Cancer EDGE Task Force
Outcome Measure Rating Form
(*Based on Neurology EDGE – Updated 10.21.16*)

Instrument name	
Reviewer:	
ICF Domain (check all that apply): _____body function/structure _____ activity ____participation	
Type of measure: __ performance-based _____self-report	
Languages available: English	
Population developed in:	
Validated populations:	
Instrument properties	
Reliability (test-retest, intra-rater, inter-rater)	
Validity (concurrent, criterion-related, predictive)	
Ceiling/ floor effects	
Sensitivity to change (responsiveness, MCID, MDC)	
Reference Values for Interpretation	
Instrument use	
Equipment required	
Time to complete	
How is the instrument scored? (e.g. total score, subscales, etc.)	
Level of client participation required (proxy participation?)	
Effect of Training (if applicable)	

Cancer EDGE Task Force
Outcome Measure Rating Form
(*Based on Neurology EDGE – Updated 10.21.16*)

Is this tool appropriate for individual patient decision-making? Yes _____ No _____
(available MDC, MCID, Likelihood ratios?)
Comments:

Availability:

- Score Sheets:

_____ Public Domain _____Available but copyrighted _____Unavailable

- Instructions:

_____ Public Domain _____Available but copyrighted _____Unavailable

- Computer-based or Web-based scoring available: ____ yes _____ no

Purchase price:

Purchase Contact Info:

Assessment of Overall Usefulness (Primary Reviewer):

Secondary Reviewer Comments:

Overall taskforce Agreement with Recommendations:

Reference List:

Cancer EDGE Task Force
Outcome Measure Rating Form
(*Based on Neurology EDGE – Updated 10.21.16*)

4	**Highly Recommended**	The outcome measure has excellent psychometric properties (reliability and validity AND have available data to guide interpretation) in condition of interest and excellent clinical utility (≤20 min, equip in clinic, no copyright payments, easy to score); the measure is free or reasonably accessible to a broad range of providers.
3	**Recommended**	The outcome measure has good psychometric properties (may lack some info about reliability, validity, responsiveness) in the population of interest and good clinical utility (>20 min, some equip, training, copyright fee); OR has excellent psychometric properties but is not free and may require access to specialized testing equipment that is beyond the means of many clinicians or clinics.
2	**Reasonable to Use**	Limited study in target group; the outcome measure has good or excellent psychometric properties and clinical utility in a related population, but insufficient study in target population to support higher recommendation.
1	**Not Recommended**	The outcome measure has poor psychometric properties and/or poor clinical utility

Psychometric Properties:

ICC/r values

- Excellent: > 0.90
- Good: .76-.89
- Moderate: .50-.75
- Poor: <.50

Kappa values

- Excellent: >80%
- Substantial: 60-79%
- Moderate: 40-59%
- Poor-Fair: <40%

Portney and Watkins

Clinical Utility:

- <=20 minutes to complete
- Equipment is easily available in the clinic
- Has no copyright payments
- Scoring schema simple