**SDC TABLE 3:** GRADE Profile for MTP/DCR Protocol vs. No MTP/DCR Protocol.

	PICO 1	Onality Ass	angamont				Summary of Findings	of Findings	
	MTP/DC	MTP/DCR vs. No MTP/DCR	TP/DCR				No of Patients Effect	atients ect	
Participants Risk of (studies) Bias	Inconsistency Indirectness Imprecision	Indirectness	Imprecision	Publication Bias	Quality of Evidence	MTP/DCR	No MTP/DCR	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)
Mortality (Hospital or 30 Day)	or 30 Day)								
1149 (6) Serious <sup>1</sup>	No	oN	No	Unlikely	⊕⊕OO Low <sup>1,2</sup>	239/597 (40.0%)	269/552 (48.7%)	0.61 (0.43, 0.87)	120 fewer per 1000 (from 35 to 197 fewer)
Blood products used (RBC in 24 H)	(RBC in 24 H)								
511 (4) Serious <sup>1</sup>	Serious <sup>3</sup>	Serious <sup>5</sup>	Serious <sup>4</sup>	ı	⊕OOO Very Low <sup>3,4</sup>	317	194	ı	0.36 fewer (4.54 fewer to 3.83 more)
All retrospective studies with moderate to high risk of bias <sup>2</sup> Upgraded for large effect <sup>3</sup> Moderate heterogeneity	idies with mode effect neity	erate to high	risk of bias						
*Wide confidence intervals  SRBC used as a surrogate for total blood products	tervals ogate for total b	lood produc	ts						
DCR, damage control resuscitation; MTP, massive transfusion protocol; RBC, red blood cells	ol resuscitation;	MTP, mass	sive transfusi	on protocol;	RBC, red b	blood cells			