MTP vs. NO MTP, TOTAL RBC

| Study or Subgroup | MTP | | | No MTP | | | | Mean Difference | Mean Difference |
	Mean [U/24 H]	SD [U/24 H]	Total	Mean [U/24 H]	SD [U/24 H]	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI [U/24 H]	IV, Random, 95% CI [U/24 H]
Fox 2008 (42)	23	18	16	12	6.4	24	13.2%	11.00 [1.82, 20.18]	
O'Keeffe 2008 (13)	11.8	11.8	132	15.5	15.5	46	24.1%	−3.70 [−8.61, 1.21]	
Riskin 2009 (40)	20.5	2.6	37	23.9	2.7	40	35.0%	−3.40 [−4.58, −2.22]	
Shaz 2010 (41)	24	14	132	23	14	84	27.7%	1.00 [−2.83, 4.83]	
Total (95% CI)			317			194	100.0%	−0.36 [−4.54, 3.83]	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 12.64; Chi² = 13.56, df = 3 (P = 0.004); I² = 78%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.17 (P = 0.87)									

$-20 \quad -10 \quad 0 \quad 10 \quad 20$
Favors MTP Favors No MTP

SDC Figure 1: Forest plot for MT/DCR Protocol vs. No MT/DCR Protocol, Outcome=Total RBC in 24 hours. DCR, damage control resuscitation; MT, massive transfusion; RBC, red blood cells