Confidence Interval for B						
	В	std. error	ß	t	p-value	lower bound	upper bound	partial correlation		
constant	-0.243	0.496		-0.490	0.625	-1.228	0.742			
blood volume	-0.297	0.119	-0.301	-2.492	0.014	-0.534	-0.060	-0.250		
age	0.242	0.102	0.234	2.369	0.020	0.039	0.446	0.239		
gender	-0.118	0.261	-0.054	-0.453	0.652	-0.638	0.401	-0.047		
MS phenotype	0.240	0.093	0.281	2.590	0.011	0.056	0.424	0.259		
EDSS	0.267	0.089	0.283	2.988	0.004	0.089	0.444	0.296		
GE	-0.106	0.150	-0.064	-0.704	0.483	-0.403	0.192	-0.073		
acute relapse	0.486	0.274	0.213	1.774	0.079	-0.058	1.030	0.181		

model: R = 0.268, R <sup>2</sup> = 0.072, adjusted R <sup>2</sup> = 0.002, F change = 1.03, df1 = 7, df2 = 93, p = 0.415, q = 0.474
---

					95% Confidence Interval for B		
В	std. error	ß	t	p-value	lower bound	upper bound	partial correlation
-0.424	0.484		-0.876	0.383	-1.385	0.537	
-0.128	0.116	-0.148	-1.100	0.274	-0.359	0.103	-0.113
0.104	0.100	0.115	1.045	0.299	-0.094	0.302	0.108
0.094	0.255	0.049	0.368	0.714	-0.412	0.600	0.038
0.038	0.090	0.050	0.416	0.678	-0.142	0.217	0.043
0.100	0.087	0.121	1.145	0.255	-0.073	0.273	0.118
0.133	0.146	0.093	0.911	0.365	-0.157	0.423	0.094
0.224	0.267	0.112	0.840	0.403	-0.306	0.755	0.087
	-0.424 -0.128 0.104 0.094 0.038 0.100 0.133	-0.424 0.484   -0.128 0.116   0.104 0.100   0.094 0.255   0.038 0.090   0.100 0.087   0.133 0.146	-0.424 0.484   -0.128 0.116 -0.148   0.104 0.100 0.115   0.094 0.255 0.049   0.038 0.090 0.050   0.100 0.087 0.121   0.133 0.146 0.093	-0.424 0.484 -0.876   -0.128 0.116 -0.148 -1.100   0.104 0.100 0.115 1.045   0.094 0.255 0.049 0.368   0.038 0.090 0.050 0.416   0.100 0.087 0.121 1.145   0.133 0.146 0.093 0.911	-0.424 0.484 -0.876 0.383   -0.128 0.116 -0.148 -1.100 0.274   0.104 0.100 0.115 1.045 0.299   0.094 0.255 0.049 0.368 0.714   0.038 0.090 0.050 0.416 0.678   0.100 0.087 0.121 1.145 0.255   0.133 0.146 0.093 0.911 0.365	-0.424 0.484 -0.876 0.383 -1.385   -0.128 0.116 -0.148 -1.100 0.274 -0.359   0.104 0.100 0.115 1.045 0.299 -0.094   0.094 0.255 0.049 0.368 0.714 -0.412   0.038 0.090 0.050 0.416 0.678 -0.142   0.100 0.087 0.121 1.145 0.255 -0.073   0.133 0.146 0.093 0.911 0.365 -0.157	-0.424 0.484 -0.876 0.383 -1.385 0.537   -0.128 0.116 -0.148 -1.100 0.274 -0.359 0.103   0.104 0.100 0.115 1.045 0.299 -0.094 0.302   0.094 0.255 0.049 0.368 0.714 -0.412 0.600   0.038 0.090 0.050 0.416 0.678 -0.142 0.217   0.100 0.087 0.121 1.145 0.255 -0.073 0.273   0.133 0.146 0.093 0.911 0.365 -0.157 0.423

sNfL

	model:	$R = 0.390, R^2 = 0$	8, F change = 2.37	876, df1 = 7, df2 = 93, p = <b>0.028</b> , q = <b>0.037</b>				
					95% Confidence Interval for B			
В	std. error	ß	t	p-value	lower bound	upper bound	partial correlation	
1.061	0.533		1.990	0.049	0.002	2.120		
-0.102	0.128	-0.102	-0.798	0.427	-0.356	0.152	-0.082	
0.234	0.111	0.222	2.110	0.038	0.014	0.454	0.214	
-0.547	0.282	-0.247	-1.940	0.055	-1.106	0.013	-0.197	
0.037	0.095	0.046	0.395	0.694	-0.151	0.226	0.041	
0.091	0.097	0.095	0.938	0.351	-0.101	0.283	0.097	
-0.348	0.162	-0.209	-2.153	0.034	-0.669	-0.027	-0.218	
0.084	0.300	0.036	0.281	0.779	-0.512	0.681	0.029	
	1.061 -0.102 0.234 -0.547 0.037 0.091 -0.348	B std. error   1.061 0.533   -0.102 0.128   0.234 0.111   -0.547 0.282   0.037 0.095   0.091 0.097   -0.348 0.162	B std. error β   1.061 0.533    -0.102 0.128 -0.102   0.234 0.111 0.222   -0.547 0.282 -0.247   0.037 0.095 0.046   0.091 0.097 0.095   -0.348 0.162 -0.209	B std. error β t   1.061 0.533 1.990   -0.102 0.128 -0.102 -0.798   0.234 0.111 0.222 2.110   -0.547 0.282 -0.247 -1.940   0.037 0.095 0.046 0.395   0.091 0.097 0.095 0.938   -0.348 0.162 -0.209 -2.153	B std. error β t p-value   1.061 0.533 1.990 0.049   -0.102 0.128 -0.102 -0.798 0.427   0.234 0.111 0.222 2.110 0.038   -0.547 0.282 -0.247 -1.940 0.055   0.037 0.095 0.046 0.395 0.694   0.091 0.097 0.095 0.938 0.351   -0.348 0.162 -0.209 -2.153 0.034	B std. error β t p-value lower bound   1.061 0.533 1.990 0.049 0.002   -0.102 0.128 -0.102 -0.798 0.427 -0.356   0.234 0.111 0.222 2.110 0.038 0.014   -0.547 0.282 -0.247 -1.940 0.055 -1.106   0.037 0.095 0.046 0.395 0.694 -0.151   0.091 0.097 0.095 0.938 0.351 -0.101   -0.348 0.162 -0.209 -2.153 <b>0.034</b> -0.669	B std. error β t p-value lower bound upper bound   1.061 0.533 1.990 0.049 0.002 2.120   -0.102 0.128 -0.102 -0.798 0.427 -0.356 0.152   0.234 0.111 0.222 2.110 <b>0.038</b> 0.014 0.454   -0.547 0.282 -0.247 -1.940 0.055 -1.106 0.013   0.037 0.095 0.046 0.395 0.694 -0.151 0.226   0.091 0.097 0.095 0.938 0.351 -0.101 0.283   -0.348 0.162 -0.209 -2.153 <b>0.034</b> -0.669 -0.027	

cNfL		model:	R = 0.160, R <sup>2</sup>	<sup>2</sup> = 0.025, adjust	ed R <sup>2</sup> = -0.04	= -0.048, F change = 0.347, df1 = 7, df2 = 93, p = 0.930, q = 0.93				
	2							95% Confidence Interval for B		
	В	std. error	ß	t	p-value	lower bound	upper bound	partial correlation		
constant	0.026	0.549		0.047	0.963	-1.065	1.116			
blood volume	-0.004	0.132	-0.005	-0.033	0.974	-0.266	0.257	-0.003		
age	0.049	0.114	0.048	0.429	0.669	-0.178	0.276	0.044		
gender	-0.065	0.290	-0.031	-0.225	0.822	-0.642	0.511	-0.023		
MS phenotype	-0.052	0.098	-0.066	-0.528	0.599	-0.246	0.142	-0.055		
EDSS	-0.026	0.100	-0.029	-0.263	0.793	-0.224	0.172	-0.027		
GE	0.018	0.167	0.011	0.109	0.913	-0.312	0.349	0.011		
acute relapse	0.285	0.309	0.128	0.923	0.358	-0.329	0.900	0.095		

**Table e4.** Linear regressions with serum/CSF GFAP and NfL as dependent variables and BV as well as diagnosis (neurological vs. somatoform disorder), age and gender as predictors using the data of all subjects (n = 157). Before entering the variables, age, BV and biomarker concentrations were standardized by dividing them by their standard deviation similarly to other biomarker studies. Serum and CSF parameters were log-transformed. BV = estimated blood volume; sGFAP/cGFAP = glial fibrillary acidic protein measured in serum/CSF; sNfL/cNfL = neurofilament light chain measured in serum/CSF. Partial correlation refers to the correlation between the respective independent variable and the dependent variable after the linear effects of the other variables have been removed from both the independent variable and the dependent variable.

			95% Confidence Interval for B							
	В	Std. error	ß	t	p-value	lower bound	upper bound	partial correlation		
constant	0.215	0.508		0.423	0.673	-0.789	1.218			
blood volume	-0.275	0.103	-0.276	-2.654	0.009	-0.479	-0.070	-0.216		
age	0.352	0.303	0.092	1.161	0.248	-0.247	0.952	0.096		
gender	0.223	0.078	0.226	2.855	0.005	0.069	0.377	0.231		
diagnosis	-0.316	0.230	-0.144	-1.374	0.172	-0.770	0.139	-0.114		
sNfL		model: R	= 0.322, R <sup>2</sup> = (	).104, adjuste	ed R <sup>2</sup> = 0.079,	. F change = 4.176	, df1 = 7, df2 = 14	4, p = <b>0.003</b> , q = <b>0.0</b>		
sNfL		model: R	= 0.322, R <sup>2</sup> = 0	).104, adjuste	d R <sup>2</sup> = 0.079,	5		4, p = <b>0.003</b> , q = <b>0.0</b>		
sNfL			·	).104, adjuste		95% Confidence	Interval for B	4, p = <b>0.003</b> , q = <b>0.0</b>		
sNfL	В	model: R Std. error	= 0.322, R <sup>2</sup> = 0	).104, adjuste t	d R <sup>2</sup> = 0.079, p-value	5		4, p = <b>0.003</b> , q = <b>0.0</b> partial correlation		
	B -0.290		·			95% Confidence	Interval for B			
		Std. error	·	t	p-value	95% Confidence lower bound	Interval for B upper bound			
constant	-0.290	Std. error 0.507	ß	t -0.572	p-value 0.568	95% Confidence lower bound -1.293	Interval for B upper bound 0.712	partial correlation		
constant blood volume	-0.290 -0.169	Std. error 0.507 0.103	ß -0.170	t -0.572 -1.633	p-value 0.568 0.105	95% Confidence lower bound -1.293 -0.373	Interval for B upper bound 0.712 0.035	partial correlation		

CGFAP		model: F	$R = 0.353, R^2 =$	0.124, adjuste	D, F change = 2.376, df1 = 7, df2 = 144, p = <b>0.001</b> , q = <b>0.003</b>			
					95% Confidence	95% Confidence Interval for B		
	В	Std. error	ß	t	p-value	lower bound	upper bound	partial correlation
constant	0.414	0.494		0.838	0.404	-0.563	1.391	
blood volume	-0.043	0.101	-0.042	-0.425	0.672	-0.243	0.157	-0.035
age	0.291	0.278	0.082	1.045	0.298	-0.259	0.841	0.087
gender	0.308	0.080	0.299	3.828	< 0.001	0.149	0.467	0.304
diagnosis	-0.390	0.225	-0.175	-1.736	0.085	-0.834	0.054	-0.143

cNfL		model: R =	0.355, R <sup>2</sup> = 0.	F change = 5.181,	df1 = 7, df2 = 144	, p = <b>0.001</b> , q = <b>0.003</b>				
			95% Confidence Interval for B							
	В	Std. error	ß	t	p-value	lower bound	upper bound	partial correlation		
constant	-0.642	0.494		-1.300	0.196	-1.619	0.334			
blood volume	-0.056	0.101	-0.056	-0.557	0.578	-0.257	0.144	-0.046		
age	1.073	0.278	0.304	3.862	< 0.001	0.524	1.623	0.306		
gender	0.153	0.080	0.148	1.902	0.059	-0.006	0.311	0.157		
diagnosis	-0.194	0.225	-0.087	-0.864	0.389	-0.638	0.250	-0.072		

**Table e5.** Four different general linear model (GLM) univariate analyses with each of the biomarkers of interest as a dependent variable (sNfL, cNfL, sGFAP, cGFAP) were computed for the MS subjects (n = 106). The group factor "BMI  $\ge 25$  vs. BMI < 25" was employed as an independent variable. Variables known to affect NfL and GFAP concentration such as age, biological gender, MS disease phenotype, EDSS, presence of gadolinium-enhancing (GE) T1-weighted MRI lesions and acute relapse at serum/CSF sampling were modelled as covariates. Before entering the analysis, age, EDSS and biomarker concentrations were standardized by dividing them by their standard deviation similarly to other biomarker studies. Serum and CSF parameters were log-transformed. sGFAP/cGFAP = glial fibrillary acidic protein measured in serum/CSF; sNfL/cNfL = neurofilament light chain measured in serum/CSF.

	Type III Sum of Squares	F	p-value
sGFAP			
corrected model	21.181	4.137	0.001
intercept	2.879	3.936	0.050
BMI < 25 vs. ≥ 25	3.462	4.732	0.032
age	4.753	6.497	0.012
gender	0.786	1.074	0.303
MS phenotype	5.082	6.947	0.010
EDSS	5.542	7.576	0.007
GE	0.210	0.287	0.593
acute relapse	2.103	2.876	0.093
sNfL corrected model	4.508	0.934	0.484
		2.844	0.095
intercept	1.961		
BMI < 25 vs. ≥ 25	0.393	0.570	0.452
age	0.877	1.272	0.262
gender	1.035	1.501	0.224
MS phenotype	0.133	0.193	0.661
EDSS	0.732	1.061	0.306
GE	0.600	0.871	0.353
acute relapse	0.455	0.660	0.419
cGFAP			
corrected model	13.518	2.273	0.035
intercept	2.925	3.444	0.067
BMI < 25 vs. ≥ 25	0.019	0.022	0.882
age	3.934	4.632	0.034
gender	2.883	3.395	0.069
MS phenotype	0.118	0.139	0.710
EDSS	0.592	0.698	0.406
GE	3.967	4.671	0.033
acute relapse	0.063	0.075	0.785
cNfL			
corrected model	2.324	0.372	0.917
intercept	0.000	0.000	0.988
BMI < 25 vs. ≥ 25	0.149	0.166	0.684
age	0.158	0.177	0.675
gender	0.028	0.031	0.861
MS phenotype	0.252	0.282	0.597
EDSS	0.081	0.090	0.764
GE	0.001	0.001	0.969
acute relapse	0.782	0.874	0.352

**Table e6.** Four different general linear model (GLM) univariate analyses with each of the biomarkers of interest as a dependent variable (sNfL, cNfL, sGFAP, cGFAP) were computed for all subjects (n = 157). The group factor "BMI  $\ge 25$  vs. BMI < 25" was employed as an independent variable. Age, gender and diagnosis (neurological vs. somatoform disease) were modelled as covariates. Before entering the analysis, age and biomarker concentrations were standardized by dividing them by their standard deviation similarly to other biomarker studies. Serum and CSF parameters were log-transformed. sGFAP/cGFAP = glial fibrillary acidic protein measured in serum/CSF; sNfL/cNfL = neurofilament light chain measured in serum/CSF.

	Type III Sum of Squares	F	p-value
sGFAP			
corrected model	14.632	3.950	0.005
Intercept	0.005	0.005	0.942
BMI < 25 vs. ≥ 25	7.067	7.631	0.006
age	8.145	8.794	0.004
gender	0.042	0.045	0.832
diagnosis	0.001	0.001	0.976
sNfL			
corrected model	10.391	2.718	0.032
intercept	0.117	0.122	0.727
BMI < 25 vs. ≥ 25	0.611	0.639	0.425
age	9.996	10.461	0.002
gender	0.035	0.036	0.849
diagnosis	0.922	0.965	0.328
cGFAP			
corrected model	17.678	4.883	0.001
intercept	2.976	3.288	0.072
BMI < 25 vs. ≥ 25	0.325	0.359	0.550
age	12.759	14.099	< 0.001
gender	3.793	4.192	0.042
diagnosis	0.092	0.102	0.750
cNfL			
corrected model	9.997	2.608	0.038
intercept	3.164	3.302	0.071
BMI < 25 vs. ≥ 25	0.037	0.039	0.844
age	4.822	5.032	0.026
gender	1.871	1.952	0.164
diagnosis	5.006	5.224	0.024

## Table e7. Power analysis

Achieved power was computed post hoc using the software G\*Power separately for the analysis focusing on MS subjects only and encompassing the whole sample. MS = multiple sclerosis; sGFAP/cGFAP = glial fibrillary acidic protein measured in serum/CSF; sNfL/cNfL = neurofilament light chain measured in serum/CSF.

sGFAP	n = 106 MS subjects	n = 157, whole sample
effect size f <sup>2</sup>	0.33	0.11
$\alpha$ error probability	0.05	0.05
total sample size	106	157
number of predictors	7	4
noncentrality parameter $\lambda$	35.15	17.83
critical F	2.10	2.43
numerator df	7	4
denominator df	98	152
power (1 – $\beta$ error probability)	0.996	0.933
sNfL	n = 106 MS subjects	n = 157, whole sample
effect size f <sup>2</sup>	0.08	0.12
$\alpha$ error probability	0.05	0.05
total sample size	106	157
number of predictors	7	4
noncentrality parameter $\lambda$	8.22	18.22
critical F	2.10	2.43
numerator df	7	4
denominator df	98	152
power (1 – $\beta$ error probability)	0.482	0.939
cGFAP	n = 106 MS subjects	n = 157, whole sample
effect size f <sup>2</sup>	0.18	0.14
α error probability	0.05	0.05
total sample size	106	157
number of predictors	7	4
noncentrality parameter $\lambda$	19	22.22
critical F	2.10	2.43
numerator df	7	4
denominator df	98	152
power $(1 - \beta$ error probability)	0.889	0.975
cNfL	n = 106 MS subjects	n = 157, whole sample
effect size f <sup>2</sup>	0.03	0.14
$\alpha$ error probability	0.05	0.05
total sample size	106	157
number of predictors	7	4
noncentrality parameter $\lambda$	2.72	22.63
critical F	2.10	2.43
numerator df	7	4
denominator df	98	152
power (1 – β error probability)	0.166	0.978